

# **Chief Executive's Report on Motions – Draft Dublin City Development Plan 2022-2028**

**29<sup>th</sup> October 2021**

**Report No. 316/2021**



Comhairle Cathrach  
Bhaile Átha Cliath  
**Dublin City Council**

*Page Left Intentionally Blank*

## CONTENTS

<b>Chapter 1: Strategic Context and Vision.....</b>	<b>1</b>
Motion 1. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01247 .....	2
Motion 2. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01160 .....	3
Motion 3. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01164 .....	5
<b>Chapter 2: Core Strategy.....</b>	<b>7</b>
Motion 4. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01206 .....	8
Motion 5. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01179 .....	9
Motion 6. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01201 .....	10
Motion 7. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01092 .....	11
Motion 8. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01200 .....	12
Motion 9. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01199 .....	13
Motion 10. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01227 .....	14
Motion 11. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01228 .....	15
Motion 12. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01266 .....	16
<b>Chapter 3: Climate Action .....</b>	<b>17</b>
Motion 13. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01100 .....	18
Motion 14. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01257 .....	19
Motion 15. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01258 .....	20
Motion 16. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01314 .....	21
Motion 17. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01267 .....	22
Motion 18. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01269 .....	23
Motion 19. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01315 .....	25
Motion 20. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01316 .....	27
Motion 21. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01317 .....	29
Motion 22. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01319 .....	30
Motion 23. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01320 .....	31
Motion 24. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01321 .....	32
Motion 25. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01322 .....	33
Motion 26. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01323 .....	34
Motion 27. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01246 .....	35
Motion 28. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01318 .....	36
Motion 29. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01121 .....	37
Motion 30. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01268 .....	38
Motion 31. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01270 .....	39
<b>Chapter 4: Shape and Structure of the City.....</b>	<b>41</b>
Motion 32. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01249 .....	42
Motion 33. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01186 .....	43
Motion 34. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01187 .....	44
Motion 35. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01202 .....	45

Motion 36.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01248 .....	46
Motion 37.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01232 .....	47
Motion 38.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01272 .....	48
Motion 39.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01233 .....	49
Motion 40.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01103 .....	50
Motion 41.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01271 .....	51
Motion 42.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01225 .....	52
Motion 43.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01226 .....	53
Motion 44.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01380 .....	54
<b>Chapter 5:</b>	<b>Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods.....</b>	<b>55</b>
Motion 45.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01134 .....	56
Motion 46.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01135 .....	57
Motion 47.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01141 .....	58
Motion 48.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01162 .....	60
Motion 49.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01112 .....	61
Motion 50.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01143 .....	62
Motion 51.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01144 .....	63
Motion 52.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01262 .....	65
Motion 53.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01145 .....	66
Motion 54.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01149 .....	68
Motion 55.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01161 .....	69
Motion 56.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01165 .....	70
Motion 57.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01276 .....	71
Motion 58.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01238 .....	72
Motion 59.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01111 .....	73
Motion 60.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01136 .....	74
Motion 61.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01237 .....	75
Motion 62.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01163 .....	76
Motion 63.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01119 .....	77
Motion 64.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01142 .....	79
Motion 65.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01140 .....	80
Motion 66.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01239 .....	82
Motion 67.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01279 .....	84
Motion 68.	Dynamics Ref. MOT- 01280 .....	85
Motion 69.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01110 .....	86
Motion 70.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01113 .....	87
Motion 71.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01138 .....	88
Motion 72.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01120 .....	89
Motion 73.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01157 .....	90
Motion 74.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01139 .....	92
Motion 75.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01155 .....	94

Motion 76.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01167 .....	96
Motion 77.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01148 .....	98
Motion 78.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01219 .....	99
Motion 79.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01234 .....	100
Motion 80.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01117 .....	101
Motion 81.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01241 .....	102
Motion 82.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01240 .....	103
Motion 83.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01390 .....	104
Motion 84.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01223 .....	106
Motion 85.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01146 .....	107
Motion 86.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01278 .....	108
Motion 87.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01109 .....	109
Motion 88.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01166 .....	110
Motion 89.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01147 .....	111
Motion 90.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01158 .....	112
Motion 91.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01115 .....	113
Motion 92.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01114 .....	114
Motion 93.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01203 .....	115
Motion 94.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01236 .....	116
Motion 95.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01235 .....	117
Motion 96.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01220 .....	118
Motion 97.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01093 .....	119
Motion 98.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01118 .....	120
Motion 99.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01273 .....	122
Motion 100.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01277 .....	123
Motion 101.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01389 .....	124
Motion 102.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01104 .....	125
Motion 103.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01156 .....	127
Motion 104.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01274 .....	128
Motion 105.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01391 .....	129
Motion 106.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01105 .....	131
Motion 107.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01116 .....	132
Motion 108.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01137 .....	133
Motion 109.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01377 .....	134
Motion 110.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01275 .....	135
Motion 111.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01384 .....	136
<b>Chapter 6: City Economy and Enterprise .....</b>		<b>137</b>
Motion 112.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01168 .....	138
Motion 113.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01293 .....	141
Motion 114.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01281 .....	143
Motion 115.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01282 .....	145

Motion 116.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01283 .....	146
Motion 117.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01294 .....	148
Motion 118.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01132 .....	149
Motion 119.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01378 .....	150
Motion 120.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01383 .....	151
<b>Chapter 7: The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail .....</b>		<b>153</b>
Motion 121.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01295 .....	154
Motion 122.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01297 .....	155
Motion 123.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01296 .....	156
Motion 124.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01170 .....	157
Motion 125.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01171 .....	158
Motion 126.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01172 .....	159
Motion 127.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01290 .....	161
Motion 128.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01291 .....	163
Motion 129.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01289 .....	164
Motion 130.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01131 .....	165
Motion 131.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01169 .....	166
Motion 132.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01284 .....	167
Motion 133.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01298 .....	168
Motion 134.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01299 .....	169
Motion 135.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01192 .....	170
Motion 136.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01194 .....	172
Motion 137.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01191 .....	173
Motion 138.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01195 .....	174
Motion 139.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01213 .....	175
Motion 140.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01214 .....	176
Motion 141.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01292 .....	177
Motion 142.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01381 .....	178
Motion 143.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01382 .....	179
Motion 144.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01193 .....	180
<b>Chapter 8: Sustainable Movement and Transport .....</b>		<b>183</b>
Motion 145.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01353 .....	184
Motion 146.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01342 .....	185
Motion 147.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01341 .....	186
Motion 148.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01215 .....	187
Motion 149.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01094 .....	188
Motion 150.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01347 .....	189
Motion 151.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01095 .....	190
Motion 152.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01345 .....	191
Motion 153.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01367 .....	192
Motion 154.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01346 .....	193

Motion 155.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01351 .....	194
Motion 156.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01349 .....	195
Motion 157.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01357 .....	197
Motion 158.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01356 .....	198
Motion 159.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01358 .....	199
Motion 160.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01361 .....	201
Motion 161.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01362 .....	202
Motion 162.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01359 .....	203
Motion 163.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01222 .....	204
Motion 164.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01250 .....	205
Motion 165.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01333 .....	207
Motion 166.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01343 .....	208
Motion 167.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01350 .....	209
Motion 168.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01352 .....	210
Motion 169.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01354 .....	211
Motion 170.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01360 .....	212
Motion 171.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01355 .....	213
Motion 172.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01392 .....	214
Motion 173.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01348 .....	215
Motion 174.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01344 .....	216
<b>Chapter 9: Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk .....</b>		<b>217</b>
Motion 175.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01261 .....	218
Motion 176.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01260 .....	219
Motion 177.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01313 .....	220
Motion 178.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01312 .....	221
Motion 179.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01218 .....	222
Motion 180.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01388 .....	223
Motion 181.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01180 .....	224
Motion 182.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01181 .....	225
Motion 183.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01182 .....	226
Motion 184.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01368 .....	227
Motion 185.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01217 .....	228
Motion 186.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01183 .....	229
Motion 187.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01385 .....	230
Motion 188.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01259 .....	231
Motion 189.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01366 .....	232
Motion 190.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01285 .....	233
Motion 191.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01286 .....	234
Motion 192.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01311 .....	235
Motion 193.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01363 .....	236
Motion 194.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01369 .....	237

Motion 195.	Dynamics Motion-MOT-01365 .....	238
Motion 196.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01364 .....	239
Motion 197.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01370 .....	240
Motion 198.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01096 .....	241
<b>Chapter 10:</b>	<b>Green Infrastructure and Recreation .....</b>	<b>243</b>
Motion 199.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01308 .....	244
Motion 200.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01309 .....	245
Motion 201.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01303 .....	246
Motion 202.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01310 .....	247
Motion 203.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01124 .....	248
Motion 204.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01302 .....	249
Motion 205.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01212 .....	250
Motion 206.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01122 .....	251
Motion 207.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01300 .....	252
Motion 208.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01174 .....	253
Motion 209.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01304 .....	254
Motion 210.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01204 .....	255
Motion 211.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01123 .....	256
Motion 212.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01301 .....	257
Motion 213.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01173 .....	258
Motion 214.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01175 .....	259
Motion 215.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01125 .....	260
Motion 216.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01176 .....	261
Motion 217.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01216 .....	263
Motion 218.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01224 .....	264
Motion 219.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01306 .....	265
Motion 220.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01305 .....	266
Motion 221.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01387 .....	267
Motion 222.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01205 .....	268
Motion 223.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01197 .....	269
Motion 224.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01198 .....	270
<b>Chapter 11:</b>	<b>Built Heritage and Archaeology .....</b>	<b>271</b>
Motion 225.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01245 .....	272
Motion 226.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01386 .....	273
<b>Chapter 12:</b>	<b>Culture .....</b>	<b>275</b>
Motion 227.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01264 .....	276
Motion 228.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01263 .....	277
Motion 229.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01265 .....	278
Motion 230.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01106 .....	279
Motion 231.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01327 .....	280



Motion 232.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01325 .....	281
Motion 233.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01133 .....	282
Motion 234.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01229 .....	283
Motion 235.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01330 .....	285
Motion 236.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01307 .....	286
Motion 237.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01329 .....	287
Motion 238.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01324 .....	288
Motion 239.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01326 .....	289
Motion 240.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01328 .....	290
Motion 241.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01376 .....	292
Motion 242.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01331 .....	293
Motion 243.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01332 .....	294
Motion 244.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01230 .....	295
<b>Chapter 13:</b>	<b>Strategic Development Regeneration Areas (SDRAs).....</b>	<b>297</b>
Motion 245.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01242 .....	298
Motion 246.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01243 .....	299
Motion 247.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01253 .....	300
Motion 248.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01251 .....	301
Motion 249.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01211 .....	302
Motion 250.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01244 .....	303
Motion 251.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01252 .....	304
Motion 252.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01335 .....	305
Motion 253.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01334 .....	306
Motion 254.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01254 .....	307
<b>Chapter 14:</b>	<b>Land Use Zoning .....</b>	<b>309</b>
Motion 255.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01196 .....	310
Motion 256.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01208 .....	311
Motion 257.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01102 .....	312
Motion 258.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01101 .....	314
Motion 259.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01209 .....	316
Motion 260.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01210 .....	317
Motion 261.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01129 .....	318
Motion 262.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01338 .....	320
Motion 263.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01339 .....	321
Motion 264.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01340 .....	322
Motion 265.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01130 .....	323
Motion 266.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01150 .....	324
Motion 267.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01336 .....	326
Motion 268.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01337 .....	327
Motion 269.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01372 .....	329
<b>Chapter 15:</b>	<b>Development Standards.....</b>	<b>331</b>

Motion 270.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01189 .....	332
Motion 271.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01107 .....	335
Motion 272.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01127 .....	336
Motion 273.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01159 .....	337
Motion 274.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01099 .....	338
Motion 275.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01128 .....	339
Motion 276.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01190 .....	340
Motion 277.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01231 .....	341
Motion 278.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01375 .....	343
Motion 279.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01374 .....	344
Motion 280.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01287 .....	345
Motion 281.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01288 .....	347
Motion 282.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01379 .....	348
Motion 283.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01221 .....	349
<b>Appendices.....</b>		<b>351</b>
Motion 284.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01255 .....	352
Motion 285.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01126 .....	353
Motion 286.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01188 .....	354
Motion 287.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01098 .....	355
Motion 288.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01207 .....	357
Motion 289.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01371 .....	358
Motion 290.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01097 .....	359
Motion 291.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01184 .....	360
Motion 292.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01185 .....	361
<b>Zoning Maps .....</b>		<b>363</b>
Motion 293.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01108 .....	364
Motion 294.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01178 .....	367
Motion 295.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01256 .....	368
Motion 296.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01373 .....	369
Motion 297.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01151 .....	370
Motion 298.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01152 .....	372
Motion 299.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01153 .....	374
Motion 300.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01154 .....	376
Motion 301.	Dynamics Ref. MOT-01177 .....	378
<b>Appendix: Environmental Assessment.....</b>		<b>381</b>
Strategic Environmental Assessment.....		382
Appropriate Assessment.....		382
Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.....		382
Screening Matrix .....		383

# **Chapter 1: Strategic Context and Vision**

**Motion 1.     Dynamics Ref. MOT-01247**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 1 - Strategic Context and Vision

**Motion**

Chapter: 1, Section: 1.2, Page: 3 Replace "by 2050, Dublin will be moving towards being a zero carbon city" with "by 2050, Dublin will be a zero-carbon city".

**Planning Reason**

National, international agreements speak of 2050 as a target for zero-carbon city, not merely to be moving in that way.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE is agreeable to the motion for the reason provided.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend text in Chapter: 1, Section: 1.2, Page 3, so that is changes

From:

"by 2050, Dublin will be moving towards being a zero carbon city"

To:

"by 2050, Dublin will be a zero-carbon city"

**Motion 2.     Dynamics Ref. MOT-01160**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 1 - Strategic Context and Vision

**Motion**

To include community and civic infrastructure as a strategic principle and intrinsic to the vision for Dublin. Page 4, insert after e) Urban Form.... Quality public spaces [community and civic infrastructure] and Page 5, in The vision for the city is that – after green space network add [community and civic infrastructure].

**Planning Reason**

Having regard to the sustainable development of the city in line with the objective of the Council to embrace the compact and 15 minute city model.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion proposes to make two changes to the vision and strategic principles. The changes sought support the overall intent of the Plan, and therefore, having regard to the reason given of seeking sustainable development of the city in line with the objective of the Council to embrace the compact and 15 minute city model; there is no objection to the inclusion of these phrases into the text in both cases. One small amendment is proposed to the motion in that the location in the additional text is recommended for a different location within the sentence.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to insert 'community and civic infrastructure' in e) Urban Form, page 4, to read as follows:

e) Urban Form – creating a connected, legible and liveable city with a distinctive sense of place, based on active streets, quality public spaces and adequate community and civic infrastructure.

For the Vision page 5, it is recommended to change the text to include the phrase “community and civic infrastructure”

From:

“Within the next 10 years, Dublin will have an established international reputation as one of Europe’s most sustainable, dynamic and resourceful city regions. Dublin, through the shared vision of its citizens and civic leaders, will be a beautiful, compact city, with a distinct character, a vibrant culture and a diverse, smart, green, innovation-based economy. It will be a socially inclusive city of urban neighbourhoods based on the principles of the 15 minute city, all connected by an exemplary public transport, cycling and walking system and interwoven with a high quality bio-diverse, green space network. In short, the vision is for a capital city where people will seek to live, work, experience, invest and socialise, as a matter of choice.”

To:

“Within the next 10 years, Dublin will have an established international reputation as one of Europe’s most sustainable, dynamic and resourceful city regions. Dublin, through the shared vision of its citizens and civic leaders, will be a beautiful, compact city, with a distinct character, a vibrant culture and a diverse, smart, green, innovation-based economy. It will be a socially inclusive city of urban neighbourhoods with excellent community and civic infrastructure, based on the principles of the 15 minute city, all connected by an exemplary public transport, cycling and walking system and interwoven with a high quality bio-diverse, green space network. In short, the vision is for a capital city where people will seek to live, work, experience, invest and socialise, as a matter of choice.”

**Motion 3.     Dynamics Ref. MOT-01164**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 1 - Strategic Context and Vision

**Motion**

General observation: Where we refer to 'social infrastructure' in the plan, change to 'social and community infrastructure'.

**Planning Reason**

Social infrastructure may not necessarily include community infrastructure and given the centrality of community infrastructure to the success of a compact, vibrant, sustainable city, it must be specified.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion seeks to give greater breadth to the use and meaning of the phrase community infrastructure, which is in principle supported. It is considered that the most appropriate way to address this matter is to update the Glossary to provide greater clarity by having a complete and comprehensive definition of social infrastructure, and to reduce repetition within the text of the Draft Plan. The CE, therefore, recommends that this motion is agreed with an amendment.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to insert the phrase "Social infrastructure includes all community infrastructure" in the Glossary of the Draft Plan so that is changes

From:

Social Infrastructure:

"The physical infrastructure necessary for successful communities, i.e. such as schools, libraries, community centres, cultural spaces, health centres, facilities for the elderly and persons with disabilities, childcare facilities, parks, and other facilities and spaces for play and recreational activity."

To:

Social Infrastructure:

"Social infrastructure includes all community infrastructure. The physical infrastructure necessary for successful communities, i.e. community infrastructure such as schools, libraries, community centres, cultural spaces, health centres, facilities for the elderly and persons with disabilities, childcare facilities, parks, and other facilities and spaces for play and recreational activity."

*Page Left Intentionally Blank*



## **Chapter 2: Core Strategy**

## **Motions Referring to Chapter 2: Core Strategy**

### **Motion 4.     Dynamics Ref. MOT-01206**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Hazel Chu

Refers to: Chapter 2 - Core Strategy

#### **Motion**

To add Sandymount to the list of villages for an LEIP.

#### **Planning Reason**

To promote proper development of the urban village and to promote residential amenity.

#### **Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recommendation is to agree with this motion, whilst recognising that the final expanded list of LEIPs (which currently stands at 19), as approved, will require prioritisation.

#### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text on page 44 to insert 'Sandymount' into the List on Table 2-12: List of Proposed Local Environmental Improvement Plans (LEIPs).

**Motion 5.     Dynamics Ref. MOT-01179**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daniel Ceitinn

Supporting Political Party: Sinn Féin

Refers to: Chapter 2 - Core Strategy

**Motion**

That the Development Plan will include the 'South East Inner City' communities not covered in the Development Plan from 'Liberties' to 'Ringsend/Irishtown' as this area:

requires intervention to tackle socio-economic inequality and physical degradation, is in need of local employment opportunities, major regeneration of housing stock, and broader redevelopment, must be redeveloped to achieve core targets in housing, including addressing the degrading living conditions endured by too many living in Dublin City Council flat complexes, requires major regeneration as an area identifiable in the Pobal Index of Deprivation. This motion relates to Table 2-11 in 2.7.1 - Plan Making.

**Planning Reason**

This area requires intervention to tackle socio-economic inequality and physical degradation, is in need of local employment opportunities, major regeneration of housing stock, and broader redevelopment, must be redeveloped to achieve core targets in housing, including addressing the degrading living conditions endured by too many living in Dublin City Council flat complexes, requires major regeneration as an area identifiable in the Pobal Index of Deprivation.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The South East Inner City includes a number of areas between the Liberties and Ringsend/Irishtown. Under the Pobal Index, these EDs are identified as "affluent" or "marginally above average". At a local level of small area statistics, three small areas fall into "very disadvantaged". The Council's Housing Department runs a regeneration programme that addresses the regeneration of existing social housing complexes, which tackles at a very local level, regeneration focussed intervention on complexes with identified need.

In recognition of the decision to add all proposed LAPs at the June Special Council Meeting, it is agreed to include this area to the list in Table 2-11: Schedule of Other LAPs/VIPs, page 43 of the Draft Development Plan (which currently stands at 17).

It should be noted that objective CS04 on page 43 of the Draft Development Plan states in relation to this list: "To implement a programme for the preparation of Local Area Plans/Village Improvement Plans and to prioritise areas in accordance with the strategic objectives of the core strategy including those areas which are experiencing or likely to experience large scale development and regeneration".

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend Table 2-11: Schedule of Other LAPs/VIPs section 2.7.1, page 43 to include: South East Inner City.

**Motion 6.     Dynamics Ref. MOT-01201**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Deróiste

Refers to: Chapter 2 - Core Strategy

**Motion**

To include Chapelizod in table 2.10 and include the area in the schedule of Local Area Plans to be commenced over the period of the plan.

**Planning Reason**

Chapelizod is a unique village in Dublin City that no doubt requires to be the focus of a Local Area Plan. To take the historic nature of the village, its proximity to the Phoenix Park and the proposed developments and future developments, this will change the face of this village forever and should be subject to a Local Area Plan.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Local Area Plans are prioritised on the basis of a list of three criteria, set out on page 42 of the Draft Development Plan, as follows:

“The preparation of these plans will be based on a prioritised selection procedure to be agreed during the implementation phase of the plan. Such prioritisation will be on the basis of:

- Areas that require economic, physical and social renewal.
- Development potential and ability to assist in the delivery of the core strategy.
- Need for regeneration within an area based on the Pobal Index of Deprivation.”

It is considered that Chapelizod would not meet the above criteria. However, as the motion recognises, the village has a distinctive character and can play an important role in supporting the 15 minute city principle. It is, therefore, proposed that adding Chapelizod to Table 2-12: List of Proposed Local Environmental Improvement Plans (LEIPs), (page 44 of the Draft Plan) would be beneficial for the village in that the preparation of an LEIP will address the issues of linkages, public realm, greening and local improvements in consultation with the local community.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to insert the phrase 'Chapelizod' in Table 2-12: List of Proposed Local Environmental Improvement Plans (LEIPs), page 44 of the Draft Plan.

**Motion 7.     Dynamics Ref. MOT-01092**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Dermot Lacey

Refers to: Chapter 2 - Core Strategy

**Motion**

To add to the final paragraph page of page 43 under the CS04 objective: "To this end Dublin City Council will seek to establish a specific core team to assist local communities devise non statutory Area Development Plans for local village and community areas".

**Planning Reason**

We need to assist those communities whose areas require upgrade, attention or other DCC intervention and which are not the focus of the Statutory plans to improve their areas and in order to do so a specific "small projects" type team needs to be established.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This motion is not considered appropriate for the Draft Plan as the issue raised in this motion is an operational matter in that it relates to the allocation of staff resources. The Council is committed to implementing the Draft Development Plan, including making progress on an agreed list of priority LEIPs and will work closely with the Area Offices, other Council Departments and the local community to bring forward a number of LEIPs during the lifetime of the Development Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed.

The motion is outside of the scope of the Development Plan as it relates to an operational matter.

**Motion 8.     Dynamics Ref. MOT-01200**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Deróiste

Refers to: Chapter 2 - Core Strategy

**Motion**

Chapter No: 2 Page 38: To include in the last paragraph, before the last sentence “that in the face of such challenges, this Development Plan recognises the importance of good community consultation and will engage with residents on a proactive basis and take on views where applicable”.

**Planning Reason**

We cannot plan good communities without proper community engagement around significant land banks, especially one with “significant challenges” as the Draft Plan sets out.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan already addresses this issue in Section 16.2 Collaboration and Engagement (Page 600) of the Draft Plan. It states:

“...The City Council, through collaboration with communities and networks, such as the Public Participation Network, the Dublin City Local Community Development Committee, and Comhairle na nÓg, will develop on-going engagement process for the implementation of the development plan. The City Council will also during the life of this Development Plan, use appropriate social media platforms to engage with the city’s stakeholders and citizens in order to develop online dialogue about the progress of the Plan.....”

The statutory process requires a high level of public consultation and engagement, which is set down in the Planning and Development Act and Government Guidelines. Consultation is implicit and embedded in all plan making.

It is considered, therefore, that the issue highlighted in the motion is already fully addressed in the Draft Plan and legislation and to repeat this point in other chapters would generate unnecessary duplication.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed.

The importance of the consultative process is already addressed in Chapter 16 - section 16.2 Collaboration and Engagement.

**Motion 9.     Dynamics Ref. MOT-01199**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Deróiste

Refers to: Chapter 2 - Core Strategy

**Motion**

Chapter No: 2 Page 25: To recognise Inchicore as a Key Urban Village and include same in maps and references.

**Planning Reason**

Inchicore Village is a key artery into the city, but also a place where significant development will occur throughout the course of this plan. The village should be recognised as same and supported as such.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Inchicore is identified a key neighbourhood – see Figure 5.1 of the Draft Plan. However, the identification of Key Urban Villages (KUVs) linked to the retail hierarchy as per the retail strategy in the Regional Spatial Economic Strategy (RSES), which Dublin City Council must comply with. Inchicore does not accord with this level of retail in the regional hierarchy. It should be noted that a specific objective exists under CSO13, page 47 of the Draft Development Plan, which states:

“To seek funding under Call 3 of the URDF for the planning, detailed design and construction of the Kilmainham and Inchicore Development Strategy projects.”

This objective identifies Inchicore, with Kilmainham, as two villages with their own individual plan, which was noted by Councillors in 2020, and where further funding is sought to implement this plan.

It is considered that this existing recent plan and the supporting Objective CSO13 provides a quality planning context to seek additional funding and develop and improve Inchicore. It is, therefore, the recommendation of the CE to not agree the motion as there is already appropriate recognition of Inchicore in the Draft Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed.

Inchicore does not accord with the RSES retail hierarchy which informs the list of Key Urban Villages listed in the Draft Plan.

**Motion 10. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01227**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 2 - Core Strategy

**Motion**

Implement the existing Dorset Street Together Plan.

**Planning Reason**

The Dorset Street Together Plan was funded by Dublin City Council and was widely supported by the local community. The Dorset Street Together Plan should be implemented in the Development Plan for the betterment of Dorset Street and surrounding areas.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Dorset Street Together Plan may need further work to ensure that it conforms with the overall strategic objectives of the Development Plan as well as the NTA strategy and Bus Connects proposals. In this regard, the Dorset Street Together Plan may assist in informing any future LEIP drawn up for the area by the Planning Department and will provide a useful basis for such a Plan. The list of proposed LEIP's is set out in Table 2.12, page 44 of the Draft Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed.

Dorset Street is already included in Table 2-12: List of Proposed Local Environmental Improvement Plans (LEIPs), page 44 of the Draft Plan.



**Motion 11. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01228**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 2 - Core Strategy

**Motion**

Prioritise the implementation of a Local Area Plan for Phibsborough.

Planning Reason

Given that the Phibsborough LAP which failed to be adopted provides over 90% of the content for a new LAP, a new Phibsborough LAP will be prioritised on adoption of the Development Plan.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Table 2.11 of the Draft Development Plan, page 43, sets out the list of Other LAPs/VIPs and Phibsborough KUV is on this list.

It is not considered appropriate at this stage to identify any other individual LAPs for priority beyond those in Table 2-10; as until a prioritisation list has been agreed with Elected Members for Plans listed in table 2-11. This prioritisation will take place following adoption of the Draft Plan and will be aligned with the Development Plan prioritisation criteria as set out on page 42, and in line with resources.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed.

This issue will be addressed at implementation stage.

**Motion 12. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01266**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 2 - Core Strategy

**Motion**

Chapter:2, Section:2.7.2, Page:46: Add a new objective in relation to derelict sites "Study on the use of Derelict Sites Act 1990: To carry out an analysis on the use of the Derelict Sites Act 1990 in the Dublin City area and the application for listing as a derelict site to ensure that all derelict sites are captured.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure efficient and effective land use management.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Derelict Sites Act; while referenced in the Development Plan; is pursued under different legislation and as such, is not a planning policy matter. It is recommended that the intent to undertake a study on the Derelict Sites Act should be referred to the Planning and Urban Form SPC where this issue can be more appropriately explored.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed.

The matter is outside of the scope of the Development Plan.

Refer to the Planning and Urban Form SPC.

## **Chapter 3: Climate Action**

## **Motions Referring to Chapter 3: Climate Action**

### **Motion 13. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01100**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Sophie Nicoullaud

**Refers to:** Chapter 3 - Climate Action

#### **Motion**

That the agreement from the CEO to include policy in support of Just Transition be clearly mentioned and written in the Core Strategy of this Development Plan.

#### **Planning Reason**

Planning is recognised as being part of the solution to reduce carbon emission and just transition. The inclusion of the principle of “Just Transition” is critical when discussing climate action and climate justice to ensure no members of society are left behind when transitioning to a zero carbon society. “Just Transition” is enshrined in the Paris Agreement (2015).

#### **Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan includes reference to the concept of the Just Transition in relation to national Climate Action Plan (Section 3.1 of Chapter 3 on p.53) as well as the Regional Enterprise Plans (Section 6.5.3 of Chapter 6 on p.154).

However, due to the importance of this concept, it is agreed that additional text should be included in the Chapter 2 'Core Strategy' in support of the principle of Just Transition.

#### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Chapter 2, Section 2.3.1 to include the following new text:

"This plan also seeks to support the principle of "Just Transition" to ensure that no members of society are left behind when transitioning to a zero carbon society".

**Motion 14. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01257**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Deirdre Heney

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

To support the retrofitting and reuse of existing buildings rather than their demolition and reconstruction where possible. Amendment Line 1 - Insert the words "promote and" between the words "To" and "support"

**Planning Reason**

To strengthen the emphasis on reuse as a positive climate action.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The principle of retrofitting and reuse of existing buildings is an important element of the climate response for the built environment included in the Draft Plan.

The existing commitment to retrofitting and reuse in the Draft Plan is evident in Policy CA5 'Retrofitting and Reuse of Existing Buildings' on page 63 but also in Policy QHSN7 'Reduction of Vacancy' on page 109, Policy CEE13 'Towards a Green and Circular Economy', Policy BHA21 'Retrofitting Sustainability Measures' on page 324 and in Section 15.3.3 Alterations, Extensions and Retrofitting of Existing Non – Domestic Buildings' on page 513.

The motion seeks to strengthen the wording of Policy CA5, for which there is no objection as it supports the intent of Draft Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the text of Policy CA5 'Retrofitting and Reuse of Existing Buildings' in Section 3.5.2 as follows:

From:

Policy CA5 Retrofitting and Reuse of Existing Buildings

"To support the retrofitting and reuse of existing buildings rather than their demolition and reconstruction where possible."

To:

Policy CA5 Retrofitting and Reuse of Existing Buildings

"To promote and support the retrofitting and reuse of existing buildings rather than their demolition and reconstruction where possible".

**Motion 15. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01258**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Deirdre Heney

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

To support the delivery of soft, green and grey adaptation measures to enhance flood and water resource resilience in the city. Amendment to replace "To support the delivery of soft, green and grey adaptation measures to enhance flood and water resource resilience in the city." with the following "To promote the delivery of soft and green adaptation measures to enhance flood and water resource resilience in the city and support the delivery of grey adaptation measures to enhance flood and water resource resilience where necessary".

**Planning Reason**

To strengthen the emphasis on use of soft and green for the protection amenity use for citizens of the city.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The use of soft, green and grey adaptation measures in an integrated and appropriate fashion is a key pillar of the Council's approach to enhancing flood and water resource resilience.

However, it is also accepted that soft and green measures should be preferred over harder 'grey' measures which can entail considerable engineering and construction works and it is agreed, therefore, that it would be appropriate to amend the text of policy CA25 'Flood and Water Resource Resilience' to more accurately reflect this.

It is recommended that the text of policy CA25 'Flood and Water Resource Resilience' in Section 3.5.6 be amended in accordance with the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend Policy CA25 'Flood and Water Resource Resilience' in Section 3.5.6 as follows:

From:

Policy CA25 'Flood and Water Resource Resilience

"To support the delivery of soft, green and grey adaptation measures to enhance flood and water resource resilience in the city."

To:

Policy CA25 'Flood and Water Resource Resilience

"To promote the delivery of soft and green adaptation measures to enhance flood and water resource resilience in the city and support the delivery of grey adaptation measures to enhance flood and water resource resilience where necessary."

**Motion 16. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01314**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

To remove any references to the Climate Action Plan 2019.

**Planning Reason**

Reason: The new Climate Action Plan 2021 will be published shortly and will override the 2019 will be moot.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE notes that the National Climate Action Plan 2019 is due to be updated in late 2021. However, until the new National Climate Action Plan 2021 has been published and reviewed, it would not be appropriate to amend all references to the current National Climate Action Plan 2019.

It is recommended instead that reference be made to the upcoming adoption of the new National Climate Action Plan in the Draft Plan which will also state that the Draft Plan will be amended to ensure that it is consistent with the approach to climate action set out in the new Climate Action Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment. It is recommended that the following text is inserted after the paragraph 3. Section 1.9.4, p15.

“It is recognised that the Government intends to publish a new National Climate Action Plan in the near future. Dublin City Council will consider a variation of the Development Plan within a reasonable period of time to ensure that the Development Plan will be consistent with the overall approach to climate action set out in any new National Climate Action Plan.

It is also recommended that objective CAO2 ‘Variation of Development Plan to Reflect New Guidance / Legislation’ in Section 3.5 on page 60 be amended:

From:

CAO2: Variation of Development Plan to Reflect New Guidance / Legislation

“To consider a future variation(s) of the Development Plan where required to ensure consistency with the approach to Climate Action recommended in forthcoming Ministerial Guidelines, any other relevant Guidelines and/or legislation.”

To

CAO2: Variation of Development Plan to Reflect New Guidance / Legislation

“To consider a future variation(s) of the Development Plan where required to ensure consistency with the approach to Climate Action recommended in forthcoming Ministerial Guidelines, any other relevant Guidelines and/or relevant legislation and Government climate action policy.”

**Motion 17. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01267**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

Chapter: 3, Section:3.2, Page:56 Under WHO Breathe Life Campaign include "car free schools zones"

**Planning Reason**

To ensure sustainable and climate focused development.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Dublin City Council, has implemented a 'School Zone' initiative designed to give priority to students at the school gate by freeing up footpaths and reducing vehicle drop-offs, pick-ups and idling. Dublin's first school zone was introduced at Francis Street CBS in August 2020; which proved successful and this initiative has now been expanded across the city.

The wording "car free schools zones" is not an accurate description of the current programme and, therefore, would not be appropriate in this section; which outlines current achievements. However, it is important to highlight the implementation of the 'School Zone' initiative and an amended wording is proposed and that it located in Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree this motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to add an additional bullet point, in Section 8.2, page 199, as follows:

"Dublin City Council, has implemented a 'School Zone' initiative designed to give priority to students at the school gate by freeing up footpaths and reducing vehicle drop-offs, pick-ups and idling. Dublin's first school free zone was introduced at Francis Street CBS in August 2020."



**Motion 18. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01269**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

Chapter: 3, Section: 3.5.5, Page: 73 insert a new policy "to facilitate creative solutions to EV charger installation, such as install charge points in streets lights as done by other Dublin Local Authorities".

**Planning Reason**

To ensure infrastructure is in place for cleaner transport.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan recognises the importance of ensuring that sufficient charging points and rapid charging infrastructure are provided across the city.

In addition to Section 3.5.5 'Sustainable Transport' which includes policy CA24 'Electric Vehicles', the provision of EV charging infrastructure is addressed in Policy CEE13 'Towards a Green and Circular Economy' and in Policy SMT27 'Expansion of the EV Charging Network'.

These policies aim to give robust support for the provision of additional infrastructure without being overly prescriptive as to how this infrastructure is provided, so long as it adheres to appropriate design and siting considerations and is in accordance with the relevant legislative requirements.

It should be noted that the innovative approaches referred to in the motion (such as street light charging) solutions are currently being tested on a limited trial basis only in a small number of locations. The four Dublin Authorities are currently undertaking a study as to how best to address future charging needs; taking into account technology, accessibility and public realm. As decisions as to the best and most viable solutions have yet to be made, it would not be appropriate to call out specific approaches; which may or may not be selected; leaving the Draft Plan out of line with the agreed approach.

As a result, it is not considered appropriate to refer to them directly in the text of policy CA25 in the current Draft Development Plan.

However, the overall sentiment of the motion is to be welcomed as it aligns with the overall approach to EV charging infrastructure outlined in the Draft Plan and it is, therefore, recommended that additional text based on the content of the motion be added to Section 3.5.5 Sustainable Transport and to Section 8.5.1 'Addressing Climate Change through Sustainable Mobility' to support innovative solutions to EV charging infrastructure.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with amendments.

It is recommended that the following text be added to the paragraph directly preceding Policy CA24 Electric Vehicles in Section 3.5.5 of Chapter 3:

"Regard will be had to advances being made in EV charging technology as well as the development of new, efficient, innovative and accessible ways of providing charging points."

It is recommended that the following text be added to the paragraph ending "...EV charging and maintenance and management regimes." on page 202 in Section 8.5.1 of Chapter 8:

"Innovative ways of providing such additional infrastructure are currently being considered and tested in the Dublin Region, and it is recognised that these solutions could have an important role to play in future."

**Motion 19. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01315**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

To update all targets in the section to reflect changes in National Legislation and remove any references to outdated targets across the Development Plan.

**Planning Reason**

Targets have increased to 51% by 2030 nationally under new legislation and therefore all other targets are moot.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Section 3.1 Introduction, Sub section 'International, National and Local Climate Policy' Page 54 and Section 3.3 Challenges, Subsection 'Decarbonising the City and Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions' page 57, note that 2030 targets to reduce greenhouse gas emissions have been increased to 51% under the 2020 Programme for Government.

A subsequent paragraph states that "More recently, the Climate Action and Low Carbon Development (Amendment) Bill 2021 has been enacted to support Ireland's transition to Net Zero and to achieve a climate neutral economy by no later than 2050."

However, it is recognised that this paragraph refers to the Bill and not the Act and does not specifically refer to the 51% emissions reduction target, and as a result, it is recommended that the text of this paragraph be changed.

In addition, while Section 3.3 Challenges page 57 does note that Ireland is committed to cutting its greenhouse gas emissions by 51% by 2030, it does not reference the Act. As a result, the third paragraph after 'Decarbonising the City and Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions' and Section 9.5.12 Energy Utilities in Chapter 9 on page 257 should also be amended.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with amendments.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Chapter 3, Section 3.1, page 54

From:

"More recently, the Climate Action and Low Carbon Development (Amendment Act) Bill 2021 has been enacted to support Ireland's transition to Net Zero and to achieve a climate neutral economy by no later than 2050."

To:

"More recently, the Climate Action and Low Carbon Development (Amendment) Act 2021 has been adopted, putting Ireland on a legally binding path to net-Zero emissions no later than 2050, and to a 51% reduction in emissions (relative to a baseline of 2018) by the end of this decade".

And to amend Section 3.3 on page 57

From:

"Ireland is committed to cutting its greenhouse gas emissions by 51% by 2030 and Dublin City will have a key part to play in achieving this target."

To:

"Under the recently adopted Climate Action and Low Carbon Development (Amendment) Act, Ireland is committed to cutting its greenhouse gas emissions by 51% by 2030 (relative to a baseline of 2018) and Dublin City will have a key part to play in achieving this target."

And to amend Section 9.5.12 Energy Utilities in Chapter 9 on page 257

From:

"National and European policy require a reduction in the carbon emissions arising from the energy utilities sector in order to achieve a 30% reduction in greenhouse emissions by 2030 when compared with 2005 limits and a net zero emissions by 2050."

To:

"The energy utilities sector will need to decarbonise to align with National and European policy requirements for a reduction of 51% in greenhouse gas emissions by 2030 (relative to a baseline of 2018) and net zero emissions by 2050."

**Motion 20. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01316**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

To include a reference to new targets set out in the Covenant of Mayors to which Dublin City is a signatory.

**Planning Reason**

Targets will be amended to 55% by 2030 under new CoM agreement.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that a reference to the Covenant of Mayors, to which Dublin City is a signatory, should be referenced in Chapter 3 Climate Action, along with a reference to the fact that the annual report on the Council's Climate Action Plan (2019) will reflect new Covenant of Mayors emission targets for the city; to ensure that this commitment is recognised in the Draft Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with amendments.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in two locations-

- (1) at Section 3.2: Achievements, Subsection The Climate Change Action Plan for Dublin City (2019-2024), Page 56

From:

"In 2019, a Climate Change Action Plan (CCAP) was prepared by Codema for Dublin City Council that sets out over 200 actions across five key areas (energy and buildings, transport, flood resilience, nature-based solutions and resource management) in order to make Dublin City Council and its related operations more adaptive and resilient to the current and future impacts of climate change."

To:

"In 2019, a Climate Change Action Plan (CCAP) was prepared by Codema for Dublin City Council that sets out over 200 actions across five key areas (energy and buildings, transport, flood resilience, nature-based solutions and resource management) in order to make Dublin City Council and its related operations more adaptive and resilient to the current and future impacts of climate change. The CAP for Dublin City was completed in accordance with the requirements of the Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy to which Dublin City Council is a signatory. The annual report on the CCAP for Dublin City will reflect updated Covenant of Mayors CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction targets for the city."

- (2) And to amend at Section 3.2: Achievements, Subsection 'The Climate Change Action Plan for Dublin City (2019-2024)' Page 56

From:

“In 2019, a Climate Change Action Plan (CCAP) was prepared by Codema for Dublin City Council that sets out over 200 actions across five key areas (energy and buildings, transport, flood resilience, nature-based solutions and resource management) in order to make Dublin City Council and its related operations more adaptive and resilient to the current and future impacts of climate change.”

To:

“In 2019, a Climate Change Action Plan (CCAP) was prepared by Codema for Dublin City Council that sets out over 200 actions across five key areas (energy and buildings, transport, flood resilience, nature-based solutions and resource management) in order to make Dublin City Council and its related operations more adaptive and resilient to the current and future impacts of climate change. The Plan was also completed in accordance with the requirements of the Covenant of Mayors or Climate and Energy to which Dublin City Council is a signatory. The annual report on the CCAP for Dublin City will reflect the Covenant of Mayors CO<sub>2</sub> targets for the city.”

**Motion 21. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01317**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

Chapter: 3, Section: 3.5, Page: 60 CAO1: Include the word 'citizens and elected representatives' after Codema.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure democratic and transparent participation.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The additional text sought for Objective CAO1 will provide greater clarity to the objective and is therefore recommended for inclusion in the Draft Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend Objective CAO1 Section 3.5, page 60

From:

Objective CAO1 Dublin City Council Climate Action Plan

"To implement Dublin City Council's 2019 Climate Change Action Plan in consultation and partnership with stakeholders including the Dublin Metropolitan Climate Action Regional Office (CARO) and Codema."

To:

Objective CAO1 Dublin City Council Climate Action Plan

"To implement Dublin City Council's 2019 Climate Change Action Plan in consultation and partnership with stakeholders including the Dublin Metropolitan Climate Action Regional Office (CARO), Codema, residents and elected representatives."

**Motion 22. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01319**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

Chapter: 3, Section: 3.5.3 CA18: to amend to include the following: “and will commit to establishing Decarbonising Zones in each LEA within the lifetime of this plan, with a view to designating all of Dublin City as a decarbonised zone by the end of this Development Plan”.

**Planning Reason**

To help reach our climate targets.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Action 165 of the current Climate Action Plan 2019 and Circular Letter LGSMO1-2021 seeks the identification of an area / location in each local authority area that would be subject to a plan for a Decarbonising Zone. Such a plan would set out a path to help reach national climate targets by achieving greenhouse gas emissions reductions in the chosen area. The Implementation Plan will be included in the Council's Climate Action Plan.

Ringsend / Irishtown has been identified as the city's flagship / demonstrator low carbon area. It is anticipated that area based project(s) will be developed for this area during the lifetime of the Plan. The implementation of these projects will help deepen our understanding of the scope and implementation challenges ahead in decarbonizing the city economy / society. It is anticipated that a wider roll out of decarbonisation zones in the city will follow in line with evolving climate policy and legislative requirements and that reference could be made in the draft Plan of this.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended that Policy CA18, Section 35.5.3, page 70 be amended:

From:

Policy CA18 Decarbonising Zones

“To support the specific policies and projects identified in the Decarbonisation Zone of Ringsend/Irishtown in order to address local low carbon energy, greenhouse gas emissions and climate needs.”

To:

Policy CA18 Decarbonising Zones

“To support the specific policies and projects identified in the Decarbonisation Zone of Ringsend/Irishtown in order to address local low carbon energy, greenhouse gas emissions and climate needs and to support the further roll out of decarbonisation zones in the city in line with evolving climate policy and legislative requirements.”



**Motion 23. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01320**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

Chapter: 3, Section: 3.5.4, Page: 72 CA22 - Amend to include 'A Waste Action Plan for a Circular Economy 2020 to 2025 and the new Circular Economy Bill 2021'.

**Planning Reason**

To promote the transition to a circular economy.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is not considered appropriate to specifically reference the Circular Economy Bill as it has not yet been enacted. However, the Draft Plan can reference the expectation that the Waste Action Plan it will be updated and such a change is recommended. If the Circular Economy Bill is enacted during the public consultation of the Draft Plan, there will be an opportunity to amend the text at that stage.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend Policy CA22 under Section 3.5.4, page 72 as follows:

From:

Policy CA 22 The Circular Economy

"To support the shift towards the circular economy approach as set out in the National Waste Policy for 2020-2025."

To:

Policy CA 22 The Circular Economy

"To support the shift towards the circular economy approach as set out in 'A Waste Action Plan for a Circular Economy 2020 to 2025, Irelands National Waste Policy, or as updated."

**Motion 24. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01321**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

Chapter: 3, Section: 3.5.5, Page: 73 CA24 - to include: "and will have regard to the new Dublin City Electric Vehicle Strategy when published in order to facilitate the transition to low carbon vehicles required to achieve our new 2030 national targets".

**Planning Reason**

To support the shift to lower carbon vehicles.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion seeks to include reference to the forthcoming Strategy for the Dublin area on Electric Vehicles; the change is supported for the reason outlined with the motion. As CA24 is already quite lengthy; and also to ensure there is a clear statement of support for the Strategy; it is recommended to include this motion as a new objective.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

The recommendation of the CE is to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend Section 3.5.5, Sustainable Transport, page 73, to include a new objective:

CAO4: Regional Strategy for Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging

"To support and implement the forthcoming Regional Strategy for Electric Vehicle (EV) charging over the lifetime of the plan in order to facilitate the transition to low carbon vehicles required to achieve 2030 national targets."

**Motion 25. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01322**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

Chapter: 3, Section: 3.5.5, Page: 73 To add a new objective: To actively support the modal shift towards sustainable transport modes to have regard to all relevant regulations, guidelines and plans regarding walking, cycling and public transport.

**Planning Reason**

To promote modal shift towards active and sustainable transport in the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Policy regarding modal shift, including relevant targets, is set out in Chapter 8 of the Draft Plan and it would be a duplication to repeat this in Chapter 3. However, it is recommended that Chapter 3 be amended to provide further clarity by referencing the relevant section of Chapter 8.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend Section 3.5.5 Sustainable Transport, Page 72 as follows:

From:

“Chapter 8: Sustainable Movement and Transport sets out a strategy which responds to these challenges by seeking to minimise the need to travel and by promoting a shift from private car use towards more sustainable forms of transport.”

To:

“Chapter 8: Sustainable Movement and Transport sets out a strategy which responds to these challenges by seeking to minimise the need to travel and by promoting a shift from private car use towards more sustainable forms of transport (see Section 8.5.6: Sustainable Modes).”

**Motion 26. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01323**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

Chapter: 3, Section: 3.5.2, Page: 63 To include a specific policy objective stating the following: To actively retrofit Dublin Council housing stock to a B2 Building Energy Rating (BER) in line with the Government's Housing for All Plan retrofit targets for 2030.

**Planning Reason**

To reach our climate targets and create warmer cheaper homes for our citizens.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Dublin City Council has upgraded in excess of 9,000 of its social housing units as part of a phased energy efficiency upgrade programme (Fabric Upgrade Programme) and it plans to similarly upgrade the remaining relevant stock.

It is considered, therefore, that existing Policy CA6 'Energy Efficiency in Existing Buildings', Page 63 in Chapter 3 Climate Action can be amended to include support for the Council's 'Fabric Upgrade Programme' for its social housing units.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree this motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend Policy CA6, Section 3.5.2, Page 63:

From:

Policy CA6 Energy Efficiency in Existing Buildings

"To support high levels of energy conservation, energy efficiency and the use of renewable energy sources in existing buildings, including retro-fitting of appropriate energy efficiency measures in the existing building stock."

To:

Policy CA6 Energy Efficiency in Existing Buildings

"To support high levels of energy conservation, energy efficiency and the use of renewable energy sources in existing buildings, including retro-fitting of appropriate energy efficiency measures in the existing building stock and to support the City Council's Energy Efficiency Fabric Upgrade Programme in order to secure significant energy and cost savings and improved comfort levels for residents."

**Motion 27. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01246**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Tom Brabazon

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

It shall be the policy of Dublin City Council that the installation of living green walls should be encouraged to the fullest possible extent throughout the city of Dublin.

**Planning Reason**

In order to improve environmental sustainability and sustainable living in the city.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Specific policy support for green walls is provided for in the Draft Plan in Policy CA8 'Climate Adaptation Actions in the Built Environment' which explicitly promotes and supports the inclusion of green walls in developments. Green walls are also specifically addressed in Chapter 15 in Section 15.6.4 'Green Wall/Living Wall'.

The Draft Plan also provides support for the installation of green walls in a number of locations including in Chapter 3 (Section 3.3 on page 58, Section 3.5.7 on page 74), in Chapter 7 (Section 7.5.7 on page 191) in Chapter 10 (Section 10.5.1 on page 266) and in Chapter 13 (Section 13.2 on page 365).

As a result, it is considered that there is robust support for the installation of green walls already in the Draft Plan that addresses this motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as already addressed in the Draft Plan.

**Motion 28. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01318**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

Chapter: 3, Section: 3.5.2, Page: 64 CA9 - Should amend to 'all new developments' and remove 30 residential units / more than 1,000 sq. foot.

**Planning Reason**

This climate proofing mechanism should apply to all new developments. If we serious about building a climate resilient city we should not be setting such limitations within our new built environment.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that requiring the submission of a Climate Action Energy Statement for all development types would be too onerous for many small developments - the threshold of 30 residential unit plus and/or more than 1,000 sq. m. of commercial floorspace is considered reasonable.

It should be noted that all (relevant) development proposals will be assessed against draft policies CA7 'Climate Mitigation Actions in the Built Environment and CA8 'Climate Adaptation Actions in the Built Environment' which seeks to promote and support low carbon development and sustainable design principles for new buildings / services, which will address this issue at a smaller scale for smaller developments.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it would be too onerous for small developments.

**Motion 29. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01121**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Joe Costello

Co-sponsors: Cllr Dermot Lacey, Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

New Objective CA 20 (P.70) It is an objective of Dublin City Council that the area between the Canals be designated an Ultra-Low Emissions Zone (ULEZ) and that appropriate steps be taken to achieve and maintain that status.

**Planning Reason**

London City Centre has been so designated. Dublin City Council should develop similar strategies to reduce carbon emissions in the City Centre.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The London Ultra Low Emissions Zone (ULEZ) is an area of London where the most polluting vehicles must pay a levy in order to use the roads. The ULEZ charge system operates in conjunction with the city's overall congestion charging scheme which is enforced by a network of cameras situated at entry and exit points to the charging zone and at key locations within the zone itself.

Given the technical, operational, financial and legal issues associated with establishing such a charging system, it is not considered appropriate to address the development of such a system in the Draft Plan.

However, it should be noted that Objective SMT028 'Environmental and Road Safety Impacts of Traffic in the City' in Chapter 8 on page 226 commits to the monitoring and assessment of traffic related air quality in the city.

The issue of air quality is also specifically addressed in Section 9.5.7 'Air Quality' of Chapter 9 (on p.249) which describes how Dublin has signed up to the UN/WHO commitments on air quality, and finally, the issue of air pollution and schools is addressed by Objective QHSN14 on page 135.

It is considered that there is merit in the Climate Action, Environment and Energy SPC and the Traffic and Transportation SPC researching this issue to examine the concept for Dublin and possible future options.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed.

The designation of ULEZ must be addressed through legislative change and at regional transport policy level.

Refer to Climate Action, Environment and Energy SPC and the Traffic and Transportation SPC.

**Motion 30. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01268**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

Chapter: 3, Section: 3.5.2, Page: 63 Amend Policy CA5 - "Retrofitting and Reuse of Existing Buildings: To support the retrofitting and reuse of existing buildings rather than their demolition and reconstruction where possible there will be a general presumption against demolition."

**Planning Reason**

To ensure sustainable development and efficient and effective use of existing buildings.

**Chief Executive's Response**

In addition to Policy CA5 'Retrofitting and Reuse of Existing Buildings', the commitment to retrofitting and reuse of existing buildings in the Draft Plan is evident in Policy QHSN7 'Reduction of Vacancy', Policy CEE13 'Towards a Green and Circular Economy', Policy BHA21 'Retrofitting Sustainability Measures' and in Section 15.3.3 Alterations, Extensions and Retrofitting of Existing Non – Domestic Buildings'.

The Draft Plan does not include a general presumption against demolition however, as such a presumption could serve to undermine the sustainable redevelopment of regeneration areas as well as the densification of areas close to high quality public transport infrastructure as called for in national and regional planning policy. There are instances where demolition would be the most appropriate option in order to achieve broader plan objectives.

It is, therefore, not considered appropriate to include a general presumption against demolition as proposed by the motion in the Draft Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it could undermine sustainable regeneration.



**Motion 31. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01270**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 3 - Climate Action

**Motion**

Chapter: 3, Section: 3.5.6, Page: 74 insert a new policy "alongside supporting delivery of soft, green and grey adaptation measures to enhance flood and water resource resilience in the city, the council will seek to minimise the loss of already existing soft and green spaces to prevent any loss of resilience.

**Planning Reason**

To promote development by ensuring effective flood mitigation.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Development Plan includes a number of detailed policies aimed at improving the existing levels of green space and flood resilience in the city, both through its own activities but also as part of the development management process.

Firstly, in relation to climate action and flood resilience, Section 3.5.6 includes detailed policies relating to Flood Resilience and Water including Policy CA25 'Flood and Water Resilience', Policy CA26 'Flood Risk Assessment and Adaptation' and Policy CA27 'Natural Flood Risk Mitigation'.

In addition, Chapter 9: Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk sets out a River Corridor policy approach for the city's rivers, contains policies and objectives on SuDS, green roofs, Surface Water Management Plans, the separation of foul and surface water drainage systems, water conservation and coastal zone management.

These specific measures and policies relating to flood risk and resilience are complemented by an array of policies and objectives in Chapter 10 'Green Infrastructure and Recreation' which seek, amongst other things, to "provide a network of multifunctional green spaces / urban green spaces which can secure a spectrum of environmental, social, and economic benefits for the city thereby, contributing to urban sustainability, climate resilience and providing a good quality of life for people."

The provision of additional, high quality soft and green spaces in the city is key to this approach. Policy GI 3 'Multifunctionality' for example seeks to "ensure delivery of multifunctional green and civic spaces that meet community needs, promote active and passive recreation, flood and surface water management and local habitat improvements. The multi-functionality of spaces will be balanced against the need to protect and enhance local habitat and the recreational and functional requirements of parks".

Policies GI26 'Securing Acquisition of Additional Public Open Space' and GI27 'Addressing Public Open Space Deficits in Identified Areas' in Chapter 10 also provide for the provision of additional soft and green space in accordance with the Council's 2019 Park Strategy while Policy GI28 'New Residential Development' requires new residential developments to provide high quality public space.

Finally, Chapter 15 'Development Standards' includes numerous requirements for new developments to address Sustainability and Climate Action (Section 15.4.3) and Green Infrastructure and Landscaping (Section 15.6).

It is considered that the Draft Plan already addresses comprehensively the importance of green areas for resilience, and balances it with the need to encourage sustainable regeneration within the built up area to reduce the loss of open green field lands in the wider region.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as there are sufficient policies addressing climate resilience and green space in the Draft Plan; balanced with the importance of allowing sustainable regeneration within urban areas.

## **Chapter 4: Shape and Structure of the City**

## **Motions Referring to Chapter 4: Shape and Structure of the City**

### **Motion 32. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01249**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 4 - Shape and Structure of the City

#### **Motion**

Chapter: 4, Section: 4.5.3, Page: 93 Insert the word "certain" before SDRAs in the first paragraph.

#### **Planning Reason**

While most SDRAs will rightly be targets for higher density, not all will necessarily be, so the plan should not take a blanket approach.

#### **Chief Executive's Response**

The proposed change to the text is a more accurate reflection of the SDRAs and the motion is recommended for the reasons outlined with the motion.

#### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text by amending Chapter: 4, Section: 4.5.3, Page: 92 and 93

From:

"There will be continued consolidation of the city to optimise the efficient use of urban land. Higher densities will be promoted in the city centre, within KUVs, SDRAs and within the catchment of high capacity public transport."

To:

"There will be continued consolidation of the city to optimise the efficient use of urban land. Higher densities will be promoted in the city centre, within KUVs, certain SDRAs and within the catchment of high capacity public transport."

**Motion 33. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01186**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

**Refers to:** Chapter 4 - Shape and Structure of the City

**Motion**

It is the Policy of Dublin City Council to remove unsightly and outdated advertising structures in Zones 1 and 4 (Advertising and Signage Strategy).

**Planning Reason**

Chapter 17 Appendix – Advertising And Signage Strategy suggests that DCC will seek the removal of unsightly and outdated advertising structures and this motion seeks to strengthen / formalise that statement. Take back our City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan sets out a number of policies regarding signage and advertising in the city, including Appendix 17 which specifically addresses the advertising and signage strategy. Specific policy regarding signage is also set out in

- Policy CCUV45 Removal of Unauthorised Advertisements, which states: “To actively seek the removal of unauthorised advertisements, fabric banners, meshes, banner or other advertising forms from private property and public areas”.

It is considered appropriate to also include a new objective to be called CCUV20.

It is considered that policy CCUV45 and proposed new objective CCUVO20 address the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is the recommendation of the CE to add new text to the Draft Plan to include a new objective in Chapter 7, page 196 as follows:

**CCUVO20 Audit of Redundant Signage**

“It is an objective of the city council to carry out an audit of redundant signage and unused poles in the public realm in order to reduce street clutter and to investigate measures to promote co-sharing and integration with other street furniture elements.”

See also motions 34 (MOT-01187), 149 (MOT-01094), 150 (MOT-01347) and 151 (MOT-01095).

**Motion 34. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01187**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

**Refers to:** Chapter 4 - Shape and Structure of the City

**Motion**

It is the objective of Dublin City Council to remove unsightly and outdated advertising structures in Zones 2 and 3 (Advertising and Signage Strategy).

**Planning Reason**

Chapter 17 Appendix – Advertising And Signage Strategy suggests that DCC will seek the removal of unsightly and outdated advertising structures and this motion seeks to strengthen / formalise that statement. Take back our City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan sets out a number of policies regarding signage and advertising in the city, including Appendix 17 which specifically addresses the advertising and signage strategy. Specific policy regarding signage is also set out in:

- Policy CCUV45 Removal of Unauthorised Advertisements, which states: To actively seek the removal of unauthorised advertisements, fabric banners, meshes, banner or other advertising forms from private property and public areas.

It is considered appropriate to also include a new objective to be called CCUV20.

It is considered that policy CCUV45 and proposed new objective CCUVO20 address the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is the recommendation of the CE to add new text to the Draft Plan to include a new objective in Chapter 7, page 196 as follows:

**CCUVO20 Audit of Redundant Signage**

“It is an objective of the city council to carry out an audit of redundant signage and unused poles in the public realm in order to reduce street clutter and to investigate measures to promote co-sharing and integration with other street furniture elements.”

See also motions 33 (01186), 149 (MOT 01094), 50 (01347) and 151 (01095).

**Motion 35. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01202**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Deróiste

Refers to: Chapter 4 - Shape and Structure of the City

**Motion**

To include in SC12 – Aligned with a good housing mix, is the importance of a good social mix that allows mixed communities to thrive.

**Planning Reason**

Social mix is just as important as housing mix to make for better communities.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The additional wording to policy SC12 is recommended for the reasons outlined with the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Policy SC12, page 94

From:

Policy SC 12 Housing Mix

“To promote a variety of housing and apartment types which will create both a distinctive sense of place in particular areas and neighbourhoods, including coherent streets and open spaces.”

To:

Policy SC 12 Housing Mix

“To promote a variety of housing and apartment types, as well as tenure diversity and mix, which will create both a distinctive sense of place in particular areas and neighbourhoods, including coherent streets and open spaces and provide for communities to thrive.”

This motion response should be read in conjunction with the recommended amendments to policy SC12 under motion 36 (MOT 01248).

**Motion 36. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01248**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 4 - Shape and Structure of the City

**Motion**

Chapter: 4, Section: 4.5.3, Page: 94 In SC12, insert "and sizes" after "housing apartment types"

**Planning Reason**

To ensure varied and diverse unit sizes (in terms of beds) to allow for a mix of household types in a given development, so that families, single people, elderly etc. are not excluded.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is considered a beneficial change to the Draft Plan for the reasons outlined with the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text to amend Policy SC12, section 4.5.3, page 94

From:

Policy SC 12 Housing Mix

"To promote a variety of housing and apartment types which will create both a distinctive sense of place in particular areas and neighbourhoods, including coherent streets and open spaces."

To:

Policy SC 12 Housing Mix

"To promote a variety of housing and apartment types and sizes, as well as tenure diversity and mix, which will create both a distinctive sense of place in particular areas and neighbourhoods, including coherent streets and open spaces and provide for communities to thrive."

This motion response should be read in conjunction with the recommended amendments to policy SC12 under motion 35 (MOT 01202).



**Motion 37. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01232**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Doolan

Refers to: Chapter 4 - Shape and Structure of the City

**Motion**

Chapter 4. Page 84. Challenges. Add bullet point: To ensure the development of outer suburbs prioritises infrastructure, services and retail units to meet the needs of the neighbourhoods.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure the CDP delivers cohesive, sustainable communities.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recommends the inclusion of the motion for the reasons given with the motion. It is considered that the text of the motion be amended to integrate with an existing point made on page 85 to relate better to the Draft Plan text.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text to amend the last bullet point in section 4.3 Challenges, page 85

From:

- “cultivating the inner suburbs and providing neighbourhoods with a choice of homes for a diverse mix of households and communities and aligning the provision of appropriate physical and social infrastructure, including Green Infrastructure, with future development and areas of consolidation and intensification”.

To:

- “cultivating the inner and outer suburbs and providing neighbourhoods with a choice of homes for a diverse mix of households and communities and aligning and prioritising the provision of appropriate physical and social infrastructure, including Green Infrastructure, services and retail, with future development and areas of consolidation and intensification”.

**Motion 38. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01272**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 4 - Shape and Structure of the City

**Motion**

Chapter: 4, Section: 4.5.2, Page: 92 amend policy SC9 to include "promote and enhance the distinctive character and sense of place of these areas by ensuring appropriate retail mix and preventing domination of one type of retail".

**Planning Reason**

To ensure a varied retail mix to help develop economical vibrant communities.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is recommended for the reasons outlined with the motion, with a slight amendment to the wording to minimise vacancy levels.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text by amending a bullet point in Policy SC9 section 4.5.2, page 92:

From:

- "promote and enhance the distinctive character and sense of place of these areas."

To:

- "promote and enhance the distinctive character and sense of place of these areas by ensuring an appropriate mix of retail and retail services."

**Motion 39. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01233**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Doolan

Refers to: Chapter 4 - Shape and Structure of the City

**Motion**

Chapter 4. Page 86. 4.4 The Strategic Approach. Add bullet point: The creation of communities that have access to sports and recreational facilities, schools, retail and community services delivered in a timely and effective manner.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure the CDP delivers essential services and infrastructure in a timely manner for neighbourhoods.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE agrees with the intent of this motion and it is considered the additional wording would benefit the Draft Plan. It is, therefore, recommended to include the motion in the Draft Plan with a minor amendment.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text by adding an additional bullet point to section 4.4, page 86

“To support the creation of sustainable communities with adequate access to a range of sports and recreational amenities, educational facilities and retail services, and that new residential development is delivered in tandem with commensurate social and community infrastructure.”

**Motion 40. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01103**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Declan Meenagh

Co-sponsors: Cllr Joe Costello

Refers to: Chapter 4 - Shape and Structure of the City

**Motion**

SC4, p89 Recreational and cultural events To promote a variety of recreational and cultural events in the city spaces Add: and to promote and support development of additional civic and cultural spaces and the retention of existing spaces.

**Planning Reason**

To improve the residential amenity of our city by adding more spaces for people to enjoy.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion provides greater depth to the existing policy and is recommended for the reasons outlined in the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Policy SC4, page 89

From:

SC4 Recreational and Cultural Events

“To promote a variety of recreational and cultural events in the city’s civic spaces.”

To:

SC4 Recreational and Cultural Events

“To promote and support a variety of recreational and cultural events in the city’s civic spaces; as well as the development of new and the retention and enhancement of existing civic and cultural spaces.”

**Motion 41. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01271**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 4 - Shape and Structure of the City

**Motion**

Chapter: 4, Section: 4.5.1, Page: 89 Amend Policy SC2 to include "...pedestrian routes and large pedestrian zones..."

**Planning Reason**

To support active and sustainable travel.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The proposed change to text will provide clarity to the wording in referencing a wider range of approaches; and the motion is, therefore, recommended.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text by amending a bullet point in Policy SC2, Section 4.5.1, page 89

From:

- "developing a sustainable network of safe, clean, attractive streets, pedestrian routes, lanes and cycleways in order to make the city more coherent and navigable and creating further new streets as part of the public realm when the opportunities arise;"

To:

- "developing a sustainable network of safe, clean, attractive streets, pedestrian routes and large pedestrian zones, lanes and cycleways in order to make the city more coherent and navigable and creating further new streets as part of the public realm when the opportunities arise;"

**Motion 42. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01225**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 4 - Shape and Structure of the City

**Motion**

Dublin City Council acknowledges the intrinsic quality of Dublin as a low-rise city and it is policy that it should predominantly remain so. While cognisant of the ministerial directive disallowing height restrictions, it is important that the new Development Plan recognises the low height character of Dublin city and discourages the appearance of tall buildings citywide. The definition of a tall building is those which are considerably higher than their surroundings and cause a significant change to the skyline, noting that low rise is considered to be below 4 storeys. Proposals for such tall buildings should require enhanced criteria regarding architectural design, visual impact, impacts on heritage, environmental sustainability, environmental impacts, public realm etc. A robust townscape analysis is required in most cases to support tall building proposals.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure sustainable heights and densities in the city and discourage the unregulated heights enabled by the 2018 Building Height Guidelines.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The various options for densifying the city, including different building typologies and suitable locations for greater building height/ density, such as in SDRAs are set out in Appendix 3 of the Draft Plan. The Draft Plan promotes appropriate and sustainable building height in the city in accordance with the Urban Development and Building Height Guidelines 2018 and sets out appropriate performance based assessment criteria to evaluate proposals for increased height, to include locational and design guidance for tall/ landmark buildings. The appendix sets out categories of height in a Dublin context, including recognition of the prevailing height in a given local area. Tables 3 and 4 set out specific guidance regarding performance criteria for locally higher buildings and landmark buildings.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is already addressed in the Draft Plan.

**Motion 43. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01226**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 4 - Shape and Structure of the City

**Motion**

That the Development Plan notes high density does not necessarily equate to high-rise. Stoneybatter and Broadstone are good examples of low-rise high density housing. The plan should encourage low-rise high density housing as high buildings are less environmentally sustainable.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure sustainable heights and densities in the city and discourage less environmentally sustainable high-rise.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan does indeed clarify that high density does not equate to high rise. The various options for densifying the city, including different building typologies and suitable locations for greater building height/ density, such as in SDRAs are set out in Appendix 3 of the Draft Plan. The Draft Plan promotes appropriate and sustainable building height in the city in accordance with the Urban Development and Building Height Guidelines 2018 and sets out appropriate performance based assessment criteria to evaluate proposals for increased height, to include locational and design guidance for tall/ landmark buildings. The appendix sets out categories of height in a Dublin context, including recognition of the prevailing height in a given local area. Tables 3 and 4 set out specific guidance regarding performance criteria for locally higher buildings and landmark buildings.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is already addressed in the Draft Plan.

**Motion 44. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01380**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 4 - Shape and Structure of the City

**Motion**

That the development plan should, where height and density is agreed, include some legal protection to prevent central Government from overriding the provisions of the development plan vis-a-vis height and density as was done by former Minister Murphy when issuing the Urban Development and Building Heights Guidelines for Local Government under Section 28 of the Planning and Development Act (2000) as amended, in December 2018.

**Planning Reason**

To protect our Development Plan from Government interference.

**Chief Executive's Response**

As per the CE report issued in June 2021, it is recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed. Ministerial national planning policy guidelines such as the Urban Development and Building Height Guidelines for Planning Authorities must be incorporated into the Development Plan and the Council must comply with the SPPR's set out therein.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside of the scope of the Development Plan.

Refer matter to the Planning and urban Form SPC.



## **Chapter 5: Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods**

## **Motions Referring to Chapter 5: Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods**

### **Motion 45. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01134**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

#### **Motion**

P104 - Section 5.1 Existing sentence: National and regional policy reinforces the need for 'healthy placemaking' and the delivery of well-designed, adaptable, infill and brownfield development close to existing services and facilities Proposed sentence National and regional policy reinforces the need for 'healthy placemaking' and the delivery of well-designed, affordable, adaptable, infill and brownfield development close to existing services and facilities

#### **Planning Reason**

To ensure the need for housing affordability is a key consideration in delivering quality housing and sustainable neighbourhoods. This is in line with the new Housing for All strategy and as such should be listed under national and regional policy. Even if it were not, it is a key component of sustainable neighbourhoods.

#### **Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is recommended for the reasons outlined in the motion and in keeping with Housing for All.

#### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text at Section 5.1 page 104

From:

"National and regional policy reinforces the need for 'healthy placemaking' and the delivery of well-designed, adaptable, infill and brownfield development close to existing services and facilities."

To:

"National and regional policy reinforces the need for 'healthy placemaking' and the delivery of well-designed, affordable, adaptable, infill and brownfield development close to existing services and facilities."

**Motion 46. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01135**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

P 104 Section 5.1 Existing sentence The provision of affordable, accessible, quality homes and sustainable community infrastructure which meets the needs of the city's population and which contribute to the making of good, connected neighbourhoods is a key priority of the development plan.

**Planning Reason**

We cannot have sustainable neighbourhoods if the development is of unaffordable housing - it will result in lack of social mix and pricing out of people who provide and deliver vital services. A sustainable neighbourhood is one in which the bin man, the teacher, the shopkeeper and the doctor can live and work.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is recommended for the reasons outlined in the motion and in keeping with Housing for All.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text at Section 5.1 page 104

From:

"The provision of quality homes and sustainable community infrastructure which meets the needs of the city's population and which contribute to the making of good, connected neighbourhoods is a key priority of the Development Plan."

To:

"The provision of affordable, accessible, quality homes and sustainable community infrastructure which meets the needs of the city's population and which contribute to the making of good, connected neighbourhoods is a key priority of the Development Plan."

**Motion 47. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01141**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

P110 QHSNO1 Existing sentence: To work with the Land Development Agency to co-ordinate appropriate State owned land and the strategic assembly of public and private land to facilitate regeneration, housing and other developments

Proposed sentence: To work with the Land Development Agency to co-ordinate appropriate State owned land and the strategic assembly of public and private land to facilitate regeneration, housing and other developments. Priority will be given to social and affordable housing tenure.

**Planning Reason**

The private sector will and does provide private market housing solutions so the emphasis of the local authority in working with the LDA should be on providing social and affordable housing to meet housing needs not fulfilled by the private sector.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Land Development Agency has been established to develop and regenerate relevant public land for the delivery of housing, including providing services to local authorities in order to assist them in the performance of their functions relating to development of sites for housing, developing, managing and acquiring housing for rent or purchase and to promote sustainable development.

The functions of the Land Development Agency are governed through separate legislation and Dublin City Council cannot subsume or prioritise those functions, or those of another separate agency. Dublin City Council will work in collaboration with the Land Development Agency, other state agencies and infrastructure providers to ensure the timely delivery of social and affordable housing in the city under the terms of the Affordable Housing Act 2021 and Land Development Agency Act 2021.

However, the Council can, in working with the LDA, encourage and seek that social and affordable housing is prioritised. An amendment to reflect this approach is recommended.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is agreed with an amendment.

It is recommended that Objective QHSNO1 on page 110 is amended

From:

Objective QHSNO1 Land Development Agency

“To work with the Land Development Agency to co-ordinate appropriate State owned land and the strategic assembly of public and private land to facilitate regeneration, housing and other developments.”

To:

Objective QHSNO1 Land Development Agency

“To work with the Land Development Agency to co-ordinate appropriate State owned land and the strategic assembly of public and private land to facilitate regeneration, housing and other developments and seek that priority is given to social and affordable housing tenure.”

**Motion 48. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01162**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Page 111 Section 5.5.3 insert 'healthy' after safe, secure, age friendly, accessible....

**Planning Reason**

The mental and physical health aspects of place making and the 15 minute city should be specified and intrinsic to the concept of place making.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recommends this motion for the reasons outlined with the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Section 5.5.3, page 111

From:

"The objective of this policy is to strengthen the connection between people and the places they live by building on local character and encouraging an asset-based approach to the location, design and management of new development in order to create 'liveable' communities and urban environments that are attractive, distinct, inclusive, safe, secure, age friendly, accessible and walkable."

To:

"The objective of this policy is to strengthen the connection between people and the places they live by building on local character and encouraging an asset-based approach to the location, design and management of new development in order to create 'liveable' communities and urban environments that are attractive, distinct, inclusive, safe, secure, age friendly, accessible, walkable and healthy."

**Motion 49. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01112**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Alison Gilliland

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

To amend QHSN03 (page 110) to include ', and positive social community facilities or spaces' after the word housing to read Darndale To undertake a study of peripheral open space areas in Darndale to examine their potential for intensification for infill housing and positive social community facilities or spaces and to create opportunities for enhanced streetscapes.'

**Planning Reason**

To ensure that additional housing is not the only use of open spaces and that consideration is given to community infrastructure such as an arts auditorium.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion seeks to include the provision of community infrastructure in the review of existing and future land use; which will be a beneficial consideration in reviewing in this area; and the motion is, therefore, recommended.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text for Objective QHSNO3 (p. 110)

From:

Objective QHSNO3 Darndale

"To undertake a study of peripheral open space areas in Darndale to examine their potential for intensification for infill housing and to create opportunities for enhanced streetscapes."

To:

Objective QHSNO3 Darndale

"To undertake a study of peripheral open space areas in Darndale to examine their potential for intensification for infill housing and positive social community facilities or spaces and to create opportunities for enhanced streetscapes."

**Motion 50. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01143**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

P114 Map of neighbourhoods doesn't include Donnycarney and Marino - need to add Donnycarney and Marino to the map.

**Planning Reason**

Need to ensure all neighbourhoods and communities are represented when plans are being made.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recommends that this motion is accepted and that the neighbourhoods of Donnycarney and Marino are added to Figure 5-1: A City of Neighbourhoods page 114, in the interests of completeness and to reflect their general recognition as neighbourhoods in their own right.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan by adding the neighbourhoods of Donnycarney and Marino to Figure 5-1: A City of Neighbourhoods, page 114.



**Motion 51. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01144**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

P115 QHSN17 Existing sentence In 2016, 72,355 people were aged 65 years and over, comprising 13% of the city's population and representing an 8.8% increase since 2011. Under most recent Central Statistics Office (CSO) population projections, trends indicate that the number of people over the age of 65 is expected to increase nationally by approximately 34% in the period 2021-2031. The quality of life of older people can be improved through planning and the incorporation of universal design principles in the design of the built environment, particularly, housing, community and care facilities and accessible transportation including public transport and footpaths. Proposed sentence In 2016, 72,355 people were aged 65 years and over, comprising 13% of the city's population and representing an 8.8% increase since 2011. Under most recent Central Statistics Office (CSO) population projections, trends indicate that the number of people over the age of 65 is expected to increase nationally by approximately 34% in the period 2021-2031. As our population ages, it is vital to ensure accessible, affordable housing given the increased number of older persons in private rental accommodation. The quality of life of older people can be improved through planning and the incorporation of universal design principles in the design of the built environment, particularly, housing, community and care facilities and accessible transportation including public transport and footpaths.

**Planning Reason**

Catering for an ageing population who are increasingly going to be coming from the private rental sector in need of housing once income declines upon retirement.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE agrees with this motion to add the additional text from the motion to the Draft Plan as it highlights a key future housing issue that merits reference in this section.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Section 5.5.4, page 115 to include additional text into the paragraph so that is changed

From:

"Older People: In 2016, 72,355 people were aged 65 years and over, comprising 13% of the city's population and representing an 8.8% increase since 2011. Under most recent Central Statistics Office (CSO) population projections, trends indicate that the number of people over the age of 65 is expected to increase nationally by approximately 34% in the period 2021-2031. The quality of life of older people can be improved through planning and the incorporation of universal design principles in the design of the built environment,

particularly, housing, community and care facilities and accessible transportation including public transport and footpaths.”

To:

“Older People: In 2016, 72,355 people were aged 65 years and over, comprising 13% of the city’s population and representing an 8.8% increase since 2011. Under most recent Central Statistics Office (CSO) population projections, trends indicate that the number of people over the age of 65 is expected to increase nationally by approximately 34% in the period 2021 - 2031. As our population ages, it is vital to ensure accessible, affordable housing given the increased number of older persons in private rental accommodation. The quality of life of older people can be improved through planning and the incorporation of universal design principles in the design of the built environment, particularly, housing, community and care facilities and accessible transportation including public transport and footpaths.”

**Motion 52. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01262**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Deirdre Heney

Supporting Political Party:

Co-sponsors:

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Amendment to replace "Addressing Dementia To support and encourage pilot schemes such as "Housing with Support Inchicore" which promote innovative ways of addressing dementia-friendly issues in the built environment including the use of smart technology." with " Living with Dementia To support and encourage pilot schemes such as "Housing with Support Inchicore" to promote innovative ways of ensuring dementia inclusive living is provided for in the built environment, including the use of smart technology."

**Planning Reason**

For the interest of Public Health.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The wording change sought places greater emphasis on an inclusive approach which is considered more appropriate and in line with best practice.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Policy QHSNO6 (p. 117)

From:

Policy QHSNO6 Addressing Dementia

"To support and encourage pilot schemes such as "Housing with Support Inchicore" which promote innovative ways of addressing dementia-friendly issues in the built environment including the use of smart technology."

To:

Policy QHSNO6 Living with Dementia

"To support and encourage pilot schemes such as "Housing with Support Inchicore" to promote innovative ways of ensuring dementia inclusive living is provided for in the built environment, including the use of smart technology."

**Motion 53. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01145**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

115 5.5.4 Existing sentence Census 2016 indicates that 81,502 people in the city (14.7% of the population) had a disability, higher than the State average of 13.5%. The planning related issues relevant to people with a sensory disability, mental health disability, physical disability and intellectual disability include the need to facilitate independent living, access, mobility and to ensure access to employment opportunities. Proposed sentence Census 2016 indicates that 81,502 people in the city (14.7% of the population) had a disability, higher than the State average of 13.5%. The planning related issues relevant to people with a sensory disability, mental health disability, physical disability and intellectual disability include the need to facilitate independent living, access, mobility and to ensure access to employment opportunities. Due consideration must be given to the housing rights of people with disabilities under article 19 of the UNCRPD

**Planning Reason**

As Ireland is signed up to the UNCRPD we have a legal responsibility to consider the housing rights afforded to people with disabilities in the UNCRPD.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The proposed addition to the text includes in the Draft Plan a recognition of the UNCRPD on this key issue which will benefit the public in understanding the responsibilities committed to on this particular housing need.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Section 5.5.4 page 115

From:

“People with Disabilities: Census 2016 indicates that 81,502 people in the city (14.7% of the population) had a disability, higher than the State average of 13.5%. The planning related issues relevant to people with a sensory disability, mental health disability, physical disability and intellectual disability include the need to facilitate independent living, access, mobility and to ensure access to employment opportunities.”

To:

“People with Disabilities: Census 2016 indicates that 81,502 people in the city (14.7% of the population) had a disability, higher than the State average of 13.5%. The planning related issues relevant to people with a sensory disability, mental health disability, physical disability and intellectual disability include the need to facilitate independent living, access, mobility and to ensure access to employment opportunities. Due consideration must be given to the

housing rights of people with disabilities under article 19 of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.”

**Motion 54. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01149**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

124 Section 5.5.7 Existing sentence It is also recognised that Census 2016 recorded nearly 63,000 households renting privately in Dublin City. The City Council seeks to foster a strong, sustainable, professional and well regulated private rental sector and in this regard, supports the provision of purpose built, high quality, well managed, private rented accommodation with a long term horizon. Proposed sentence It is also recognised that Census 2016 recorded nearly 63,000 households renting privately in Dublin City. The City Council seeks to foster a strong, sustainable, professional and well regulated private rental sector which is fit for purpose in that it is affordable and accessible to those living in the private rental sector and in this regard, supports the provision of purpose built, high quality, well managed, private rented accommodation with a long term horizon.

**Planning Reason**

Given the large and increasing numbers living in the private rental sector measures to ensure the affordability and accessibility of private rental accommodation are vital to creating sustainable neighbourhoods, providing our citizens with dignity and security and ensuring the competitiveness of Dublin City as a place to live and work.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered the additional text proposed in the motion are of benefit to the text in emphasising the importance of affordability in the rental sector long term, for the reasons outlined in the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Section 5.5.7, page 124:

From:

“It is also recognised that Census 2016 recorded nearly 63,000 households renting privately in Dublin City. The City Council seeks to foster a strong, sustainable, professional and well regulated private rental sector and in this regard, supports the provision of purpose built, high quality, well managed, private rented accommodation with a long term horizon.”

To:

“It is also recognised that Census 2016 recorded nearly 63,000 households renting privately in Dublin City. The City Council seeks to foster a strong, sustainable, professional and well regulated private rental sector which is fit for purpose in that it is affordable and accessible to those living in the private rental sector and in this regard, supports the provision of purpose built, high quality, well managed, private rented accommodation with a long term horizon.”

**Motion 55. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01161**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Supporting Political Party:

Co-sponsors:

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Page 109 Remove, at end of first paragraph: 'The City Council will also prioritise building heights that can efficiently deliver affordable homes'.

**Planning Reason**

In the interest of sustainable communities, affordability should not be linked to the height of a building as an incentive to build higher, rather than sustainably, will be established.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree this motion, as height is not related to tenure.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text at Section 5.5.2 page 109, by deleting the following text:

"The City Council will also prioritise building heights that can efficiently deliver affordable homes (see Appendix 3 for further detail)."

**Motion 56. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01165**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Supporting Political Party:

Co-sponsors:

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Page 117, Youth Friendly City Add: To include the provision of a youth specific social, cultural, community and recreation infrastructure and in this section, after the last line add: and any future DCC Youth Friendly City Strategy.

**Planning Reason**

To make it a policy of the city that infrastructure specific to the needs of young people are recognised as intrinsic to the sustainable development of integrated city and that they are realised.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is accepted for the reasons outlined in the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text of policy QHSN18 (p. 117)

From:

Youth Friendly City

“To promote and support a youth friendly city including the delivery of facilities for children and young people. To promote a built environment in the inner city, developing areas and Strategic Development Regeneration Areas which support the physical and emotional well-being of children and young people. To promote policies and objectives that have regard to the Children and Young People’s Plans prepared by the Dublin City North and Dublin City South Children and Young People’s Services Committees.”

To:

Youth Friendly City

“To promote and support a youth friendly city including the delivery of facilities for children and young people, to include the delivery of youth targeted social, community and recreational infrastructure. To promote a built environment in the inner city, developing areas and Strategic Development Regeneration Areas which support the physical and emotional well-being of children and young people. To promote policies and objectives that have regard to the Children and Young People’s Plans prepared by the Dublin City North and Dublin City South Children and Young People’s Services Committees and any future DCC Youth Friendly City Strategy.”



**Motion 57. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01276**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter: 5, Section: 5.5.4, Page: 117 That this Planning Authority pursuant to Section 12 (6) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) resolves to amend the Draft Development Plan as follows – To add Policy Objective: Equality, Social Inclusion and Participation’, the following: “The Council will, during the lifetime of this Plan complete a Women and Girl’s Safety Audit In order to identify the factors that make women and girls feel safe and unsafe in public spaces, and for this to guide public realm changes and developments.”

**Planning Reason**

To eliminate gender based exclusion.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that the inclusion of this objective will provide for study that will be beneficial to public realm design both for Council led projects and those seeking permission through the development management process.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text to include a new objective in Section 5.5.4, p.117 as follows:

“The Council will, during the lifetime of this Plan complete a study of Women and Girl’s Safety in the public realm in order to identify the factors that make women and girls feel safe and unsafe in public spaces, and to make recommendation to guide future guide public realm changes and developments.”

**Motion 58. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01238**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Doolan

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter 5. Policy of Dublin City Council. Page 123. Amend QHSN32 to read: Social, Affordable Purchase and Rental Housing To promote the provision of social, affordable to purchase and rental housing in accordance with the Council's Housing Strategy, Part V of the Planning and Development Act, as amended by the Affordable Housing act 2021 and Government policy as outlined in the DHPLG 'Social Housing Strategy 2020'.

**Planning Reason**

The housing needs of the City are central to the CDP. DCC policies must reflect this. Therefore, it is essential that this plan has this as an objective.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The proposed additional text gives greater clarity in listing the wide range of housing types more explicitly and, therefore, the motion is recommended.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Policy QHSN 32 Social, Affordable Purchase and Rental Housing by changing the text

From:

Policy QHSN 32 Social, Affordable Purchase and Rental Housing

"To promote the provision of social, affordable purchase and cost rental housing in accordance with the Council's Housing Strategy, Part V of the Planning and Development Act, as amended by the Affordable Housing Act 2021 and Government policy as outlined in the DHPLG 'Social Housing Strategy 2020'."

To:

Policy QHSN 32 Social, Affordable Purchase and Rental Housing

"To promote the provision of social, affordable purchase, cost rental and rental housing in accordance with the Council's Housing Strategy, Part V of the Planning and Development Act, as amended by the Affordable Housing Act 2021 and Government policy as outlined in the DHPLG 'Social Housing Strategy 2020' and support the realisation of public housing."

This motion response should be read in conjunction with the amendments proposed under motion 59 (MOT 01111).

**Motion 59. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01111**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Alison Gilliland

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Motion to amend QHSN32 (page 123) by adding the following to the end of the sentence 'and support the realisation of public housing as defined by Council.'

**Planning Reason**

To ensure that from a planning perspective the realisation of public housing as defined by Council is supported with a specific objective.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Social and affordable housing are defined and governed by legislation. Whilst the addition of the wording "realisation of public housing" is supported, the wording as defined by the Council cannot be added as the Development Plan cannot override the national definition, irrespective of a definition that has been agreed by the Council.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Policy QHSN32 (p.123)

From:

Policy QHSN32 Social, Affordable Purchase and Cost Rental Housing

"To promote the provision of social, affordable purchase and cost rental housing in accordance with the Council's Housing Strategy, Part V of the Planning and Development Act, as amended by the Affordable Housing Act 2021 and Government policy as outlined in the DHPLG 'Social Housing Strategy 2020'."

To:

Policy QHSN32 Social, Affordable Purchase and Cost Rental Housing

"To promote the provision of social, affordable purchase, cost rental and rental housing in accordance with the Council's Housing Strategy, Part V of the Planning and Development Act, as amended by the Affordable Housing Act 2021 and Government policy as outlined in the DHPLG 'Social Housing Strategy 2020' and support the realisation of public housing."

This motion should be read in conjunction with the amendments proposed under motion 58 (MOT 01238).

**Motion 60. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01136**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

P105, Section 5.3 Existing sentence There is a pressing need to facilitate a significant increase in housing output whilst creating high quality accommodation to address a range of housing issues including homelessness. Proposed sentence There is a pressing need to facilitate a significant increase in housing output whilst creating high quality accommodation to address a range of housing issues including homelessness and the unaffordable nature of the current private rental sector.

**Planning Reason**

Our statement of housing needs should reflect the issues we're facing - one of which is indeed homelessness but another of which, and an underlying cause of homelessness, is the unaffordability of the private rental sector. If we don't acknowledge this we can't address it.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is recommended for the reasons outlined in the motion and in keeping with Housing for All. An amendment is proposed to bring the wording of the motion more in line with the objective and for clarity purposes.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text at Section 5.3 page 105.

From:

"There is a pressing need to facilitate a significant increase in housing output whilst creating high quality accommodation to address a range of housing issues including homelessness."

To:

"There is a pressing need to facilitate a significant increase in affordable housing output whilst creating high quality accommodation to address a range of housing issues including homelessness."

**Motion 61. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01237**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Doolan

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter 5. Objective of Dublin City Council. Page 111 Add objective QHSNO6 to read: Park West-Cherry Orchard To ensure the Local Area Plan delivers private, council and affordable housing, schools, sports and recreational facilities, retail facilities and employment opportunities in consultation with local community and youth services.

**Planning Reason**

Cherry Orchard remains very isolated with very few services, this objective will ensure the LAP meets the housing needs of the area while also delivering infrastructure.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recommends that this motion is agreed with amendment and that this text should be added to SDRA 4 Park West/Cherry Orchard for consistency, and will support the implementation of the SDRA and LAP.

It is recommended to include text in Section 13.6.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Section 13.6, SDRA 4 Park West/Cherry Orchard by including a new bullet point under heading for Land Use and Activity (p. 386) to state:

“Ensure the Local Area Plan delivers private, council and affordable housing, schools, sports and recreational facilities, retail facilities and employment opportunities in consultation with local community and youth services.”

**Motion 62. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01163**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Supporting Political Party:

Co-sponsors:

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Page 111 Section 5.5.3 include the definition of a neighbourhood and the definition of a village.

**Planning Reason**

To identify the specific characteristics of each in planning/zoning terms.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recommends this motion as it will provide greater clarity to the Draft Plan. However, it is best addressed by way of an amendment to the Glossary.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Insert the following definitions to the Glossary at pages 619 and 625.

“Neighbourhood: a recognised geographic area typically characterised by residential development and social and community infrastructure such as schools and healthcare facilities. Many neighbourhoods have a focal point such as an urban village that have a range of local shops and services serving the immediate area.

Urban village: an urban village typically comprises neighbourhood centres or clusters of shops and services that support and serves the daily needs of the surrounding residential catchment.”

**Motion 63. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01119**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Joe Costello

Supporting Political Party:

Co-sponsors: Cllr Dermot Lacey, Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

New Policy objective after QHSN48 Sláintecare (p.132). It is an objective of Dublin City Council to support the Sláintecare Plan for community healthcare by making provision for the establishment and operation of Men's Sheds for retired and unemployed men.

**Planning Reason**

The "Sheds for Life Programme" is supported by Sláintecare and HSE and Dublin City Council should be supportive.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The proposed reference to the role of spaces within the community as key places for community healthcare is an important aspect of delivery of healthcare and building community resilience.

The Draft Plan contains Objective QHSN48 (page 132) which gives recognition to the importance to the wide range of community health facilities needed across the city. Rather than adding a new objective focussed on one type of use, it is recommended that this objective is amended to include specific reference to mens's sheds as a viable example of such uses; within a wider context of a the range of facilities that can be considered or be promoted by the HSE and their partners.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text for Policy QHSN48, page 132

From:

QHSN48 Sláintecare Plan

"To support the Health Service Executive and other statutory, voluntary and private agencies in the provision of appropriate healthcare facilities - including the system of hospital care and the provision of community-based primary care facilities, mental health and wellbeing facilities - and to encourage the integration of healthcare facilities in accessible locations within new and existing communities in accordance with the Government Sláintecare Plan."

To:

QHSN48 Sláintecare Plan

"To support the Health Service Executive and other statutory, voluntary and private agencies in the provision of appropriate healthcare facilities - including the system of hospital care and

the provision of community-based primary care facilities, mental health and wellbeing facilities including Men's Sheds - and to encourage the integration of healthcare facilities in accessible locations within new and existing communities in accordance with the Government Sláintecare Plan."



**Motion 64. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01142**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

113 QHSN11 Insert Promote and implement low traffic neighbourhoods to ensure a quality experience and encourage active travel in delivering the 15 minute city model.

**Planning Reason**

If we want to create a true 15 minute city model low traffic neighbourhoods will reduce car dominance, promote active travel and create a quality environment and experience.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that the motion reflects the policy aims of this chapter and the addition would benefit the text, for the reasons outlined with the motion; with a slight text amendment recommended.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text by including an additional bullet point to policy QHSN11 page 113:

- “promote and implement low traffic neighbourhoods to ensure a high quality built environment and encourage active travel in delivering the 15 minute city model.”

**Motion 65. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01140**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Co-sponsors:

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

P109 QHSN8 Existing sentence To promote residential development addressing any shortfall in housing provision through active land management and a co-ordinated planned approach to developing appropriately zoned lands at key locations including regeneration areas, vacant sites and underutilised sites. Proposed sentence To promote residential development addressing any shortfall in housing provision through active land management and a co-ordinated planned approach to developing appropriately zoned lands at key locations including regeneration areas, vacant sites and underutilised sites. Active land management to include acquisition of land by DCC to ensure sufficient land banks to meet public housing needs without undue reliance on the private sector.

**Planning Reason**

We cannot address shortfall in housing provision without land with which to do so. Otherwise we become reliant on private market solutions such as HAP, acquisitions and long term leasing.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Council continues to review and manage its land and housing regeneration sites to ensure that there is a sufficient availability of sites for new housing development and; as and when appropriate, acquire lands that can assist in meeting this aim and in achieving a coordinated approach to regeneration. It is considered the motion reflects this the intent of the Council and, therefore, a recommendation is made for its agreement, with some amendments to integrate it with the existing objective QHSN8 and to recognise that both the private and the public sector are part of the solution to meeting the housing delivery targets of the core strategy.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Policy QHSN8 page 109

From:

QHSN8 Active Land Management

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to promote residential development addressing any shortfall in housing provision through active land management and a co-ordinated planned approach to developing appropriately zoned lands at key locations including regeneration areas, vacant sites and underutilised sites.”

To:

QHSN8 Active Land Management

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to promote residential development addressing any shortfall in housing provision through active land management, which may include land acquisition to assist regeneration and meet public housing needs, and a co-ordinated planned approach to developing appropriately zoned lands at key locations including regeneration areas, vacant sites and underutilised sites.”

This motion should be read in conjunction with the amendments proposed under motion 66 (MOT 01239).

**Motion 66. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01239**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Doolan

Supporting Political Party:

Co-sponsors:

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter 5. Page 124. Additional policy point. QHSN34 To proactively enter the market to buy land and become the lead developer of this land to ensure the delivery of adequate social and affordable housing to meet the needs of the City.

**Planning Reason**

Dublin City Council does not have a finite amount of land and therefore must add to its portfolio by buying land on the open market. This in turn will allow Dublin City Council to develop the land and not be dependent of Part V or acquisitions.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Council continues to review and manage its land and housing regeneration sites to ensure that there is a sufficient availability of sites for new housing development and; as and when appropriate, acquire lands that can assist in meeting this aim and in achieving a coordinated approach to regeneration. It is considered the motion reflects this the intent of the Council and, therefore, a recommendation is made for its agreement, with some amendments to integrate it with the existing objective QHSN8 and to recognise that both the private and the public sector are part of the solution to meeting the housing delivery targets of the core strategy.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Policy QHSN8 page 109

From:

QHSN8 Active Land Management

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to promote residential development addressing any shortfall in housing provision through active land management and a co-ordinated planned approach to developing appropriately zoned lands at key locations including regeneration areas, vacant sites and underutilised sites.”

To:

QHSN8 Active Land Management

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to promote residential development addressing any shortfall in housing provision through active land management, which may include land acquisition to assist regeneration and meet public housing needs, and a co-ordinated

planned approach to developing appropriately zoned lands at key locations including regeneration areas, vacant sites and underutilised sites.”

This motion response should be read in conjunction with the amendments proposed under motion 65 (MOT 01140).

**Motion 67. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01279**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter: 5, Section: 5.5.8, Page: 134 QHSN12 add in line to ensure that school planning provides for special needs classrooms and schools such as ASD units and also for integration and inclusion purpose language classes for migrant communities.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure better, inclusive and more sustainable planning for neighbourhoods.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Decisions with regard to school planning and design rest with the Department of Education and as such decisions as to the need in particular schools to provide additional specialist classrooms is beyond the scope of the Development Plan. However, the plan does make a positive policy response to this matter under QHSN47, p. 131 which states:

QHSN47 Inclusive Social and Community Infrastructure

“To support the development of social and community infrastructure that is inclusive and accessible in its design and provides for needs of persons with disabilities, older people, and children and adults with additional needs including the sensory needs of the neurodiverse.”

The intent of the motion can be addressed with an amendment to policy QHSN47.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Objective QHSN47, page 131 to include additional wording

From:

QHSN47 Inclusive Social and Community Infrastructure

“To support the development of social and community infrastructure that is inclusive and accessible in its design and provides for needs of persons with disabilities, older people, and children and adults with additional needs including the sensory needs of the neurodiverse.”

To:

QHSN47 Inclusive Social and Community Infrastructure

“To support the development of social and community infrastructure that is inclusive and accessible in its design and provides for needs of persons with disabilities, older people, migrant communities, and children and adults with additional needs including the sensory needs of the neurodiverse.”

**Motion 68. Dynamics Ref. MOT- 01280**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter: 5, Section: 5.5.8, Page: 137 DCC commitment to Integration Strategy, insert that it needs to tie in with the overall National Integration Plan and it would be objective of DCC to perform an assessment rather than rely on census.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure that we are developing an inclusive city.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This motion seeks to specify the approach to analysis for a separate policy document of the Council. Any such assessment as referenced in the motion should be an action of the forthcoming Integration Strategy and is not a function of the Development Plan. To give clarity to the wider context of the Council's Integration Strategy, it is recommended that the objective QHSN14 (page 116) is amended to reference the national Migrant Integration Strategy published by the Department of Justice.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Policy QHSN14 (page 116)

From:

Policy QHSN14 Dublin City Council's Integration Strategy 2021-2025

"To support minority groups, including non-Irish nationals and Travellers in relation to their social, cultural and community needs in an integrated manner through the implementation of Dublin City Council's Integration Strategy 2021-2025 and promote active participation consistent with the objectives of the RSES."

To:

Policy QHSN14 Dublin City Council's Integration Strategy 2021-2025

"Having regard to the Migrant Integration Strategy 2017-2020 and any subsequent review, to support minority groups, including non-Irish nationals and Travellers in relation to their social, cultural and community needs in an integrated manner through the implementation of Dublin City Council's Integration Strategy 2021-2025 and promote active participation consistent with the objectives of the RSES."

**Motion 69. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01110**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Alison Gilliland

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

To amend QHSN30 Domestic Violence Refuges (page 122) to read (additions in bold) 'To proactively facilitate and support TúsIa, the Child and Family Agency, service providers and other relevant agencies in the provision of domestic violence refuges in the city and work towards the realisation of one refuge space for every 10,000 people, as per the recommendation of the Istanbul Convention, particularly when initiating planning on larger land developments such as the Northern Fringe, Jamestown and City Edge.

**Planning Reason**

To allow for proactive social inclusion planning in the provision of domestic refuges and to increase such provision in line with commitments under the Istanbul Convention.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that the motion will give greater clarity to the Draft Plan on this issue and will set a measurable target for implementation, and for this reason, the motion is recommended. A slight amendment is proposed to provide a broad scope of meaning to the motion and to ensure it is not misread.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text of policy QHSN30 (page122)

From:

Policy QHSN30 Domestic Violence Refuges

"To facilitate and support TúsIa, the Child and Family Agency, service providers and other relevant agencies in the provision of domestic violence refuges in the city."

To:

Policy QHSN30 Domestic Violence Refuges

"To proactively facilitate and support TúsIa, the Child and Family Agency, service providers and other relevant agencies in the provision of domestic violence refuges in the city and work towards the realisation of one refuge space for every 10,000 people, as per the recommendation of the Istanbul Convention, particularly when initiating planning on larger regeneration lands."



**Motion 70. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01113**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Alison Gilliland

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Motion to amend QHSN6 by adding in 'reverse and' after 'To' so the objective reads 'To reverse and resist the loss of residential use on upper floors .....

**Planning Reason**

To ensure that actions are taken to reduce current levels of upper floor vacancy as well as resisting further upper floor vacancy.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The wording of the motion seeks a more proactive approach to the issue of upper floor vacancy, which is in keeping with the overall approach of the Draft Plan and is, therefore, considered appropriate.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text for policy QHSN6 (page 109) from:

Policy QHSN6 Upper Floors

“To resist the loss of residential use on upper floors and actively support proposals that retain or bring upper floors into residential use in order to revitalise the social and physical fabric of the city through measures such as the Living City Initiative.”

To:

Policy QHSN6 Upper Floors

“To resist and where the opportunity arises, to reverse the loss of residential use on upper floors and actively support proposals that retain or bring upper floors into residential use in order to revitalise the social and physical fabric of the city through measures such as the Living City Initiative.”

**Motion 71. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01138**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

P109 Section 5.5.2 Existing sentence it will be the policy of the City Council to achieve greater intensity in suburban areas through infill development, backland development, mews development and re-use of existing housing stock. Proposed sentence it will be the policy of the City Council to achieve greater intensity in suburban areas through infill development, backland development, mews development and re-use of existing housing stock. In the case of back land and mews development consideration must be given to impact on existing infrastructure such as waste and water systems and existing residential amenity.

**Planning Reason**

Ensuring that back land and mews development takes into account the impact on existing residents amenity value and services.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan provides comprehensive guidance regarding mews development in Chapter 15 and it is considered that the matters raised in the motion are more appropriately addressed in that section.

The issue of ensuring that backland and mews development take into account the impact on existing infrastructure such as waste and water systems and existing residential amenity is addressed in Chapter 15 under section 15.13.4 and 15.13.5 of the Draft Plan. Having regard to the sentiment of the motion and to provide greater clarity, it is proposed to insert additional text highlighting the need for applications for mews development to consider servicing, including the impact on existing infrastructure such as waste and water systems.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Chapter 15 to add the following sentence at the end of Section 15.13.5:

“Applications for mews development should consider servicing, including the impact on existing infrastructure such as waste and water systems.”

**Motion 72. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01120**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Joe Costello

Co-sponsors: Cllr Dermot Lacey, Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

New objective after QHSN15 (p. 137) It is an objective of Dublin City Council to appoint a joint working group with the Churches and faith organisations to plan the future uses of places of worship that are being deconsecrated or underutilised and to examine the needs for new places of worship for the diverse religions/faith groups in the City.

**Planning Reason**

There are a number of churches that are closed in the city and a number that are only used occasionally. There has been an ad hoc policy of turning some churches into offices or just leaving them idle. At the same time there are various faiths actively seeking suitable locations to worship.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Policy QHSN52 addresses places of worship and multi-faith facilities in the Draft Plan. It is considered that it would be more appropriate to add to this policy with regard to meeting future need and the de-consecration of religious buildings, and seek to work with owners of such building in assisting finding new suitable uses; and to address new facilities as part of wider regeneration projects on an individual basis at the appropriate time.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment to add additional text to policy QHSN52 Places of Worship and Multi-faith Facilities

From:

“To support and facilitate the development of places of worship and multi-faith facilities at suitable locations within the city”.

To:

“To support and facilitate the development of places of worship and multi-faith facilities at suitable locations within the city and to liaise and work with all stakeholders where buildings are no longer required to find suitable, appropriate new uses and to retain existing community facilities where feasible. To ensure that new regeneration areas respond to the need for the provision of new faith facilities as part of their masterplans/Local Area Plans/SDZs where such need is identified”.

This motion response should be read in conjunction with the amendments proposed under motion 73 (MOT 01157).

**Motion 73. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01157**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

P136 Section 5.5.8 Existing sentence Places of Worship / Graveyards The Council recognise the need for a range of religious facilities as an important component of community infrastructure. Places of worship often provide a community resource through which the residents of a neighbourhood can gain information, education, medical or welfare assistance and social contact. Proposed Amendment Places of Worship / Graveyards The Council recognise the need for a range of religious facilities as an important component of community infrastructure. Places of worship often provide a community resource through which the residents of a neighbourhood can gain information, education, medical or welfare assistance and social contact. However, the council also recognises the decline in attendance at religious services and places of worship and will endeavour to ensure the use of these facilities is maximising through repurposing where necessary - as at the Church of the Assumption in Finglas - for use for primary care centres etc. alongside smaller places of worship.

**Planning Reason**

Maximise the use of land allocated for places of worship to provide a broad range of necessary community facilities.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Section 4.4 page 85 of the Draft Development Plan sets out that it is a key strategic approach in the creation of a consolidated city to repurpose and intensify underutilised buildings and sites. It is also considered that this motion is addressed by the CE recommendation for Dynamics Ref. MOT 01120 (72) which proposes an amendment to policy QHSN52 Places of Worship and Multi-faith Facilities

It is considered that the occasional repurposing of religious buildings is best addressed on a case by case basis; taking into account the possible re-use of any existing buildings of heritage value; the zoning of the lands and the most appropriate re-use of the lands taking into account the local environment and community and any identified needs; including housing.

However, it is recognised that religious buildings, including places of worship are also often community spaces used for a mixture of purposes. For this reason is recommended that the motion, as amended is included in the Draft Plan through amendments to Policy QHSN52.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment to add additional text to policy QHSN52 Places of Worship and Multi-faith Facilities

From:

“To support and facilitate the development of places of worship and multi-faith facilities at suitable locations within the city”.

To:

“To support and facilitate the development of places of worship and multi-faith facilities at suitable locations within the city and to liaise and work with all stakeholders where buildings are no longer required to find suitable, appropriate new uses and to retain existing community facilities where feasible. To ensure that new regeneration areas respond to the need for the provision of new faith facilities as part of their masterplans/Local Area Plans/SDZs where such need is identified”.

This motion response should be read in conjunction with the amendments proposed under motion 72 (MOT 01120).

**Motion 74. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01139**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

P109 QHSNA To promote the transformation of the key regeneration areas into successful socially integrated neighbourhoods, including those on the main inner city regeneration areas map, and promote area regeneration in parts of the city which require physical improvement and enhancement in terms of quality of life, housing and employment opportunities and to ensure a balanced community is provided in regeneration areas. Proposed sentence To promote the transformation of the key regeneration areas into successful socially integrated neighbourhoods, including those on the main inner city regeneration areas map, and promote area regeneration in parts of the city which require physical improvement and enhancement in terms of quality of life, housing and employment opportunities and to ensure a balanced community is provided in regeneration areas. Regeneration proposals will have due regard for ensuring original communities in regeneration areas are not significantly displaced by increasing prices and land values.

**Planning Reason**

To counteract the possibility that regeneration - such as that at the 'Silicon Docks' will displace existing communities and effectively gentrify them out of their homes. A balance must be struck between regeneration and consideration for existing communities - albeit that and all the more so because many of these communities are struggling with social deprivation.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Planning Authority has no control over house prices; and cannot prevent owners in traditional working class communities from selling their property where they are the full owner. However, Councils can seek to achieve and retain a good balance within areas experiencing significant redevelopment by planning for housing need through the upgrade and expansion of housing provision on Council housing land and through the provisions of Part V. Therefore, it is recommended that the motion is included in the Draft Plan, with an amendment as additional text to policy QHSN4 on page 109.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text by including new text in policy QHSN4 on page 109 so that is changed.

From:

QHSN4 Key Regeneration Areas

"It is the policy of Dublin City Council to promote the transformation of the key regeneration areas into successful socially integrated neighbourhoods, including those on the main inner

city regeneration areas map, and promote area regeneration in parts of the city which require physical improvement and enhancement in terms of quality of life, housing and employment opportunities and to ensure a balanced community is provided in regeneration areas.”

To:

**QHSN4 Key Regeneration Areas**

“It is the policy of Dublin City Council to promote the transformation of the key regeneration areas into successful socially integrated neighbourhoods, including those on the main inner city regeneration areas map, and promote area regeneration in parts of the city which require physical improvement and enhancement in terms of quality of life, housing and employment opportunities and to ensure a balanced community is provided and the housing needs within the existing community are addressed in regeneration areas.”

**Motion 75. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01155**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

P126 5.5.7 Existing sentence Furthermore, BTR schemes should be required to demonstrate that there is not an over-concentration of Build to Rent Accommodation within an area, including a map showing all such facilities within 1km of a proposal. Such housing will be controlled in the interest of providing a mix of tenure and unit types. Amendment We need to agree and include a definition of over concentration here.

**Planning Reason**

To prevent against over concentration we need an agreed definition of what constitutes over concentration.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree this motion with amendment. It is considered that providing a precise definition of what constitutes an over concentration is overly prescriptive and a more nuanced approach is required that considers qualitative and quantitative factors. The matter of determining whether there is an over-concentration of BTR in an area will be addressed at planning application stage and will form part of the development management assessment. This is a complex area and will require regard to the site specific circumstances, planning history, tenure mix and locational characteristics of the particular local area in the city to which the application pertains.

The Development Plan cannot prescribe a response to all circumstances but does set the policy framework (policy QHSN38 to 40) through which BTR proposals will be assessed having regard to its context in the city and planning history of the area. In this regard, applications for BTR developments are required be accompanied by an assessment of other permitted BTR developments in the vicinity (1km) of the site to demonstrate that the development would not result in the over-concentration of one housing tenure in a particular area. However, to provide further clarity on how over concentration will be assessed a recommendation is made to amending the text at sections 5.5.7 and 15.10 to be more prescriptive as to how such proposals will be assessed in relation to this issue.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with amendments.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in two locations-

1. To amend the text at Section 5.5.7 page 126

From:

“Furthermore, BTR schemes should be required to demonstrate that there is not an over-concentration of Build to Rent Accommodation within an area, including a map showing all



such facilities within 1km of a proposal. Such housing will be controlled in the interest of providing a mix of tenure and unit types.”

To:

“Furthermore, applications for BTR schemes should be required to demonstrate that there is not an over-concentration of Build to Rent Accommodation within an area, including a map showing all such facilities within 1km of a proposal. Such housing will be controlled in the interest of providing a mix of tenure and unit types. In assessing the matter of overconcentration, the Planning Authority will have regard to factors such as:

- the number and scale of other permitted BTR development in the vicinity (1km) of the site,
- the household tenure and housing type of existing housing stock in the approximate vicinity (1km) of the site and
- the proximity of the proposal to high capacity urban public transport stops and interchange (such as DART, Luas and BusConnects).”

2. To amend the text at Section 15.10 page 550

From:

“Furthermore, whilst BTR is considered to be an integral part in achieving an appropriate mix of housing in the right locations, there will be a presumption against the proliferation and over concentration of Build to Rent development in any one area.”

To:

“Furthermore, whilst BTR is considered to be an integral part in achieving an appropriate mix of housing in the right locations, there will be a presumption against the proliferation and over concentration of Build to Rent development in any one area (refer to Section 5.5.7 of Chapter 5 Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods).”

See also motion 76 (MOT-01167).

**Motion 76. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01167**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Page 125 Apartments and Houses QHSN34 quantify 'suitable' levels of amenity QHSN35 quantify 'satisfactory' Page 126 Apartments and Houses Define and quantify 'over proliferation/over concentration' of Build to Rent in an area Page 128 Provision of Student Accommodation Define and quantify 'over-concentration'.

**Planning Reason**

To provide a benchmark against which decisions will be made in the interest of consistent and sustainable development and implementation of the provisions and standards set out in this Draft Development Plan.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Policy QHSN34 and QHSN35 are overarching policies that must be read in conjunction with Chapter 15, Development Standards.

Chapter 15, Section 15.8 and 15.9 provides additional detail as to the specific requirements relating to qualitative, quantitative, and development management residential amenity criteria for new development proposals. The quality of residential amenity provided is assessed at planning application stage through the development management process and includes the required submission of a Housing Quality Assessment to interrogate the level of amenity proposed. Chapter 15 is informed by and also requires compliance with The Sustainable Urban Housing: Design Standards for New Apartments (December 2020) that sets out national standards for the amenity and services that must be provided.

The potential over-concentration or proliferation of BTR and student accommodation in an area is addressed at planning application stage and will form part of the development management assessment. This is a complex area and will require regard to the site specific circumstances, planning history, tenure mix and locational characteristics of the particular local area in the city.

The Development Plan cannot prescribe a response to all circumstances but does set the policy framework (policy QHSN38 to 41) through which a BTR proposal can be assessed having regard to its context in the city and planning history of the area. In this regard, applications for BTR developments are required be accompanied by an assessment of other permitted BTR developments in the vicinity (1km) of the site to demonstrate that the development would not result in the over-concentration of one housing tenure in a particular area.

As set out in the Chapter 15; Development Standards (15.3.1), applicants for student accommodation will also be requested to submit evidence to demonstrate that there is not an overconcentration of student accommodation within an area, including a map showing all such facilities within 1km of a proposal. In assessing proposals, the planning authority will have regard to the pattern and distribution of student accommodation in the locality, and will

resist the overconcentration of such schemes in any one area, in the interests of achieving a sustainable mix of development.

However, to provide further clarity on how over concentration will be assessed a recommendation is made to amending the text at sections 5.5.7 and 15.10 to be more prescriptive as to how such proposals will be assessed in relation to this issue.

### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with amendments.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in two locations-

#### **1. To amend the text at Section 5.5.7 page 126**

From:

“Furthermore, BTR schemes should be required to demonstrate that there is not an over-concentration of Build to Rent Accommodation within an area, including a map showing all such facilities within 1km of a proposal. Such housing will be controlled in the interest of providing a mix of tenure and unit types.”

To:

“Furthermore, applications for BTR schemes should be required to demonstrate that there is not an over-concentration of Build to Rent Accommodation within an area, including a map showing all such facilities within 1km of a proposal. Such housing will be controlled in the interest of providing a mix of tenure and unit types. In assessing the matter of overconcentration, the Planning Authority will have regard to factors such as:

- the number and scale of other permitted BTR development in the vicinity (1km) of the site,
- the household tenure and housing type of existing housing stock in the approximate vicinity (1km) of the site and
- the proximity of the proposal to high capacity urban public transport stops and interchange (such as DART, Luas and BusConnects).”

#### **2. To amend the text at Section 15.10 page 550**

From:

“Furthermore, whilst BTR is considered to be an integral part in achieving an appropriate mix of housing in the right locations, there will be a presumption against the proliferation and over concentration of Build to Rent development in any one area.”

To:

“Furthermore, whilst BTR is considered to be an integral part in achieving an appropriate mix of housing in the right locations, there will be a presumption against the proliferation and over concentration of Build to Rent development in any one area (refer to Section 5.5.7 of Chapter 5 Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods).”

See also motion 75 (MOT 01155).

**Motion 77. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01148**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

P123 QHSN32 Existing sentence To promote the provision of social, affordable purchase and cost rental housing in accordance with the Council's Housing Strategy, Part V of the Planning and Development Act, as amended by the Affordable Housing Act 2021 and Government policy as outlined in the DHPLG 'Social Housing Strategy 2 Proposed sentence To promote the provision of social, affordable purchase and cost rental housing in accordance with the Council's Housing Strategy, Part V of the Planning and Development Act, as amended by the Affordable Housing Act 2021 and Government policy as outlined in the DHPLG 'Social Housing Strategy 2020. In relation to cost rental, it is important that the housing is truly 'cost-rental' and not provided through the private developers with profit margins and financing cost factored in in order to ensure the affordability and sustainability of the model.

**Planning Reason**

It is welcome that the Development Plan recognises the importance of cost rental housing. For cost rental housing to be effective however, it must be affordable to those on average incomes and this cannot be achieved through a private developer model. Moreover, cost rental - by definition - is housing rented at the cost of its construction and maintenance. Reliance on the private market to deliver it results in it not in fact being 'cost rental'.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The delivery of cost rental housing is set by national legislation and as such is beyond the remit of the Draft Development Plan.

The interpretation and definition of Cost Rental is provided and governed by the Affordable Housing Act 2021 on a statutory basis, whereby homes will be delivered at a minimum of 25 percent below market value to only cover the cost of delivering, managing, and maintaining the home.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it addresses matters that are outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Motion 78. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01219**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

That the Development Plan aspires to a minimum of 40% affordable rental/cost rental on all permitted residential developments.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure sustainable neighbourhoods.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This aspiration is not in accordance with national policy and legislation and would create a misleading and confusing statement in the text. The Development Plan must include tangible policies that have a reasonable expectation of implementation over the lifetime of the plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it is outside of the scope of the Development Plan and could cause confusion to the reader.

**Motion 79. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01234**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Doolan

Supporting Political Party:

Co-sponsors:

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter 5. Housing 5.3. Challenges. Page 105, amend 2nd sentence. To read; 'It is important that the city has adequate social and affordable housing that is attractive to all.'

**Planning Reason**

The housing crisis is not just a lack of access to housing but a lack of access to social and affordable to purchase or rental housing. The CDP must ensure DCC meets the housing needs of the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that the additional text proposed by the motion does not add greater clarity or information to the existing text. The sentence already refers to the need to provide adequate social and affordable housing- addressing the reason given with motion. Repeating this phrase at the end of the sentence does not change the meaning and has the potential to undermines the meaning of the sentence to the reader and is adding additional unnecessary text to the Draft Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it is not necessary and could cause confusion to the reader.

**Motion 80. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01117**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Joe Costello

Co-sponsors: Cllr Dermot Lacey, Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Amend QHSN35 (P. 125) (add bolded text) It is the policy of Dublin City Council to ensure new houses and apartments provide for the needs of family accommodation with a satisfactory level of residential amenity in accordance with the standards for residential accommodation.

**Planning Reason**

The vast majority of housing development in the City now consist of apartments rather than houses yet few of these developments provide for adequate family accommodation.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This policy specifically refers to housing rather than apartment developments and to add the suggested text would cause confusion. Specific guidance regarding apartment developments is provided under Policy QHSN34. All apartment schemes must comply with the 'Sustainable Urban Housing: Design Standards for New Apartments' (2020) which sets out specific standards regarding mix and amenity and therefore, this matter is addressed through national policy.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it is addressed through 'Sustainable Urban Housing: Design Standards for New Apartments' (2020).

**Motion 81. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01241**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Doolan

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter 5. Objective of Dublin City Council. Page 137. Additional Policy Point: QHSN16  
Inchicore Library To undertake a feasibility study of Inchicore Library for use by community and voluntary services.

**Planning Reason**

The current library will be redundant once Emmet Road development is complete. Other services may benefit from this community asset transfer.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion seeks to identify at this stage the future use of the existing Inchicore Library. The Emmet Road project has yet to be lodged for planning permission. After this it will take a number of years for construction to be completed, and the new library to be fitted out and occupied. The timeline for the existing library to become available to other uses is significant. It is not considered appropriate so far in advance of vacation of the building to look to determine the final use of the building as there could be many changes in that time. It is considered that this matter would be best addressed through the Strategic Policy Committee closer to the time that the building is likely to be vacated.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as identifying likely users at this stage would be premature. Retain policy QHSN16 p.137 as is.



**Motion 82. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01240**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Doolan

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter 5. Page 131. Additional policy point. QHSN48 Amenities and Retail To ensure that Local Area Plans deliver social infrastructure, sports and recreational facilities, retail outlets, schools and infrastructure in accordance to an agreed phasing programme to ensure large neighbourhoods are not left isolated without essential services.

**Planning Reason**

LAPs are an important tool to develop or regenerate areas. To ensure maximum benefit to the existing neighbourhoods it is important to deliver infrastructure and services in an agreed, timely way. This will ensure communities are not isolated or remain without essential services.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Local Area Plans are tailored to respond the particular needs of each local area and, therefore, a generic approach to their implementation and delivery cannot be imposed. The development and adoption of a Local Area Plan is a separate statutory process to a Development Plan. The scoping for what Local Area Plans are intended to deliver is more appropriately dealt with through community consultation and engagement with the many stakeholders involved in community and social facilities as part of the plan making process and for final agreement with the city council. The Development Plan addresses the issue of social infrastructure delivery at a strategic level through policies QHSN 44, 45, 46, 47 and objective QSN09.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it seeks to impose one specific approach to Local Area Plans which may not be appropriate in all cases.

**Motion 83. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01390**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

That the development plan should include, the 27 specific recommendations made by the members of Dublin City Council housing SPC and services to older persons working group

**Planning Reason**

To ensure that the development Plan recognises and caters for older citizens' needs.

**Chief Executive's Response**

A large number of policies are set out in several chapters of the Draft Development Plan which recognise and cater for the needs of older people and address the recommendations of the Dublin City Council Housing SPC and Services to Older Persons Working Group.

Chapter 5 Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods of the Draft Plan recognises that the quality of life of older people can be improved through planning and the incorporation of universal design principles in the design of the built environment, particularly, housing, community and care facilities. In this regard, policies QHSN11 and QHSN13 promote developments which provide the necessary inclusive community facilities to promote independence for older people and support the entitlement of all members of the community to enjoy a high quality living environment. Policy QHSN15 promotes built environments which are accessible to all and that new developments must be in accordance with the principles of Universal Design. Policy QHSN17 supports the needs of an ageing population in the community with reference to housing, mobility and the public realm having regard to the Draft Dublin City Age Friendly Strategy 2020-2025.

Section 5.5.5 of the Draft Plan supports the provision of specific accommodation for older people who wish to remain within their communities and Chapter 15 Development Standards allows for the consideration of the subdivision of larger homes in the city and ancillary family accommodation. Policies QHSN22 and QHSN23 support the concept of independent living and assisted living for older people, to support and promote the provision of specific purpose built accommodation, including retirement villages and encourage intergenerational models of housing for older people, building on pilot projects in the city. Objective QHSNO8 requires that a minimum of 10% of dwellings in all schemes over 100 units are designed to accommodate people with disabilities and older people in accordance with the Universal Design Guidelines for Homes in Ireland 2015.

Policy CEE17 of Chapter 6 City Economy and Enterprise recognises that economic activities should be accessible to older and disabled people and promotes jobs which provide quality of life and allow workers to play a full social and economic role in the development of the city.

Chapter 7 City Centre and Retail of the Draft Plan addresses the quality of the public realm and civic amenities for older people in the city. Policy CCUV42 seeks to ensure that Local Environment Improvement Plans / Village Improvement Plans and Placemaking Strategies will be informed by older person led walkability exercises, to make city outdoor spaces more

accessible and safe for older people, creating walkable communities and age friendly spaces. Objective CCUVO14 states that the new City Centre Public Realm Strategy will adopt / provide for investment in the use of assistive technology for vulnerable users of the public realm, good practice models in facilitating mobility aids, including scooters in the public realm and good practice model of public seating for older people with mobility issues. Objective CCUVO19 sets out the objective of the Council to provide public seating based on universal design in appropriate locations in the public realm in the city and that seating for older people with mobility issues will be based on international models of good practice.

Chapter 16 Phasing and Implementation of the Draft Plan recognises that it is important that older people, who may not be familiar with the internet/social media are kept informed and in this regard, more conventional forms of consultation will be utilised in addition to social media platforms to ensure effective engagement with all sectors of society.

It is considered that the policies and objectives pertaining to older people in the Draft Plan, including in chapters 5, 6, 7, 15 and 16 address this motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it is already addressed in the Draft Plan in chapters 5, 6, 7, 15 and 16.

**Motion 84. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01223**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

That the Development Plan will include restrictions on the expansion of short-term rental properties such as Airbnb.

**Planning Reason**

To tackle the negative effect of short-term rental on the availability of housing and on sustainable neighbourhoods.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This issue is addressed in Section 15.14.3 Short Term Tourist Rental Accommodation that provides there will be a presumption against the provision of dedicated short term tourist rental accommodation in the city due to the impact on the availability of housing stock.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it is already adequately addressed in the Draft Plan.

Refer to Section 15.14.3 Short Term Tourist Rental Accommodation.

**Motion 85. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01146**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

P115 Section 5.5.4 Existing sentence There are over 93,000 young people aged under 18 years living in Dublin City according to the 2016 Census, representing nearly 17% of the population. A young population requires childcare facilities, schools, play areas for children, youth facilities and higher education services. Proposed sentence There are over 93,000 young people aged under 18 years living in Dublin City according to the 2016 Census, representing nearly 17% of the population. A young population requires childcare facilities, schools, play areas for children, youth facilities and higher education services. Provision of services, particularly youth and recreation facilities, must include robust consultation with representative groups of young people themselves.

**Planning Reason**

Delivery of services for young people will work best if it takes account of the stated needs of those people.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan is a strategic document and it includes in Chapter 16, Section 16.2 Collaboration and Engagement, clear commitment by the City Council that it will seek the on-going collaboration and engagement with citizens, stakeholders, sectoral interests, city partners and adjoining authorities in order to secure the successful implementation of the plan's vision.

The City Council, through collaboration with communities and networks, such as the Public Participation Network, the Dublin City Local Community Development Committee, and Comhairle na nÓg, is committed to developing on-going engagement processes for the implementation of the Development Plan.

The City Council will also during the life of this Development Plan, use appropriate social media platforms to engage with the city's stakeholders and citizens in order to develop online dialogue about the progress of the Plan. It is envisaged that this will be particularly effective in reaching younger audiences as well as minority groups.

Actions on individual projects as part of the implementation of this strategic approach to addressing the needs of young people in the city will; as projects in their own right; undertake the necessary and appropriate public consultation- such as planning for new parks, greening strategies, community spaces/pitches etc.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it is considered that the Draft Plan already gives a clear commitment to engagement as part of the implementation process; tailored to the needs of the individual project.

**Motion 86. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01278**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Supporting Political Party:

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter: 5, Section: 5.5.5, Page: 121 Traveller Accommodation need a more specific timeframe for 200 units. Propose the 200 units to be converted into objective rather than information.

**Planning Reason**

To provide for a specific commitment to provide accommodation for Traveller Community.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is the policy of the plan (QHSN28) that Traveller Accommodation will be provided in accordance with the Dublin City Council Traveller Accommodation Programme 2019-2024; which is supported by the Housing Strategy for the Draft Plan. The housing need for traveller accommodation units is dynamic and the timeline will vary outside of the Development Plan as the Traveller Accommodation Programme is updated. The Council will continue to address the provision of traveller accommodation appropriate to the particular needs of Travellers through the implementation of the programme and through the implementation of the Housing Strategy. It is not appropriate therefore for operational and funding reasons to fix particular targets for the accommodation programme to the Development Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as specific targets regarding Traveller accommodation will be set by the regular updating of the Traveller Accommodation Programme and, therefore, it is considered this motion is outside of the scope of the Development Plan.

**Motion 87. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01109**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Alison Gilliland

Supporting Political Party:

Co-sponsors: Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Motion to amend QHSN27 (page 121 Written Statement) by adding the following lines: All applications for temporary homeless accommodation for single persons or couples, will demonstrate at least 75% of the temporary accommodation will take the form of an individual en-suite room. All applications for temporary homeless accommodation for single persons or couples will provide a dedicated doctor's/nurse's/clinician's room to allow for on-site medical/clinical facilities to be provided.

**Planning Reason**

Rationale: to ensure a transition to individual room temporary accommodations for all individuals/couple presenting as homeless as such accommodation provides more dignified and safer accommodation. Adding in a requirement for a dedicated doctor's/nurse's/clinician's room will allow for a more holistic case management approach on site as opposed to homeless persons having to go from A to B to C to avail of services - this can be difficult to manage when personal capacities are under stress. From a planning perspective it ensures provision for essential visiting services.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is recognised that there is a need for quality facilities for the reasons outlined in the motion. However, unfortunately the requirement that at least 75% of the temporary accommodation will take the form of an individual en-suite room is not feasible in a Dublin context. At present, families are provided with individual en-suite rooms but there are c. 3,000 single adults on a given night in emergency accommodation. Most of that is accommodation is shared. Aside from cost considerations, availability of emergency accommodation is already scarce. The requirement for provide such a high proportion of en-suite rooms would reduce existing capacity and reduce access and could perversely result in an increased number of people having to sleep rough.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed.

**Motion 88. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01166**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Page 121 Homeless Services QHSN27 amend 500m to 1000m.

**Planning Reason**

To mitigate already intensified provision of homeless services in certain parts of the city; in the interest of even dispersal of services throughout the city; in the interest of sustainable development.

**Chief Executive's Response**

A radius of 500 metres takes into consideration an area of 1km diameter; which is a significant geographic area. Such an increase would potentially remove very large areas of the city as being considered appropriate for essential homeless services, undermining the ability to respond adequately to the homeless challenge facing the city and the targets set to eliminate homelessness.

Furthermore, from an analytical perspective it is much more difficult to clearly measure levels of overconcentration if a much larger geographic area is utilised.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it would undermine the implementation of a viable response to the homelessness challenge in the city.



**Motion 89. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01147**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

P121 Section 5.5.5. To add a policy objective to the table on homeless "To develop and implement, in consultation with NGOs and state bodies working in the area, a youth homelessness strategy which addresses, among other issues, homelessness amongst the LGBTQ+ Community and the specific needs of young people leaving state care. Housing first for youth should be at the centre of this strategy.

**Planning Reason**

Young people experiencing homelessness have specific needs which should be acknowledged and addressed in any strategy dealing with homelessness. Focus Ireland have repeatedly called for the development of a youth homelessness strategy and have an excellent pilot project of Housing First for Youth in Waterford which we should look to.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The content and intention of the motion is acknowledged. However, setting the content and focus of the Homeless Action Plan Framework 2019-2021 and subsequent review is not a function of the Development Plan and will require wider inter-agency consultation and agreement.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed. This motion is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

It is recommended that this motion to be referred to Housing SPC for further consideration.

**Motion 90. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01158**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Page 122 Provision of Refuges and Emergency Accommodation Add: Dublin City Council, as part of their Housing Policy, will support the employment of a Domestic Violence Liaison Officer who will work with Domestic Violence agencies on behalf of Dublin City Council tenants within the Housing department of DCC. Add QHSN32: It is the policy of Dublin City Council to support our tenants affected by Domestic Violence.

**Planning Reason**

To mitigate the deficit of refuges; to ensure safety in local authority housing, to provide inclusive housing.

**Chief Executive's Response**

With regard Provision of Refuges and Emergency Accommodation and the employment of a Domestic Violence Liaison Officer, this is an operational and management issue and cannot be addressed through the Development Plan process.

With regard to the motion on Policy QHSN32, whilst the sentiment raised in the motion is recognised and supported by DCC, this issue is not a planning matter and is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it is outside of the scope of the Development Plan.

It is recommended that the issue raised in the motion is referred to the Housing SPC.

**Motion 91. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01115**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Joe Costello

Co-sponsors: Cllr Dermot Lacey, Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Replace QHSN6 (P. 109) It is the policy of Dublin City Council to actively promote proposals to bring upper floors of buildings into residential or other appropriate active uses through a carrot and stick approach which will require owners to act within a certain timeframe.

**Planning Reason**

To revitalise the social and physical fabric of the City and to increase footfall particularly in the City Centre.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan policy seeks to actively encourage the development of residential accommodation over existing commercial premises with proposed supporting policies QHSN5, QHSN6, CEE20 and CCUV18. QHSN6 directly supports the Living City Initiative. The Living City Initiative is a scheme of property tax incentives related to residential and commercial refurbishment that applies in certain 'special regeneration areas' in the centres of Dublin.

Additionally Section 15.13.6 provides specific guidance to facilitate future refurbishment schemes where applications will be assessed on a case by case basis. The introduction of additional incentives beyond the Living City Initiative such as financial schemes or penalties is beyond the remit of the Development Plan and would be subject to separate statutory or legislative processes. DCC will seek to further promote and disseminate the existing advice on conversions and the benefits of the Living City Initiative through the lifetime of the Development Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as the promotion of re-use of upper floors is already addressed in the Draft Plan.

**Motion 92. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01114**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Alison Gilliland

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

To add a new objective QHSSN06 as follows 'To draft upper floor building re-design guidelines that are sufficiently innovative and flexible to promote the residential use of vacant upper floors.'

**Planning Reason**

The Living City Initiative is insufficient in promoting the use of upper floors and living over the shop. We must lead in how to actually use upper floors by providing concrete design guidance so as to realise our policy of upper floor regeneration and more residential living opportunities in the city centre.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Dublin City Council have worked proactively in engaging with owners and giving direct advice on the various issues through the Living City Project to promote and encourage the regeneration of the upper floors of the city; and will continue to do so. It is considered that there is already in place ample guidance for landowners on how to convert upper floors; including (i) the South Dublin Townhouse Re-use Guidance Document (DCC, 2017) and (ii) Old House, New Home (RIAI and Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, 2020), both of which give worked case studies of conversion of upper floors. DCC will seek to further promote and disseminate the existing advice through the lifetime of the Development Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as existing advice is already in place and it would be an inefficient use of resources to prepare a new document.

**Motion 93. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01203**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Mary Callaghan

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

To significantly increase the number of extensions to existing council owned properties where there is overcrowding and the tenants would rather stay in their current home than move.

**Planning Reason**

There are many households with unacceptable overcrowding and this could be resolved with an extension to the property. There are also families with multiple generations living together who would rather stay together in the current property rather than be divided into two separate properties.

There are hundreds of people on the waiting list and currently only four properties extended per year. Providing an extra bedroom by an building extension could be an important extra channel for providing appropriate housing and reducing the housing list.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Whilst the merits of the issue raised in this motion are noted, extensions to council housing to increase living accommodation is an operational matter for the Housing Authority that is beyond the remit of the Development Plan. In general terms, advice regarding housing extensions is addressed in Appendix 18.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it is outside of the scope of the Development Plan.

It is recommended that this issue is referred to Housing SPC.

**Motion 94. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01236**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Doolan

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter 5. Objective of Dublin City Council. Page 110 Amend QHNO1 to read: Dublin City Council Dublin City Council will be the lead developer on publically owned land and will work with other agencies including the Land Development Agency to co-ordinate appropriate State owned land and the strategic assembly of public and private land to facilitate regeneration, housing and other developments.

**Planning Reason**

It is essential that DCC is the lead developer on publically owned lands so it can deliver the housing and amenities that are needed. Working with other agencies can improve on delivery this, but DCC should not be secondary to this relationship.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan provides at Section 5.5.6 p.123 that the City Council will aspire as far as is possible, to be the lead developer of publicly owned land. The proposed wording would preclude circumstances where it may be more expedient to allow for differing approaches, including through the LDA, in order to achieve the timely delivery of development.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as the issue is adequately addressed in the Draft Plan and recognises the need for a degree of flexibility in delivery.

**Motion 95. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01235**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Doolan

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter 5. 5.4. The Strategic Approach. Page 106. Add bullet point: Ensure Dublin City Council are the lead developer on publically owned land.

**Planning Reason**

This will ensure housing on public land will deliver the maximum council and affordable housing and allow for the timely delivery of services and infrastructure.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan provides at Section 5.5.6 p.123 that the City Council will aspire as far as is possible, to be the lead developer of publicly owned land. The proposed wording would preclude circumstances where it may be more expedient to allow for differing approaches, including through the LDA, in order to achieve the timely delivery of development.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as the issue is adequately addressed in the Draft Plan and recognises the need for a degree of flexibility in delivery.

**Motion 96. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01220**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

That the Development Plan incorporates the housing mix agreed by city council on all council owned lands.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure sustainable neighbourhoods and provide a good social mix of occupants.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This is an operational matter for the Housing Authority and relates to decisions made under other legislative codes and is, therefore, beyond the scope of the Development Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed to not agree the motion as it is outside of the scope of the Development Plan.



**Motion 97. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01093**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Dermot Lacey

Refers to Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

To amend QHSN38 by removing the figure 25% and inserting the figure 40%.

**Planning Reason**

I believe a Build to Rent ratio of up to 75% is not desirable in terms of sustaining a community and that increasing the minimum of build to sell apartments to 40% would be much more in keeping with good long-term planning while still providing a high number of Build to Rent opportunities.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The 25 % requirement for BTR is a minimum figure that recognises that viability will differ in each potential location. Imposing a minimum 40 % requirement for build to sell may inhibit the development of the Build to Rent accommodation offer in the city. Future Build to Rent accommodation is subject to the appropriate safeguards as provided in the proposed draft plan and serves an important role in meeting housing demand as part of a normal housing hierarchy. This accommodation can fill a gap in the tenure mix in established areas of owner-occupier housing to meet varying housing need for different circumstances and stages of lifecycle, for example, younger people seeking to secure accommodation and live in their own local area.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it is considered a higher percentage figure could preclude development of much needed housing.

**Motion 98. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01118**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Joe Costello

Supporting Political Party:

Co-sponsors: Cllr Dermot Lacey, Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

New Policy Insert after QH5N4Z (P. 129) It is the policy of Dublin City Council to prevent the proliferation and concentration of clusters of build to rent/student accommodation /co-living development in any area of the City.

**Planning Reason**

In recent years there has been a proliferation of these developments. They are mainly SHDs and therefore large-scale and when clustered in areas as in the North Inner City greatly diminish neighbourhood integrity and sustainable living.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This issue has been addressed in the Draft Plan in response to the strategic directions made at the June Council meeting. The Draft Plan provides a broad policy framework to address the overconcentration or proliferation of a number of land uses in order to ensure a balanced pattern of development in the city, including for planning applications for new uses or for change of use.

Section 5.5.7 and 15.10 'Build to Rent Developments, provides that Build to Rent schemes are required to demonstrate that there is not an over-concentration of this accommodation within an area, including a map showing all such facilities within 1km of a proposal. In regard to shared accommodation, analysis carried out as part of the Development Plan preparation does not indicate a specific demand for shared accommodation in the city and, therefore, there will be a general presumption against granting planning permission for this form of development as addressed by policy QHSN41(p.128).

Section 5.5.7 and 15.13.1 'Student Accommodation', provides that in assessing proposals for student accommodation, the planning authority will have regard to the pattern and distribution of student accommodation in the locality, and will resist the overconcentration of such schemes in any one area, in the interests of achieving a sustainable mix of development.

It is a function of the development management process to assess a proposal against the development plan criteria relating to overconcentration to establish if such a proposed use will cause or contribute to an undesirable concentration of a particular use or pattern of development in an area. Applicants will be required to submit appropriate mapping and other supplementary information to enable the planning authority to undertake an evidenced based assessment of each planning application.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as there are sufficient measures included in the Draft to fully assess the impact of such new uses.

**Motion 99. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01273**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter: 5, Section: 5.5.7, Page: 126 To amend policy QHSN38 to read "...in excess of 100 units..."

**Planning Reason**

To ensure adequate and appropriate housing development.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This amendment would conflict with QHSN39 and the need to allow for a critical mass of units in order for appropriate communal facilities to be provided in BTR schemes. This is not feasible for smaller schemes and, therefore, the threshold of 250 should remain in order to ensure viability and sufficient levels of amenities and services for BTR tenants.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it would create conflicting motions and create the risk of undermining viability of smaller schemes.

**Motion 100. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01277**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter: 5, Section: 5.5.7, Page: 127 QHSN38 Change 1km to 3km regarding BTR assessment and also add in 'take into regard the geographical area of the BTR'.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure there is not an oversaturation of BTR in one particular area.

**Chief Executive's Response**

A 3km distance is considered excessive and will be counterproductive in identifying where pockets of overconcentration actually occur. If a wider geographical area is analysed, the level of concentration of a particular development will be less. Therefore, it could be concluded that in this wider area a greater level of development could be acceptable which would be contrary to the intent of the policy to prevent over concentration.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it could undermine the applicability of the assessment of concentration of particular uses.

**Motion 101. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01389**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

That as a high-level strategic policy the Development Plan should include a provision that rent to buy schemes should be discouraged in as far as possible, but if an application for a Build to Rent scheme is submitted, it should, at the very minimum, include studies showing how, if possible, the particular development would add to the sustainability of the particular community/neighbourhood.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure quality development and sustainable neighbourhoods.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This issue is already addressed in 'Design Standards for New Apartments - Guidelines for Planning Authorities' (2020) and at section 5.5.7 (p.124) and 15.10 (p.549) of the proposed Draft Development Plan issued on foot of the June Council meeting.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it is already addressed in the Draft Plan.

**Motion 102. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01104**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Declan Meenagh

Co-sponsors: Cllr Joe Costello

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

QHSN42 Third-Level Student Accommodation To support the provision of high-quality, professionally managed and purpose-built third-level student accommodation in line with the provisions of the National Student Accommodation Strategy (2017), on campuses or in appropriate locations close to the main campus, in the inner city or adjacent to high-quality public transport corridors and cycle routes, in a manner which respects the residential amenity and character of the surrounding area, in order to support the knowledge economy. Proposals for student accommodation shall comply with the 'Guidelines for Student Accommodation' contained in the development standards chapter. ADD There will be a presumption against allowing any student accommodation development to be converted to any other use during term time. In any such application, a report providing clear evidence of price reductions and an attempt to widely advertise the accommodation to students must be included.

**Planning Reason**

For a properly functioning development system, student accommodation should stay for that purpose and not turned into short term lets or co-living by the back door.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The marketing or pricing of student accommodation is not within the remit of the development management planning process and is beyond the scope of the Development Plan.

The intended conversion of student accommodation to another use requires planning permission for change of use. The assessment of any such application is an executive function and is addressed in the Department of Housing Circular issued in relation to student housing (Circular 05/2021 Temporary Change of Use of Student Accommodation) published 29th September 2021.

Circular 05/2021 requires that as the removal of student accommodation from availability for student use runs contrary to the National Student Accommodation Strategy, planning authorities and An Bord Pleanála must be satisfied that there are compelling non-Covid related grounds to grant permission for any such proposed change of use. Accordingly, the onus must be on any applicant for change of use from existing purpose-built student accommodation to demonstrate that there is no longer a need for such use in the area in question. Otherwise, student accommodation should be retained. In assessing any such application, the overriding consideration must be the need for student accommodation in the area.

Circular 05/2021 supplements circular PL8 of 2016, which remains applicable and seeks to ensure that student accommodation is:

- (1) not used for residential accommodation of a permanent nature;
- (2) safeguarded for use by students and other persons related to higher education institutes during the academic year; and
- (3) capable of being used for legitimate occupation by other persons/groups during holiday periods, when not required for student accommodation purposes.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motions is not agreed as it has been fully addressed in the Circular.

See also motions 103 (MOT-01156), 104 (MOT-01274) and 117 (MOT-01294).



**Motion 103. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01156**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

128 Section 5.5.7 Student accommodation piece to include 'Applications for change of use of PBSA must demonstrate that there is no demand for that accommodation in the area and not simply that there is no demand at current price levels. Where there is demand for student accommodation no change of use should be permitted.'

**Planning Reason**

There is an obvious and chronic need for student accommodation but the PBSA DCC have permitted is priced out of reach for students. Rather than facilitating maintaining high prices through permitting COU we must maintain student accommodation supply and allow prices to adjust to meet market conditions.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The consideration of pricing of student accommodation is not within the remit of the development management planning process and is beyond the scope of the Development Plan.

Applications for change of use of PBSA are governed by Circular 05/2021 Temporary Change of Use of Student Accommodation. Circular 05/2021 requires that as the removal of student accommodation from availability for student use runs contrary to the National Student Accommodation Strategy, planning authorities and An Bord Pleanála must be satisfied that there are compelling non-Covid related grounds to grant permission for any such proposed change of use. Accordingly, the onus must be on any applicant for change of use from existing purpose-built student accommodation to demonstrate that there is no longer a need for such use in the area in question. Otherwise, student accommodation should be retained. In assessing any such application, the overriding consideration must be the need for student accommodation in the area.

Circular 05/2021 supplements circular PL8 of 2016, which remains applicable and seeks to ensure that student accommodation is:-

- (1) not used for residential accommodation of a permanent nature;
- (2) safeguarded for use by students and other persons related to higher education institutes during the academic year; and
- (3) capable of being used for legitimate occupation by other persons/groups during holiday periods, when not required for student accommodation purposes.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it has been fully addressed in the Circular.

See also motions 102 (MOT-01104), 104 (MOT-01274) and 117 (MOT-01294).

**Motion 104. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01274**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter: 5, Section: 5.5.7, Page: 129 to insert a new policy "there will be a general presumption against change of use of Third Level Student Accommodation to short term lettings or tourist accommodation, or to shared living schemes"

**Planning Reason**

To ensure adequate and appropriate housing development.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The intended conversion of student accommodation to another use requires planning permission for change of use. The assessment of any such application is an operational matter and is addressed in the Department of Housing Circular issued in relation to student housing (Circular 05/2021 Temporary Change of Use of Student Accommodation) published 29th September 2021.

Circular 05/2021 requires that as the removal of student accommodation from availability for student use runs contrary to the National Student Accommodation Strategy, planning authorities and An Bord Pleanála must be satisfied that there are compelling non-Covid related grounds to grant permission for any such proposed change of use. Accordingly, the onus must be on any applicant for change of use from existing purpose-built student accommodation to demonstrate that there is no longer a need for such use in the area in question. Otherwise, student accommodation should be retained. In assessing any such application, the overriding consideration must be the need for student accommodation in the area.

Circular 05/2021 supplements circular PL8 of 2016, which remains applicable and seeks to ensure that student accommodation is:-

- (1) not used for residential accommodation of a permanent nature;
- (2) safeguarded for use by students and other persons related to higher education institutes during the academic year; and
- (3) capable of being used for legitimate occupation by other persons/groups during holiday periods, when not required for student accommodation purposes.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it is already addressed in the Government circular.

See also motions 102 (MOT-01104), 103 (MOT-01156) and 01294 (117).

**Motion 105. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01391**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

That the Development Plan addresses and come up with a policy to address the over concentration of certain development patterns in the city, such as co- living, student accommodation, hostels etc. in the city centre areas.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure quality development.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This matter is addressed in the Draft Plan issued on foot of the June Council meeting.

The proposed Draft Development Plan provides a broad policy framework to address the overconcentration or proliferation of a number of land uses in order to ensure a balanced pattern of development in the city. It is noted that planning applications will be required for new uses or for change of use.

Section 5.5.7 and 15.13.1 'Student Accommodation', provides that in assessing proposals for student accommodation, the planning authority will have regard to the pattern and distribution of student accommodation in the locality, and will resist the overconcentration of such schemes in any one area, in the interests of achieving a sustainable mix of development.

Section 5.5.7 and 15.10 'Build to Rent Developments, provides that Build to Rent and Shared Accommodation (also known as 'co-living') schemes are required to demonstrate that there is not an over-concentration of this accommodation within an area, including a map showing all such facilities within 1km of a proposal. In regard to shared accommodation, analysis carried out as part of the development plan does not indicate a specific demand for shared accommodation in the city and, therefore, there will be a general presumption against granting planning permission for this form of development as addressed by policy QHSN41(p.128).

Section 15.13.9 'Hostels / Sheltered Accommodation / Family Hubs', provides criteria that must be submitted for assessment, including maps of other services in the area to indicate the proposal will not result in an undue concentration of such uses, nor undermine the existing local economy, the resident community, the residential amenity, or the regeneration of the area.

Other uses that will be assessed with regard to proliferation include Temporary Homeless Accommodation, Hotels and Aparthotels, Fast Food/Takeaways, Betting Shops, Adult Stores, Amusement Centres / Events, public houses, off-licence sales, etc.

It is a function of the development management process to assess a proposal against the development plan criteria relating to overconcentration to establish if such a proposed use will cause or contribute to an undesirable concentration of a particular use or pattern of

development in an area. Applicants will be required to submit appropriate mapping and other supplementary information to enable the planning authority to undertake an evidenced based assessment of each planning application.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that this motion is not agreed as it is already addressed in the Draft Plan.

**Motion 106. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01105**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Declan Meenagh

Supporting Political Party:

Co-sponsors: Cllr Joe Costello

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

QHSN43 To work with Waterways Ireland to identify appropriate locations for additional houseboat serviced mooring locations and ancillary facilities. ADD And to develop plans to make living on the Canals more sustainable through encouraging the use of solar and other methods.

**Planning Reason**

To keep this in line with climate legislation and objectives.

**Chief Executive's Response**

With regard to the use of solar, it should be noted that it is considered that this matter is already addressed in the Draft Development Plan. The Draft Plan states that small to medium scale solar rooftop PV installations are considered suitable for urban areas (p. 65). Section 15.18.7 (p.594) states that development proposals will be encouraged to utilise renewable energy sources and this should be assessed as part of an energy statement. In relation to individual accommodation, section 18.18.8 provides that homeowners can utilise solar thermal panels that produce hot water and photovoltaic panels that produce electricity subject to normal considerations and limitations. The provisions of the Draft Plan in terms of renewable energy such as solar photovoltaic panels are considered to apply to all domestic situations including mooring locations/house boats and a specific policy amendment in this regard to QHSN 43 is not warranted.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to not agree the motion as the issue is already adequately addressed in the Draft Plan.

**Motion 107. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01116**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Joe Costello

Supporting Political Party:

Co-sponsors: Cllr Dermot Lacey, Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Amend Policy QHSN20 (P. 117) to read: It is the policy of Dublin City Council to support the creation of a permeable, connected and well-linked city and to prohibit gated residential developments which exclude the public and local community and prevent development of sustainable neighbourhoods.

**Planning Reason**

Gated communities exclude and divide and are at odds with the older established communities where gating never occurred. Thus allowing for community engagement and preventing elitism and discrimination.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Whilst there is recognition that gated developments are not a positive form of development within the City, the Draft Plan also needs to recognise and accommodate that in certain specific circumstances, for instance for small scale schemes aimed at those who need social supports such as older people or domestic violence refuges, it may be necessary to utilise measures to limit general public access such as a gated access. The policy as worded provides this flexibility but preserves the intent that generally gated communities are not acceptable as they exclude the community.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to not agree the motion as certain specialised forms of development which may need gated access need to be considered.

**Motion 108. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01137**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

P108, section 5.5.2 Existing sentence The Council will work with the LDA to activate key sites and lands that will assist with the delivery of housing. For further active land measures to implement the core strategy, please see Chapter 2 'Core Strategy'. Proposed sentence The Council will work with the LDA to activate key sites and lands that will assist with the delivery of housing. Priority will be given to the provision of public housing, as defined by the Council. For further active land measures to implement the core strategy, please see Chapter 2 'Core Strategy'.

**Planning Reason**

If the council is to work with the LDA it's vital that we maintain and protect our primary housing role which is the delivery of public housing.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Land Development Agency has been established to develop and regenerate relevant public land for the delivery of housing, including providing services to local authorities in order to assist them in the performance of their functions relating to development of sites for housing, developing, managing and acquiring housing for rent or purchase and to promote sustainable development.

The functions of the Land Development Agency are governed through separate legislation and Dublin City Council cannot subsume or prioritise those functions, or those of another separate agency. Dublin City Council will work in collaboration with the Land Development Agency, other state agencies and infrastructure providers to ensure the timely delivery of public housing in the city under the terms of the Affordable Housing Act 2021 and Land Development Agency Act 2021.

The motion, therefore, cannot be recommended as it is beyond the remit of the Development Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to not agree the motion as it is outside of the scope of the Development Plan.

See also motion 109 (MOT-01377).

**Motion 109. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01377**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

That the Development Plan acknowledges the role of the Land Development Agency (LDA) in addressing the housing crisis in the city and, insofar as possible, commits to working with the agency. However, the Development Plan should ensure that any and all provisions of the LDA related legislation where it proposes to remove the Section 183 reserved function of Elected Members in relation to the disposal of local authority held land to the LDA as provided for in Part 7, Section 56 of the Bill, is rejected and a specific policy statement to this effect is included in the development plan.

**Planning Reason**

Members rejection of attempt to remove S183 powers.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The functions and powers of the Land Development Agency (LDA), as provided for under the Land Development Agency Act 2021, are outside the scope of Development Plan legislation. The Development Plan is not the forum to object to other legislative provisions.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to not agree the motion as it is outside of the scope of the Development Plan.

See also motion 107 (MOD-01137).



**Motion 110. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01275**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

Chapter: 5, Section: 5.58, Page: 131 That this Planning Authority pursuant to Section 12 (6) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) resolves to amend the Draft Development Plan as follows – To add Policy Objective: Music, Arts and Cultural Facilities the following: “Allocate space for musicians to practice”.

**Planning Reason**

To protect and encourage cultural recreation and integration.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Allowing space for artists to work and live within the city is vital to maintaining a vibrant artistic community as part of the cultural life of the city. Dublin City will continue to work with artists and artist groups to expand the provision of studios available and/or supported by the Council. Cultural infrastructure, e.g. creative workspaces, performing arts, rehearsal spaces, music recording studios is addressed in Chapter 12, Culture, whereby objectives CUO28, 29 and 30 directly support the provision of venues and facilities for musicians. The Council will publish a “toolkit” for developers and other stakeholders giving a guide to the spatial requirements of art form specific workspaces to inform the design process and ensure viable arts and cultural spaces are provided. It is considered that this motion is addressed comprehensively elsewhere in the plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to not agree the motion as it would create unnecessary repetition when the issue is addressed in detail in objectives CUO28, 29 and 30 in Chapter 12 of the Draft Plan.

**Motion 111. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01384**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 5 - Quality Housing and Sustainable Neighbourhoods

**Motion**

That the Development Plan 2022–2028 includes in the relevant section that all naming of developments in the City will, where applicable, be considered and approved at area committee level rather than by the current process whereby the area committee merely rubberstamps a decision of the developer/internal DCC departments. To clarify, that the Development Plan allows that proposals for a development name, office and commercial unit identification and numbering scheme and associated signage shall be/continue to be submitted in writing to the planning department prior to commencement of development. However, thereafter all such names and numbering shall be approved at area committee level rather than through the current process.

**Planning Reason**

To augment and improve the planning process for development naming/numbering.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This motion is addressed under Objective CUO47, in response to strategic directions at the June Council meeting.

It is proposed to comprehensively review the overall process for agreeing naming for developments under Objective CUO47 (p.359) which states:

“To review the process of agreeing names of new developments and to ensure a revised approach so that Irish language names are prioritised and encouraged; whilst also reflecting the rich diversity of history and origins of placenames and townland names within Dublin and also names that are reflective the social history of each place. All placenames installed for new streets or estates must be bi-lingual.”

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to not agree the motion as it is already addressed in the Draft Plan.

## **Chapter 6: City Economy and Enterprise**

**Motions Referring to Chapter 6: City Economy and Enterprise****Motion 112. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01168**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 6 - City Economy and Enterprise

**Motion**

Page 162 Tourism, Hotels and Events Last paragraph define and quantify 'over concentration'.

**Planning Reason**

To provide a benchmark against which decisions will be made in the interest of consistent and sustainable development and implementation of the provisions and standards set out in this Draft Development Plan.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This is a function of the development management process having regard to the Development Plan's policy and standards requirements. The Development Plan cannot prescribe a specific policy response to each individual site in the city that defines overconcentration and, therefore, provides the framework to enable individual proposals to be assessed consistently in different areas of the city on a case by case basis, having regard to the location of the site and existing hotel provision in the area.

However, Section 15.14.1 requires the applicant to submit a report indicating all existing and proposed hotel and aparthotel developments within a 500m catchment and justification that the development will not undermine the principles of achieving a balanced pattern of development in the area. This information will be assessed by the Planning Department as part of the overall application to establish if overconcentration or proliferation of hotel development will occur in a particular area having regard to the context of the site in the city, planning history of the area, existing mix of uses, potential for regeneration, etc.

In addition, policy CEE28 requires Dublin City Council to consider applications for hotel development having regard to the existing and proposed mix of uses (including existing levels of tourism accommodation i.e. existing and permitted hotel, aparthotel and student accommodation uses) in the vicinity of any proposed development; as well as the impact of additional tourism accommodation on the wider objective to provide a rich and vibrant range of uses in the city centre.

While it is not possible to provide as specific benchmark for the reasons outlined above, in order to provide a more robust set of criteria to guide the development management process relating to tourism accommodation, policy CEE28 will be amended to include additional criteria against which applications for new hotel, tourist hostel and aparthotel will be assessed.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is agreed with amendments.

It is recommended that the text of the draft plan be modified in two places:

1. Policy CEE28 on page 164 to be changed

From:

Policy CEE28: Tourism Accommodation

To consider applications for additional hotel and aparthotel development having regard to:

- the existing and proposed mix of uses (including existing levels of tourism accommodation i.e. existing and permitted hotel, aparthotel and student accommodation uses) in the vicinity of any proposed development;
- the impact of additional tourism accommodation on the wider objective to provide a rich and vibrant range of uses in the city centre;
- the opportunity presented to provide high quality, designed for purpose spaces that can accommodate evening and night time activities – see also Chapter 12, Objective CUO34.

To:

Policy CEE28: Tourism Accommodation

To consider applications for additional hotel, tourist hostel and aparthotel development having regard to:

- the existing character of the area in which the development is proposed including local amenities and facilities;
- the existing and proposed mix of uses (including existing levels of tourism accommodation i.e. existing and permitted hotel, aparthotel and student accommodation uses) in the vicinity of any proposed development;
- the impact of additional tourism accommodation on the wider objective to provide a rich and vibrant range of uses in the city centre;
- the need to prevent an unacceptable intensification of activity, particularly in predominantly residential areas;
- the opportunity presented to provide high quality, designed for purpose spaces that can accommodate evening and night time activities – see also Chapter 12, Objective CUO34.

2. Section 15.14.1 on page 564 to be changed

From:

15.14.1 Hotels and Aparthotels

Hotels and aparthotels will be considered on a case by case basis having regard to the location of the site and existing hotel provision in the area.

In certain instances, where the planning authority deems there to be an overconcentration of such facilities in an area, the applicant may be requested to submit a report indicating all existing and proposed hotel and aparthotel developments within a 500m catchment and justification that the development will not undermine the principles of achieving a balanced pattern of development in the area.

To:

#### 15.14.1 Hotels, Tourist Hostels and Aparthotels

Hotels and aparthotels will be considered on a case by case basis having regard to the location of the site and existing hotel provision in the area.

“In certain instances, where the planning authority deems there to be an overconcentration of such facilities in an area, the applicant will be requested to submit a report indicating all existing and proposed hotel and aparthotel developments within a 500m catchment, providing a justification that the development will not undermine the principles of achieving a balanced pattern of development in the area, and demonstrating that the proposed development fully complies with the criteria set out in Policy CEE28 and in Section 15.14.1.1 and 15.14.1.2 below.”

See also motions 113 (MOT-01293) 114 (MOT-01281), 115 (MOT-01282), 116 (MOT-01283) and 280 (MOT-01287).

**Motion 113. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01293**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 6 - City Economy and Enterprise

**Motion**

Chapter: 6, Section: 6.5.6, Page: 162 in the last paragraph, change: the applicant may be requested to the applicant will be requested.

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: to ensure that new development will not undermine the principles of achieving a balanced pattern of development in areas where the planning authority deems there to be an overconcentration of hotels or tourist accommodation.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is agreed to ensure clarity to the reader of the intent of the objective. It is also recommended to amend the motion to carry this change through to another part of the text related to the section referred.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with amendments.

It is recommended to replace text in two locations:

(1) To amend text Chapter: 6, Section: 6.5.6, last paragraph, page: 162

From:

“As specified in Chapter 15: Development Standards (Section 15.14.1), where the planning authority deems there to be an overconcentration of such facilities in an area, the applicant may be requested to submit a report indicating all existing and proposed hotel and aparthotel developments within a 500m catchment and justification that the development will not undermine the principles of achieving a balanced pattern of development in the area.”

To:

“As specified in Chapter 15: Development Standards (Section 15.14.1), where the planning authority deems there to be an overconcentration of such facilities in an area, the applicant will be requested to submit a report indicating all existing and proposed hotel and aparthotel developments within a 500m catchment and justification that the development will not undermine the principles of achieving a balanced pattern of development in the area.”

(2) And to amend text in Section 15.14.1, page 564

From:

“In certain instances, where the planning authority deems there to be an overconcentration of such facilities in an area, the applicant may be requested to submit a report indicating all existing and proposed hotel and aparthotel developments within a 500m catchment and

justification that the development will not undermine the principles of achieving a balanced pattern of development in the area.”

To:

“In certain instances, where the planning authority deems there to be an overconcentration of such facilities in an area, the applicant will be requested to submit a report indicating all existing and proposed hotel and aparthotel developments within a 500m catchment, providing a justification that the development will not undermine the principles of achieving a balanced pattern of development in the area, and demonstrating that the proposed development fully complies with the criteria set out in Policy CEE28 and in Section 15.14.1.1 and 15.14.1.2 below.”

See also motions 112 (MOT-01168) 114 (MOT-01281), 115 (MOT-01282), 116 (MOT-01283) and 280 (MOT-01287).



**Motion 114. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01281**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 6 - City Economy and Enterprise

**Motion**

Chapter: 6, Section: 6.5.6, Page: 162 to add a new sentence to the end of the third paragraph stating "This expansion of existing hotels in the city came at the expense of housing and cultural spaces which were displaced by hotel developments".

**Planning Reason**

To reflect the accurately the planning history so as to support improved development into the future.

**Chief Executive's Response**

In Dublin, as in any city, hotels form an integral part of the overall pattern of urban development. Dublin's hotels can be seen to play a major role in enhancing the vibrancy and culture of the city by providing a place to stay for visitors from elsewhere Ireland and from abroad who wish to take part in and indeed contribute to in the city's rich cultural scene, which includes festivals, sporting events as well as concerts and musical events in venues large and small.

Furthermore, by providing accommodation to visitors who would otherwise seek to stay in short-term lets for example, hotel development can ease the burden on the housing rental market as a whole. New hotel developments can also contribute to the regeneration of their surrounding areas through the redevelopment of vacant or underutilised spaces, by attracting new visitors to the area, and by providing much needed local employment.

With regard to the issue of new hotel development as discussed in Section 6.5.6 of the Draft Plan, it is recognised that in recent years the city has seen numerous applications for the development of new hotels and for the expansion of existing hotels in the city. However, it cannot be inferred from this that all, or even a significant proportion of such development specifically came at the expense of housing and cultural spaces as is stated by the motion.

What the increase in applications does clearly suggest however, is a strong demand for additional hotel accommodation for the city as a whole, as is borne out in recent Fáilte Ireland research into the supply of tourism accommodation in Dublin. It also clear that spatially, this demand is particularly pronounced in central areas of the city which are close to existing cultural and nightlife-based activities.

As discussed in Section 6.5.6 of the Plan, the overconcentration of hotel development in certain areas is not desirable as it has the potential to undermine the overall objective to foster a rich and vibrant range of uses, including cultural spaces and housing, in the city centre.

It is for this reason that the Draft Plan includes a new policy CEE28 which states that the Council will consider applications for new development having regard to amongst other things, the existing and proposed mix of uses in the city centre as well as its impact on the wider objective to provide a rich and vibrant range of uses in the city centre.

It also includes a requirement as set out in Section 14.14.1 'Hotels and Aparthotels' for applicants to submit a report indicating all existing and proposed hotel and aparthotel developments within a 500m catchment and justification that the development will not undermine the principles of achieving a balanced pattern of development in the area.

Given the complexity of this issue, the Draft Plan also includes an objective CEE01 'Study on the Supply and Demand for Hotels, Aparthotels and Hostels' To carry out an analysis of the supply and demand for tourism related accommodation including hotels, aparthotels and hostels in the Dublin City area.

Finally, with regard to the specific issue of the displacement of cultural spaces, it is important to note that Chapter 9 of the Draft Plan 'Culture' includes objective CUO22 'Demolition or Replacement of a Use of Cultural Value' which states that "Where applications are made seeking to demolish or replace a cultural space/use, the development must re-accommodate the same or increased volume of space/use or a similar use within the redevelopment. Cultural uses include theatres, cinemas, artist studios, performance spaces, music venues, nightclubs, studios and dance space".

It is considered that the Draft Plan provides for a robust approach to the protection of cultural spaces and for the consideration of new hotel development which addresses the need for a vibrant mix of uses in the city centre including cultural spaces and housing. It is, therefore, not considered appropriate to amend Section 6.2.6 as proposed in the motion.

### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as there are sufficient measures included in the Draft Plan to fully assess the impact of such uses.

See also motions 112 (MOT-01168) 113 (MOT-01293), 115 (MOT-01282), 116 (MOT-01283) and 280 (MOT-01287).

**Motion 115. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01282**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 6 - City Economy and Enterprise

**Motion**

Chapter: 6, Section: 6.5.6, Page: 162 in the final paragraph amend 500m to 1km.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure a suitable mix of spatial uses in the city.

**Chief Executive's Response**

As stated in page 162, "where the planning authority deems there to be an overconcentration of such facilities in an area, the applicant may be requested to submit a report indicating all existing and proposed hotel and aparthotel developments within a 500m catchment and justification that the development will not undermine the principles of achieving a balanced pattern of development in the area".

As the purpose of this requirement is to identify and address the potential overconcentration of hotel and aparthotel development in specific areas (and not for the wider city area), it is considered that a radius of 1km would be excessive (it is worth noting in this respect that a 1km radius from O'Connell Bridge would take in much of Dublin's north and south inner-city, stretching as far south as St. Stephen's Green, as far west as the Four Courts, as far north as Mountjoy Park and as far east as the Jeanie Johnston).

Such a large radius would be counterproductive in identifying where pockets of overconcentration actually occur, due to the fact that the wider the geographical area analysed, the lower the overall concentration of hotels.

An analysis carried out on the basis of a 1km radius from the site of an application could show that there is no overconcentration of hotels across the area as a whole, while omitting the fact that there is a high concentration of hotels in the area directly surrounding the hotel (an analysis of a radius of 1km from the Abbey Street area, for example, would encompass a large swathe of the north west inner city as far as Grangegorman which currently has relatively few hotels).

It is also noted that to assist in the future consideration of applications for hotel, aparthotel and hostel accommodation in the city, Dublin City Council will carry out an analysis of the supply and demand for tourism related accommodation in the Dublin City area under Objective CEE01.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as there are sufficient measures included in the Draft to fully assess the impact of such uses and the expansion would undermine a robust analysis of the local impact.

See also motions 112 (MOT-01168) 113 (MOT-01293), 114 (MOT-01281), 116 (MOT-01283) and 280 (MOT-01287).

**Motion 116. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01283**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 6 - City Economy and Enterprise

**Motion**

Chapter: 6, Section: 6.5.6, Page: 164 to amend CEE28 to include "Tourism Accommodation To counter balance the recent over development of hotel the will be a general presumption against hotel and aparthotels, but Dublin City Council will consider applications for additional hotel and aparthotel, but Dublin City Council will consider applications for additional hotel and aparthotel development having regard to:...."

**Planning Reason**

To ensure a better mix of retail and land use and to promote sustainable development.

**Chief Executive's Response**

In Dublin, as in any city, hotels (and more recently aparthotels) form an integral part of the overall pattern of urban development. As a result, it would be wholly inappropriate to adopt a general presumption against such an established, accepted and necessary form of development.

It is also considered that the Draft Development Plan already provides for a robust approach to the consideration of applications for new hotel development which will take into the location of the site and existing hotel provision in the area.

With regard to the issue of the consideration of new hotel development, it is acknowledged in Section 6.5.6 of the Draft Plan, that in recent years the city has seen numerous applications for the development of new hotels and aparthotels and for the expansion of existing hotels in the city. However, it is not clear that increased levels of hotel and aparthotel planning applications and development does in fact represent an 'overdevelopment of hotels' at present.

What the increase in applications does clearly suggest however, is a strong demand for additional hotel and aparthotel accommodation for the city as a whole, as is borne out in recent Fáilte Ireland research into the supply of tourism accommodation in Dublin. It also clear that spatially, this demand is particularly pronounced in central areas of the city which are close to existing cultural and nightlife-based activities.

As discussed in Section 6.5.6 of the Plan, the overconcentration of hotel development in such areas is not desirable as it has the potential to undermine the overall objective to foster a rich and vibrant range of uses in the city centre.

It is for this reason that Draft Plan policy CEE28 states that the Council will consider applications for new development having regard to, amongst other things, "the existing and proposed mix of uses (including existing levels of tourism accommodation i.e. existing and permitted hotel, aparthotel and student accommodation uses) in the vicinity of any proposed development".

It should be noted that policy CEE28 has been further amended in response to motion 113 (MOT-1168) to provide a more robust set of criteria by which applications for hotel, tourist hostel and aparthotel developments will be assessed.

The Draft Plan also includes a requirement as set out in Section 14.14.1 'Hotels and Aparthotels' for applicants to submit a report indicating all existing and proposed hotel and aparthotel developments within a 500m catchment and justification that the development will not undermine the principles of achieving a balanced pattern of development in the area.

Finally, given the complexity of this issue, the Draft Plan includes an objective CEE01 'Study on the Supply and Demand for Hotels, Aparthotels and Hostels' To carry out an analysis of the supply and demand for tourism related accommodation including hotels, aparthotels and hostels in the Dublin City area.

### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as there are sufficient measures included in the Draft to fully assess the impact of such uses.

See also motions 112 (MOT-01168) 113 (MOT-01293), 114 (MOT-01281), 115 (MOT-01282) and 280 (MOT-01287).

**Motion 117. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01294**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 6 - City Economy and Enterprise

**Motion**

Chapter:6, Section: 6.5.6, Page:163 after houses or apartments, add: or student accommodation.

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: to ensure that development or change of use applications will not undermine the principles of achieving a balanced pattern of development.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is not considered appropriate to address this matter through the Development Plan. The issue of student accommodation is governed by Circular APH2-2016 PL8-2016 - Identifying Planning Measures to Enhance Housing Supply and Circular 05/2021. The Development Plan must comply with this guidance.

Circular PL8 of 2016, dictates that student accommodation is:

- (1) not used for residential accommodation of a permanent nature;
- (2) safeguarded for use by students and other persons related to higher education institutes during the academic year; and
- (3) capable of being used for legitimate occupation by other persons/groups during holiday periods, when not required for student accommodation purposes.

The intended conversion of student accommodation to another use requires planning permission for change of use. The assessment of any such application is an operational matter and is addressed in the Department of Housing Circular issued in relation to student housing (Circular 05/2021 Temporary Change of Use of Student Accommodation) published 29th September 2021.

Circular 05/2021 requires that as the removal of student accommodation from availability for student use runs contrary to the National Student Accommodation Strategy, planning authorities and An Bord Pleanála must be satisfied that there are compelling non-Covid related grounds to grant permission for any such proposed change of use. Accordingly, the onus must be on any applicant for change of use from existing purpose-built student accommodation to demonstrate that there is no longer a need for such use in the area in question. Otherwise, student accommodation should be retained. In assessing any such application, the overriding consideration must be the need for student accommodation in the area.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as this issue has been addressed through the Government circular.

See also motions 102 (MOT-01104), 103 (MOT-01156) and 104 (MOT-01274).

**Motion 118. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01132**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 6 - City Economy and Enterprise

**Motion**

CEE25 Replace: maximises the use on-site renewable energy; with: the development must provide its own power, either through on site renewables or by providing the equivalent of all the power it uses to the grid through off site renewables.

**Planning Reason**

For sustainable development and to protect our energy security.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Development Plan cannot compel any development to operate independently of the grid. This issue is a matter for EU and Government legislation and the Commission for Energy Regulation.

It is, however, the policy of the Draft Development Plan (CEE25) to require any applications for new data centre development to maximise energy efficiency and renewable energy use, which it is considered addresses the intent of the motion.

Section 15.14.14 Data Centres provides that in assessing applications for data centres, Dublin City Council will consider;

- The extent of energy demand and proximity to multiple high voltage strategic grid connections with significant electricity supply capacity available including areas with high concentration of renewable energy electricity generators.
- Access to and proximity to multiple sources of energy and natural resources i.e. including natural gas to support backup power systems and large water supply is essential for cooling.
- Details of high levels of energy efficiency; maximises the use on-site renewable energy; captures and reuses waste heat.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside of the scope of the Development Plan.

**Motion 119. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01378**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 6 - City Economy and Enterprise

**Motion**

That, where appropriate, the Development Plan will include reference to and support of the Dublin/Belfast corridor.

**Planning Reason**

To promote the relationship with the second city of the country.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This is addressed as per the Draft Plan issued on foot of the June Council meeting – see policy CEE5, page 150 and Section 6.5.1, page 148, 149.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as this issue is addressed in the Draft Plan.



**Motion 120. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01383**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 6 - City Economy and Enterprise

**Motion**

That the Development Plan will again acknowledge and highlight the role Dublin Port plays as a major economic driver of both the city and the country and that the plan will facilitate, and as far as possible, the aim of Dublin Port to continue to extend and prioritise cargo shipping. The plan should continue to support the on-going development of Dublin Port having regard to wider transport and infrastructural considerations for the Dublin and Leinster region.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure Dublin Port continues and enhances its role in the economy of the city.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This is addressed as per the Draft Plan issued on foot of the June Council meeting – see policy CEE25, page 197 and 13.8 SDRA 6 – Docklands page 398.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as this issue is addressed in the Draft Plan.

*Page Left Intentionally Blank*

## **Chapter 7: The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail**

## **Motions Referring to Chapter 7: The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail**

### **Motion 121. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01295**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

#### **Motion**

Chapter: 7, Section:7.5.8, Page: 191 Remove 'where appropriate'. Insert after public realm: The installation of. Insert after visitors: and will be encouraged. (The installation of seating will be encouraged in the public realm in the city where it adds to the peaceful enjoyment of the public realm. The installation of Civic amenities such as public toilets and water fountains can provide important facilities for residents, workers and visitors, and will be encouraged.)

#### **Planning Reason**

Planning reason: To meet the plan's stated objectives of shaping an inclusive, accessible city with equality of access for all, and to meet the needs of the city's diverse communities regardless of age, ability, disability or gender, as set out in policy CCUV38. To support the objective CCUV019 (i)To provide civic amenities such as accessible public toilet facilities and drinking water at suitable locations in new or redeveloped public realm.

#### **Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is recommended for inclusion in the Draft Plan for the reasons given with the motion.

#### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the CE recommendation to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Chapter: 7, Section: 7.5.8, Page: 191.

From:

“Civic Amenities: The installation of seating will be encouraged in the public realm in the city where appropriate and where it adds to the peaceful enjoyment of the public realm. Civic amenities such as public toilets and water fountains can provide important facilities for residents, workers and visitors.”

To:

“Civic Amenities: The installation of seating will be encouraged in the public realm in the city where it adds to the peaceful enjoyment of the public realm. The installation of civic amenities such as public toilets and water fountains can provide important facilities for residents, workers and visitors and will be encouraged.”

**Motion 122. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01297**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

Chapter: 7, Section: CCUV33, Page: 188 after start up enterprise, add: and the circular economy.

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: To support Ireland's transition to a circular economy and Dublin's transition to a low carbon, climate resilient city we must facilitate the circular economy at a local level, specifically recognising the value of second hand or flea markets and supporting the provision of spaces for these to take place.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is recommended for inclusion in the Draft Plan for the reasons outlined with the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend Policy CCUV 33, Chapter: 7, Page: 188

From:

Policy CCUV 33 Support for Markets

"To facilitate indoor and outdoor markets both in the city centre and throughout the city particularly where they support the existing retail offer and local produce/start up enterprise; and to realise their potential as a tourist attraction."

To:

Policy CCUV 33 Support for Markets

"To facilitate indoor and outdoor markets both in the city centre and throughout the city particularly where they support the existing retail offer and local produce/start up enterprise and the circular economy; and to realise their potential as a tourist attraction."

**Motion 123. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01296**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

Chapter: 7, Section: 7.5.7, Page: 189: after music venues and theatres, add: along with not for profit community spaces or third places.

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: to address the deficit of community spaces in the city centre and key urban villages, to address the need for mixed use venues that aren't reliant on food or beverage sales to support cultural activities, and to ensure an evening and night time economy which is accessible, inclusive and diverse.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is recommended for inclusion in the Draft Plan for the reasons given with the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the CE recommendation to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Chapter: 7, Section: 7.5.7, Page: 189

From:

"The evening and night time economy refers to social, cultural and economic activity occurring between specified night time hours. There has been growing recognition of the role the night time sector plays / can play in the economy of international cities. Evening and night-time economy uses comprise a wide range of uses including restaurants, pubs, cinemas, dance and music venues and theatres. Evening and night-time economy uses contribute to the vitality and vibrancy of the city centre and contributes positively to the visitor experience and local economy."

To:

"The evening and night time economy refers to social, cultural and economic activity occurring between specified night time hours. There has been growing recognition of the role the night time sector plays / can play in the economy of international cities. Evening and night-time economy uses comprise a wide range of uses including restaurants, pubs, cinemas, dance and music venues and theatres along with not for profit community spaces or third places. Evening and night-time economy uses contribute to the vitality and vibrancy of the city centre and contributes positively to the visitor experience and local economy."

**Motion 124. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01170**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

Page 177 General Retail Policy CCUV4 amend: and as a link with other cultural, recreational and community activities.

**Planning Reason**

Engendering a retail sector integrated with neighbourhood and community enhances the principle of quality neighbourhood, place making, links with social economy and social enterprise.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is recommended for inclusion in the Draft Plan for the reasons outlined with the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend Policy CCUV4, Page 177,

From:

Policy CCUV4 The Role of Retail

“To promote and support the major contribution of retail and retail services to the vitality and success of the city, as a significant source of employment, a focus of tourism, as an important recreational activity and as a link with other cultural and recreational activities.”

To:

Policy CCUV4 The Role of Retail

“To promote and support the major contribution of retail and retail services to the vitality and success of the city, as a significant source of employment, a focus of tourism, as an important recreational activity and as a link with other cultural, recreational and community activities.”

**Motion 125. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01171**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

Page 189 Food and Beverage Sector, Markets CCUV12 Add: Development of the Iveagh Markets will include community and civic space as well as market/retail.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure the development of the Markets as community amenity, to further policy CCUV4 and CCUV33.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The proposed new text will support the purpose of this iconic market building as a key asset for the community and through the provision of such a space, provide a destination purpose for the redeveloped market. For these reasons, the motion is agreed.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend Objective CCUV12, section 7.5.6, page 189,

From:

Objective CCUV12 Iveagh Market

“To support a regenerated Iveagh Market as a major visitor attraction and as a local amenity for the community.”

To:

Objective CCUV12 Iveagh Market

“To support a regenerated Iveagh Market as a major visitor attraction and as a local amenity for the community and to ensure that regeneration proposals include an appropriate community/civic space.”



**Motion 126. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01172**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

Page 193 Public Realm CCUV42 Amend: small spaces to facilitate lingering, social, community and cultural interaction and events.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure public realm caters for multiple uses; to enhance place making/healthy streets/street safety; to foster use of public realm in neighbourhoods and villages for gathering/entertainment/civic social community events and so promote principles of compact city; to provide community infrastructure and amenity.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is recommended for inclusion in the Draft Plan for the reasons outlined with the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend Policy CCUV42, Page 193,

From:

Policy CCUV42 Public Realm – Key Urban Villages/Urban Villages

“To provide environmental and public realm improvements in Key Urban Villages and urban villages around the city through the implementation of Local Environment Improvement Plans / Village Improvement Plans and Placemaking Strategies in order to support the regeneration and revitalisation of the city’s urban villages. Such plans:

- will identify opportunities for micro spaces (small spaces to facilitate lingering and social and cultural interaction); and
- will be informed by older person led walkability exercises, to make city outdoor spaces more accessible and safe for older people, creating walkable communities and age friendly spaces.”

To:

“To provide environmental and public realm improvements in Key Urban Villages and urban villages around the city through the implementation of Local Environment Improvement Plans / Village Improvement Plans and Placemaking Strategies in order to support the regeneration and revitalisation of the city’s urban villages. Such plans:

- will identify opportunities for micro spaces (small spaces to facilitate lingering and social, community and cultural interaction and events); and

- will be informed by walkability exercises led by older people, parents, visually impaired and people with disabilities, to make city outdoor spaces more accessible to all, creating walkable communities and age friendly spaces.”

This response should be read in conjunction with the amendments proposed under motion 127 (MOT 01290).

**Motion 127. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01290**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

Chapter: 7, Section: 7.5.8, Page: CCUV42 Change 'by older person led' to add in 'parents, visual impaired and people with disabilities' : "will be informed by walkability exercised led by older people, mothers, visual impaired and , to make outdoor spaces more accessible to all, creating walkable communities and age friendly spaces".

**Planning Reason**

Parents and visually impaired may have different needs than older people, by including them we are ensuring our spaces are inclusive.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that the revised wording reflects the broad range of assessment necessary in designing new public realm and the motion is, therefore, recommended.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend Policy CCUV42, Chapter: 7, Section: 7.5.8, Page 193:

From:

Policy CCUV42 New Development

"To provide environmental and public realm improvements in Key Urban Villages and urban villages around the city through the implementation of Local Environment Improvement Plans / Village Improvement Plans and Placemaking Strategies in order to support the regeneration and revitalisation of the city's urban villages. Such plans:

- will identify opportunities for micro spaces (small spaces to facilitate lingering and social and cultural interaction); and
- will be informed by older person led walkability exercises, to make city outdoor spaces more accessible and safe for older people, creating walkable communities and age friendly spaces."

To:

"To provide environmental and public realm improvements in Key Urban Villages and urban villages around the city through the implementation of Local Environment Improvement Plans / Village Improvement Plans and Placemaking Strategies in order to support the regeneration and revitalisation of the city's urban villages. Such plans:

- will identify opportunities for micro spaces (small spaces to facilitate lingering and social, community and cultural interaction and events); and

- will be informed by walkability exercises led by older people, parents, visually impaired and people with disabilities, to make city outdoor spaces more accessible to all, creating walkable communities and age friendly spaces.”

This motion should be read in conjunction with the amendments proposed under motion 126 (MOT-01172).

**Motion 128. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01291**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

Chapter: 7, Section: 7.5.8, Page: CCUVO14 add in 'age proofing' in the phrase "gender proofing" - "gender and age proofing of public realms projects".

**Planning Reason**

To make it more inclusive.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is recommended to alter the Draft Plan as described for the reason outlined in the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan text in Objective CCUVO14 Chapter: 7, Section: 7.5.8, Page 194:

From:

Objective CCUVO14 City Centre Public Realm Strategy

"The new Public Realm Strategy will adopt / provide for:

- gender proofing of public realm projects;
- investment in / the use of assistive technology for vulnerable users of the public realm;
- good practice models in facilitating mobility aids, including scooters in the public realm; and
- good practice model of public seating for older people with mobility issues."

To:

Objective CCUVO14 City Centre Public Realm Strategy

"The new Public Realm Strategy will adopt / provide for:

- gender and age proofing of public realm projects;
- investment in / the use of assistive technology for vulnerable users of the public realm;
- good practice models in facilitating mobility aids, including scooters in the public realm; and
- good practice model of public seating for older people with mobility issues."

**Motion 129. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01289**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

Chapter: 7, Section: 7.5.7, Page: CCUV36 Replace "no negative" with "little" in the phrase "to support uses that would result in the diversification of the evening and night time economy where there is no negative impact on the amenity..."

**Planning Reason**

Providing some space for some minor disruption to areas for the overall benefit of the night time economy.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is recommended for the reasons outlined with the motion; recognising that all change creates some disruption; even at a very minor scale.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended to alter the text in Policy CCUV36 Chapter: 7, Section: 7.5.7, Page: 21

From:

Policy CCUV36 New Development

"To support uses that would result in the diversification of the evening and night time economy where there is no negative impact on the amenity of adjoining or adjacent residential uses through noise disturbance and where there are no negative cumulative impacts in terms of other night-time economy uses in the area."

To:

Policy CCUV36 New Development

"To support uses that would result in the diversification of the evening and night time economy where there is little impact on the amenity of adjoining or adjacent residential uses through noise disturbance and where there are no negative cumulative impacts in terms of other night-time economy uses in the area."

**Motion 130. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01131**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

To add to the objective CCUV12 and to forbid any advertising of gambling.

**Planning Reason**

For public safety and to stop the damage caused by gambling, especially to children.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The control and ban of specific content of advertising is not within the remit of the Development Plan. This is a matter for the Advertising Standards Authority for Ireland (ASAI) whose role is to promote high standards of advertising and sales promotion. Part of the reason given for the motion relates to a social issue which is not a Development Plan matter.

However, it is recognised that the Development Plan can play a role in the control of advertising through the development management process. It is considered that this issue is best addressed in the development standards chapter which sets out specific guidance regarding uses such as casinos, and, therefore, it is recommended that new text is added to the Draft Plan, as an amended motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with amendment.

Amend section 15.14.12, page 571-2 as follows in two locations

(1) page 571, new bullet point

- To minimise the impact and street presence of casinos / members clubs. Therefore, there will be a general presumption against inappropriate advertising for casinos / gambling/ members clubs.

(2) page 572, new bullet point associated with the sentence stating "Matters that shall be taken into account by the planning authority in assessing planning proposals for these uses and extensions to such uses include, but are not limited to the following":

- Proposed signage.

See also motion 272 (MOT-01127).

**Motion 131. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01169**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

Page 173 Investment in Key Urban Villages Add: An opportunity also presents itself to facilitate the incubation of indigenous craft, food production, local farmers market, local services etc. economy that can contribute to the vibrancy and occupancy of the key urban villages' retail core.

**Planning Reason**

To further promote the concept of the 15 minute and the compact city; to enhance climate emergency mitigation by reducing the carbon footprint of goods and services; to foster sustainable development; to address vacancy in the retail core of the key urban villages.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion proposes additional text that is in keeping with the policies of the Draft Plan and is recommended for inclusion for the reason given. A slight amendment is proposed to integrate the motion with the existing text.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to accept this motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend Section 7.3, Page 173, Investment in Key Urban Villages by adding a new sentence stating:

“An opportunity also presents itself to facilitate the incubation of indigenous craft, food production, local farmers markets and other local services that can contribute to the vibrancy and occupancy of the key urban villages' retail core.”



**Motion 132. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01284**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

Chapter: 7, Section: 7.4, Page: 174 under Strategic approach section insert "recognising the importance of placemaking and an attractive public realm to create a destination and support city centre retail, protect and promote larger pedestrian zones".

**Planning Reason**

To support urban retail.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is recommended with an amendment at the additional text provides clarity to the strategic approach.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended that the text is amended at Chapter: 7, Section: 7.4, Page: 174 to include an additional bullet point stating:

"Recognise the importance of placemaking and an attractive public realm and its contribution to supporting city centre retail, enhanced pedestrian amenities and developing the city centre and urban villages as key destinations."

**Motion 133. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01298**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

Chapter: 7, Section: 7.4, Page:174 under 'The Strategic Approach' point 3, after residential uses, add: 'third places' and

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: to address the deficit of community spaces in the city centre, to address the need for mixed use spaces that aren't reliant on food, beverage or other sales to support activities, and to ensure the city centre is attractive, accessible, inclusive and diverse.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is recommended for inclusion in the Draft Plan for the reasons outlined with the motion.

It is considered that an explanation of the meaning of the phrase "third places" should also be included in the Draft Plan in the Glossary, and an amendment to the motion is, therefore, recommended.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend text Chapter: 7, Section: 7.4, Page: 174

From:

- "Provide a vibrant mix of shopping, leisure, office and residential uses and family friendly attractions in the city centre thereby, offering shoppers an experience and a depth of offer that attracts suburban shoppers / workers / tourist / students / residents to shop, socialise and spend time in the city centre."

To:

- "Provide a vibrant mix of shopping, leisure, office and residential uses, third places and family friendly attractions in the city centre thereby, offering shoppers an experience and a depth of offer that attracts suburban shoppers / workers / tourist / students / residents to shop, socialise and spend time in the city centre."

It is also recommended that the Glossary is updated to provide a definition of third places as follows:

**Third Places**

"The third place is the social surroundings separate from the two usual social environments of home ("first place") and the workplace ("second place"). Examples of third places include churches, cafes, clubs, public libraries, bookstores or parks."

**Motion 134. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01299**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

Chapter: 7, Section: 7.3, Page: 173 Under 'Competition from M50 Shopping Centres', after back to the city centre, add: and acknowledge the appeal of shopping in a car-free environment, as evidenced by the designation of Henry Street and Grafton Street as the city's only 'Category One' streets.

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: To support the objective to expand the city's pedestrian network.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is recommended for inclusion with a proposed amendment the motion to recognise other contributing factors and that there is not a direct causal relationship between the designation and the car-free environment.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend Chapter: 7, Section: 7.3, Page: 173

From:

"The regional M50 shopping centres have solidified their position as regional shopping destinations within Dublin's suburbs, displacing comparison retail focussed shopping trips that were once the exclusive remit of the city centre. Combined, these centres offer retail space on a par with the city centre and they continue to have active plans to expand. For the city centre to remain resilient and competitive it must attract these shoppers back to the city centre."

To:

"The regional M50 shopping centres have solidified their position as regional shopping destinations within Dublin's suburbs, displacing comparison retail focussed shopping trips that were once the exclusive remit of the city centre. Combined, these centres offer retail space on a par with the city centre and they continue to have active plans to expand. For the city centre to remain resilient and competitive it must attract these shoppers back to the city centre, recognise and respond to the appeal of shopping in a high quality car-free environment with a concentration of a range of appealing retail uses, as evidenced in Henry Street and Grafton Street."

**Motion 135. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01192**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

It is an objective of Dublin City Council to upgrade O'Connell Street from a Category 2 principle shopping street into a Category 1 principle shopping street alongside Henry Street and Grafton Street.

**Planning Reason**

To support and focus on restoring the status of O'Connell Street. Basically to start off this regeneration.

**Chief Executive's Response**

As part of the review of the Dublin City Development Plan 2016, a detailed review of the city centre retail core was undertaken and the report published in December 2020 - Role and Function of Retail in the City Centre as part of the pre-draft public consultation.

A key recommendation of this report was the need to review the Category 1 and 2 street designations and that Category 1 streets should be focussed on the key retail streets of Henry Street and Grafton Street. The report noted that this approach will allow the surrounding streets such as O'Connell Street, to be promoted for activities consistent with developing the City Centre experience through cultural, food and beverage and leisure offers complimentary to higher order comparison retail. An additional benefit of this approach will be to increase the occupier pool for retail core space, subject to the Category 2 controls, which may address vacancies in the retail core which may arise from the 2020 Pandemic and resulting change in economic conditions. The report also notes that it is unlikely, having regard to current market trends, that there will be a large scale expansion of retail in the city centre in the short term.

The key recommendations of the study are reflected in Appendix 2 of the Draft Plan - the Retail Strategy in section 8.12. This includes revisions to the designated Category 1 and 2 streets as well as guidance regarding their use and function in order to ensure consolidation of retail activities and ensure the ongoing viability of the prime shopping streets.

With regard to O'Connell Street, the importance of this street as a primary thoroughfare in the capital city is recognised and supported. However, it is not envisaged that this street will develop as a prime retail destination. Whilst retail will play an important role in the ongoing renewal and regeneration of O'Connell Street, for example in the Clery's development, it is envisaged that this street will continue to be characterised by a range and mix of land uses, including office and cultural uses which increase footfall, rather than solely retail, to which the Category 1 designation primarily applies. The designation of O'Connell Street as a Category 1 Street may unnecessarily inhibit a more mixed use approach, to the detriment of the overall vitality and viability of the street. Furthermore, it is noted that O'Connell Street is an Area of Special Planning Control. The Special Planning Control Scheme 2016 sets out strict controls on the types of land uses that are acceptable and is the appropriate statutory tool for controlling land use.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as such a designation would restrict the regeneration of O'Connell Street as a vibrant mixed use destination.

**Motion 136. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01194**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

It is the Policy of Dublin City Council to prohibit betting shops, amusement arcades and adult shops from street level premises in our city.

**Planning Reason**

To protect and enhance the quality of retail in our City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

All development proposals must be considered on their merits in accordance with the policies and objectives of the Development Plan. The Draft Plan sets out detailed guidance regarding betting shops and amusement arcades in section 15.14.9 and 15.14.10. There is a specific text regarding the overconcentration of betting offices and amusement arcades. It is also detailed that amusement arcades should only be developed in mixed use areas and are not considered appropriate in residential areas.

There is a specific policy addressing adult shops. Policy CCUV 14 states:

Policy CCUV 14 Adult Shops

“To seek to prohibit adult shops in proximity to residential areas, places of public worship and schools and to seek to prevent an excessive concentration of such uses having regard to the existing presence of such retail outlets in an area.”

It is considered that the policy context in the proposed Draft Plan is sufficiently robust to control these types of development.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the matter is addressed adequately in the Draft Plan.

**Motion 137. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01191**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

It is the Policy of Dublin City Council to seek to prohibit betting shops, amusement arcades and adult shops on Category 1 and Category 2 principle shopping streets in Dublin.

**Planning Reason**

To protect and enhance the quality of retail in our City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

All development proposals must be considered on their merits in accordance with the policies and objectives of the Development Plan. It is considered that this matter is comprehensively addressed in the Draft Plan.

Appendix 2 of the Draft Plan sets out the Retail Strategy. Section 8.12 addresses Category 1 and 2 streets. For Category 1 streets, it is stated:

“Applications for retail service outlets such as call centres, phone shops, bookmakers, take-aways, off-licences (other than those selling wine only), amusement arcades, car rental and financial institutions will not be permitted at ground floor level.”

For Category 2 streets it is stated:

“Applications for other retail service outlets such as internet cafés, call centres, phone shops, bookmakers, take-aways, off-licences (other than those selling wine only), amusement arcades, car rental and financial institutions at ground floor level will be assessed on their merits, and may only be permitted where such development would not result in a predominance of such similar frontages on the street.”

The Draft Plan sets out further detailed guidance regarding betting shops, adult stores and amusement arcades in section 15.14.9 and 15.14.10 of the Plan. Policy CCUV 14 specifically addresses adult shops.

Alongside this, there are in place two Areas of Special Planning Control – for O'Connell Street and Grafton Street areas; which also include detailed objectives on managing the mix of uses in each area.

It is considered that this policy approach is robust in controlling these types of development.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the matter is addressed adequately in the Draft Plan.

**Motion 138. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01195**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

It is the Policy of Dublin City Council to seek to prohibit betting shops and amusement arcades in proximity to residential areas and schools and to seek to prevent an excessive concentration of such uses having regard to the existing presence of such retail outlets in an area.

**Planning Reason**

To give similar status to the treatment of Adult Shops (CCUV14) in this Development Plan.

**Chief Executive's Response**

All development proposals must be considered on their merits in accordance with the policies and objectives of the Development Plan. The Draft Plan sets out detailed guidance regarding betting shops and amusement arcades in section 15.14.9 and 15.14.10. There is a specific objective regarding the overconcentration of betting offices and amusement arcades. It is also detailed that amusement arcades should only be developed in mixed use areas and are not considered appropriate in residential areas. It is considered that the policy context in the proposed Draft Plan is sufficiently robust to control these types of development.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the matter is addressed adequately in the Draft Plan.



**Motion 139. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01213**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

That the Development Plan acknowledges the difficulties faced by people with disabilities negotiating the city and undertakes to identify policies to assist with reducing those difficulties.

**Planning Reason**

To increase supports for people with disabilities.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan contains a suite of policies regarding access and the needs of people with a disability. In particular, refer to Chapter 7, section 7.5.8, Policies CCUV 43, CCUV 44, CCUV 32 and objective CCUVO9. Accessibility is a cross cutting theme throughout the plan - see also Chapter 5, Chapter 8, Chapter 15 and Appendix 5.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the matter is addressed in the Draft Plan as per the strategic directions given at the June Council meeting.

See also motion 140 (MOT-01214).

**Motion 140. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01214**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

That the Development Plan acknowledges the difficulties faced by people with disabilities accessing services and facilities within the city and undertakes to identify policies to assist with reducing those difficulties.

**Planning Reason**

To increase supports for people with disabilities.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The issue of disability access is a cross cutting theme that is addressed comprehensively throughout the Draft Plan. In Chapter 7, policies CCUV 43, CCUV 44, CCUV 09, CCUV 32 and section 7.5.8 are of particular relevance. Other notable chapters are Chapter 5, Chapter 8, Chapter 15 and Appendix 5.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the matter is addressed in the Draft Plan as per the strategic directions given at the June Council meeting.

See also motion 139 (MOT-01213).

**Motion 141. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01292**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

Chapter: 7, Section: 7.5.8, Page: CCUV019 Add in 'each LA' after suitable: "To provide civic amenities such as accessible public toilets facilities and drinking water at suitable locations in new or redeveloped public realm."

**Planning Reason**

To ensure each area gets facilities.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Objective CCUVO 19 states:

CCUVO19 Civic Amenities

- To work with city business associations and agencies to provide for appropriately located, independently accessible sanitary facilities (public toilets, changing areas, showers and wash facilities etc.) for the use of citizens and visitors to the city and accessible to all.
- To provide civic amenities such as accessible public toilet facilities and drinking water at suitable locations in new or redeveloped public realm.
- To provide public seating based on universal design in appropriate locations in the public realm in the city. Seating for older people with mobility issues will be based on international models of good practice.

This policy provision is intended to apply to planning applications for public and private developments and it is, therefore, considered not appropriate to add in 'each LA'.

Operational issues of local public investment of such infrastructure at a citywide level in are best addressed at the appropriate SPC and Area Committee.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the objective relates to the assessment of planning applications rather than an investment programme by the Council.

**Motion 142. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01381**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

That the Development Plan incorporates the recommendations and ambitions of the DCC document “Dublin City Centre – developing the retail core” in its city centre policies.

**Planning Reason**

To promote the city centre economy.

**Chief Executive's Response**

As part of the review of the Development Plan, a detailed study was undertaken on the retail core. This study was published as a background paper titled - Role and Function of Retail in the City Centre in December 2020 as part of the pre-draft consultation phase of the plan process. The report sets out a suite of measures aimed at improving and enhancing the vitality and viability of the city centre retail core. The recommendations of the report are reflected in Appendix 2 - Retail Strategy of the Draft Plan. Of particular note, is section - 8.0 Strategy to Support the City Centre - which sets out measures and policies to support the retail role and function of the city centre.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the matter is addressed in the Draft Plan as per the strategic directions given at the June Council meeting.

**Motion 143. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01382**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

That the Development Plan should not support in any way a reduction in city centre car parking where the car parking affected is that of retail shoppers.

**Planning Reason**

To promote the city centre economy.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Retail Strategy set out in Appendix 2 sets out a suite of measures to promote and develop the City Centre retail core. Whilst it is acknowledged that city centre parking plays a role in supporting the functioning of the city centre retail core, it is an element of the global challenges facing the ongoing vitality and viability of the city centre. The importance of parking in the city centre is acknowledged in Policy SMT24 which states:

SMT 24 Commuter, Shopping, Business and Leisure Parking

“To discourage commuter parking and to ensure adequate but not excessive parking provision for short-term shopping, business and leisure uses.”

The Draft Plan supports parking in the retail core, but that a balanced approach is required. The Draft Plan supports accessible parking through Objective SMTO3 in Chapter 8.

However, with regard to multi storey car parks, it details that these are best placed on the periphery of the retail core, to ensure the primacy of the pedestrian and an enhanced public realm. This is reflected in Policy CCUV19 which states:

CCUV19 Parking and the Retail Core

“To support the re-use and replacement of car parks in the centre of the retail core and to safeguard short term car parking provision for shoppers and visitors at the periphery of the retail core. The redevelopment of central car parks will support public realm improvements and pedestrian priority in the retail core.”

The motion would conflict with this approach and is considered contrary to the proper planning and development of the city and the core objective to enhance the vitality and viability of the city centre.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the matter is addressed in the Draft Plan as per the strategic directions given at the June Council meeting and it would undermine the core objective to enhance the vitality and viability of the city centre.

See also motion 144 (MOT-01193).

**Motion 144. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01193**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Chapter 7 - The City Centre, Urban Villages and Retail

**Motion**

It is the Policy of Dublin City Council to support the remaining car parks in the centre of the retail core. This policy will help reaffirm and maintain another policy of Dublin City Council i.e. the status of the city centre retail core as the premier shopping area in the State, affording a variety of shopping, cultural and leisure attractions.

**Planning Reason**

To support our declining city centre retail sector that is under severe pressure from shopping centres outside the DCC functional area. To maintain the variety of our city centre retail offering.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Retail Strategy set out in Appendix 2 sets out a suite of measures to promote and develop the City Centre retail core. Whilst it is acknowledged that city centre parking plays a role in supporting the functioning of the city centre retail core, it is one element of a number of the global challenges facing the ongoing vitality and viability of the city centre. The importance of parking in the city centre is acknowledged in Policy SMT24 in Chapter 8 which states:

Policy SMT 24 Commuter, Shopping, Business and Leisure Parking

“To discourage commuter parking and to ensure adequate but not excessive parking provision for short-term shopping, business and leisure uses.”

The Draft Plan supports parking in the retail core, but requires a balanced approach. With regard to disabled parking, the plan supports appropriate on street accessible parking bays under Policy SMT03.

For multi storey developments, the Draft Plan details that such car parking, is best placed on the periphery of the core, to ensure the primacy of the pedestrian and an enhanced public realm. This is reflected in Policy CCUV19 which states:

Policy CCUV19 Parking and the Retail Core

“To support the re-use and replacement of car parks in the centre of the retail core and to safeguard short term car parking provision for shoppers and visitors at the periphery of the retail core. The redevelopment of central car parks will support public realm improvements and pedestrian priority in the retail core.”

It is considered that the motion would conflict with this approach and is considered contrary to the proper planning and development of the city and the core objective to enhance the vitality and viability of the city centre.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it would undermine the core objective to enhance the vitality and viability of the city centre.

See also motion 143 (MOT-01382).

*Page Left Intentionally Blank*



## **Chapter 8: Sustainable Movement and Transport**

## **Motions Referring to Chapter 8: Sustainable Movement and Transport**

### **Motion 145. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01353**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

#### **Motion**

Chapter:8, Section:8.5.6, Page:214 Active Travel and Schools, paragraph 1, after “Several measures can be implemented to encourage a modal shift to walking and cycling for school journeys such as” insert “traffic calming around schools”

#### **Planning Reason**

Traffic calming is the most important way to support a modal shift for school children but it is unnamed here.

#### **Chief Executive's Response**

The additional text proposed in the motion gives additional examples which support the purpose of the paragraph and the motion is, therefore, recommended.

#### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is the recommendation of the CE to add new text to Chapter 8 Section 8.5.6- Active Travel and Schools, page 214 as follows:

From:

“Several measures can be implemented to encourage a modal shift to walking and cycling for school journeys such as ensuring school sites are located close to the communities they serve, provision of increased permeability and connectivity links to the surrounding area as well as ensuring adequate and secure bicycle storage within school sites.”

To:

“Several measures can be implemented to encourage a modal shift to walking and cycling for school journeys such as ensuring school sites are located close to the communities they serve, traffic calming around schools, provision of increased permeability and connectivity links to the surrounding area as well as ensuring adequate and secure bicycle storage within school sites.”

**Motion 146. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01342**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section:SMT012, Page:217 to add and upgrade Cross Guns Bridge for pedestrian and cycling use.

**Planning Reason**

This was included in the previous plan but not acted upon and would support sustainable mobility.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Cross Guns Bridge is a Protected Structure and, therefore, there may be constraints with regard its potential for upgrading. However, it is considered that DCC can seek improvements to Cross Guns Bridge for pedestrian and cycle route, taking into consideration the Bus Connects and Metrolink proposals in this area. It is, therefore, considered that it would benefit the Draft Plan to recommend the motion, with amendments.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Include new objective on page 217 after SMT014 as follows:

SMT015 Cross Guns Bridge

“To seek improvements to Cross Guns Bridge for pedestrian and cycle users, taking into consideration the BusConnects and Metrolink projects.”

**Motion 147. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01341**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter: 8, Section: Page:214 after SMT 011 to insert a new objective: To maintain and extend the boardwalk along the River Liffey to as a key leisure walking and seating space in the city.

**Planning Reason**

Boardwalk is key amenity in city and part of Liffey animation.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Chief Executive agrees with the principle of the motion, however, due to environmental constraints, the feasibility of this requires further consideration and cannot be committed to in the Draft Development Plan. It is recommended that a new objective is included in Chapter 8, page 209 after SMTO11.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is the recommendation of the CE to add new text as a new objective after SMTO11, page 209 as follows:

SMTO12 River Liffey Boardwalk

“Subject to a feasibility assessment, to seek to extend the River Liffey Boardwalk as a key leisure walking and seating space in the City.”

**Motion 148. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01215**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

That the Development Plan includes a commitment to engage with representatives of the taxi industry to ensure availability of sufficient ranks.

**Planning Reason**

To insure the availability of sufficient public services vehicles to discourage private car use.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The provision of accessible taxi ranks within the city and key locations in the suburbs is a key part of providing for sustainable mobility. It is, therefore, considered that a new objective to recognise this need is included in the Draft Plan. It is recommended to add in a new objective in Chapter 8, with an amendment.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended that next text is added to the Draft Plan by adding a new objective in Chapter 8, page 208 after SMTO3 as follows:

Objective SMTO4 Taxi Ranks

“To ensure the City is provided with adequate and accessible taxi ranks and facilities and to engage with the National Transport Authority and representatives of the taxi industry regarding provision of same.”

**Motion 149. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01094**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Dermot Lacey

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

To add the following words to SMT 30 "While continuously seeking ways to minimise street clutter and a sharing of poles."

**Planning Reason**

Dublin is being increasingly made unpleasant through the ever-growing amount of street clutter and Official type signage. We need to cease this damaging and costly impact on our City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is the recommendation of the Chief Executive to support the principle of the motion however, it is considered that the motion would be better placed in the Draft Plan as a new objective in Section 7.5.8, Public Realm, of Chapter 7.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is the recommendation of the CE to add new text to the Draft Plan to include a new objective in Chapter 7, page 196 as follows:

CCUVO20 Audit of Redundant Signage

"It is an objective of the City Council to carry out an audit of redundant signage and unused poles in the public realm in order to reduce street clutter and to investigate measures to promote co-sharing and integration with other street furniture elements."

See also motions 33 (MOT-01186), 34 (01187), 01347 (150) and 151 (MOT 01095).

**Motion 150. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01347**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section: Page:207 after SMT9 to include new policy objective: To reduce existing street clutter through the removal of redundant elements and seek multiple To carry out an audit of existing street furniture poles and signage in the public realm, with the aim of removing at least 20% of such redundant elements, in order to reduce street clutter and to seek the multiple uses of poles for road and directional signage including butterfly bike locking.

**Planning Reason**

To support sustainable mobility and universal access and design.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Chief Executive supports the principle of the motion. However, it is considered that a new objective in Section 7.5.8- Public Realm, of Chapter 7, City Centre and Retail, is a more appropriate location to address this issue.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is the recommendation of the CE to add new text to the Draft Plan to include a new objective in Chapter 7, page 196 as follows:

**CCUVO20 Audit of Redundant Signage**

“It is an objective of the City Council to carry out an audit of redundant signage and unused poles in the public realm in order to reduce street clutter and to investigate measures to promote co-sharing and integration with other street furniture elements.”

See also motions 33 (MOT-01186), 34 (MOT-01187), 149 (MOT-01094) and 151 (MOT-01095).

**Motion 151. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01095**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Dermot Lacey

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

To add the following words to SMT027 While continuously seeking ways to minimise street clutter and a sharing of poles.

**Planning Reason**

Dublin is being increasingly made unpleasant through the ever-growing amount of street clutter and Official type signage. We need to cease this damaging and costly impact on our City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The principle of the motion is supported, however, it is considered that a new objective in Section 7.5.8 of Chapter 7, City Centre and Retail, is a more appropriate location and will address this issue.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is the recommendation of the CE to add new text to the Draft Plan to include a new objective in Chapter 7, page 196 as follows:

CCUVO20 Audit of Redundant Signage

“It is an objective of the City Council to carry out an audit of redundant signage and unused poles in the public realm in order to reduce street clutter and to investigate measures to promote co-sharing and integration with other street furniture elements.”

See also motions 33 (MOT-01186), 34 (MOT-01187), 149 (MOT 01094) and 01347 (150).



**Motion 152. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01345**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section:8.1, Page:198 Delete lines 3-7 from “A considerable shift” to “decarbonisation of transport” and replace with “Despite an increase in the proportion of commuters crossing the canal by sustainable means in the past 15 years, congestion and transport related CO2 emissions have continued to rise. Further the proportion of children walking and cycling to school has fallen. A shift towards sustainable transport must be accelerated over the next decade with an emphasis on increased active travel and public transport use and decarbonisation of transport.

**Planning Reason**

This more accurately reflects the problems Dublin faces. We need to be realistic about the scale of the problem we face with transport in the city in order to take the right kind of meaningful action to remedy those issues.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The concerns outlined in the motion are noted. It is considered that they should be recognised as challenges for the City and addressed in Section 8.3 with the inclusion of additional text at that location rather than within the introduction. Therefore, the motion is recommended with amendments to tie it into the existing text in Section 8.3 which does address this matter.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is the recommendation of the CE to amend text in Page 199, Section 8.3, Addressing Climate Change through Sustainable Mobility:

From:

“Ireland is committed to cutting its greenhouse gas emissions by at least 40 % by 2030 and to achieve this, a considerable mode shift to active travel and public transport as well as decarbonised/low carbon mobility is required. One of the significant challenges is the need to enable and foster behavioural change to support continued modal shift to more sustainable transport options.”

To:

“Ireland is committed to cutting its greenhouse gas emissions by at least 51 % by 2030 and to achieve this, a significant mode shift to active travel and public transport as well as decarbonised/low carbon mobility is required. Despite a positive shift in the travel behaviours of commuters, congestion and transport related CO2 emission have continued to rise. One of the significant challenges is the need to enable and foster behavioural change to support continued modal shift to more sustainable transport options.”

See also motion 153 (MOT-01367).

**Motion 153. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01367**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section:8.3, Page:199 Change 40% to 51% regarding emissions reductions targets.

**Planning Reason**

In line with the increased targets in the 2021 Climate Action Bill.

**Chief Executive's Response**

In line with the recent changes in the Climate Action and Low Carbon Development (Amendment) Act, 2021, it is agreed to change the target from 40% to 51%.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is the recommendation of the CE to amend Chapter 8, Section 8.3 as follows:

From:

“Ireland is committed to cutting its greenhouse gas emissions by at least 40 % by 2030 and to achieve this, a significant mode shift to active travel and public transport as well as decarbonised/low carbon mobility is required”.

To:

“Ireland is committed to cutting its greenhouse gas emissions by at least 51 % by 2030 and to achieve this, a significant mode shift to active travel and public transport as well as decarbonised/low carbon mobility is required”.

See also motion 152 (MOT-01345).

**Motion 154. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01346**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section:8.1, Page:198 2nd paragraph, line 5, after the word “placemaking” to include “while tackling congestion and reducing transport related CO2 emissions”.

**Planning Reason**

We need to be explicit about the issues the city faces in terms of congestion and transport related emissions throughout the plan.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion text is recommended for addition to the Draft Plan for the reasons outlined with the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is the recommendation of the CE to add new text to the Draft Plan in Section 8.1 Page 198 to read as follows:

From:

“This policy approach promotes the integration of land use and transportation, improved public transport and active travel infrastructure, an increased shift towards sustainable modes of travel and an increased focus on public realm and healthy placemaking”.

To:

“This policy approach promotes the integration of land use and transportation, improved public transport and active travel infrastructure, an increased shift towards sustainable modes of travel and an increased focus on public realm and healthy placemaking, while tackling congestion and reducing transport related CO2 emissions”.

**Motion 155. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01351**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section:SMT14, Page: 210 change to: 'Last-Mile' Delivery: To reduce by 50% the number of motorised delivery vehicles in the city through supporting and promoting the use of the 'last-mile' delivery through the development of micro hubs and distribution centres.

**Planning Reason**

Setting a target makes this a more focused ambition.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The principle of the motion is agreed however, a percentage decrease cannot be mandated and, therefore, an amendment to the motion is recommended to seek the reduction in the number of motorised delivery vehicles.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is the recommendation of the CE to amend the Draft Plan by amending SMT14 as follows:

From:

"To support and promote the use of the 'last mile' delivery through the development of micro hubs and distribution centres."

To:

"To seek to achieve a significant reduction in the number of motorised delivery vehicles in the City through supporting and promoting the use of the 'last-mile' delivery through the development of micro hubs and distribution centres."

**Motion 156. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01349**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section:SMT11, Page: 209 change to: Pedestrians and Public Realm: To enhance the attractiveness and liveability of the city through the upholding of the DMURS hierarchy of street users and ensuring that priority is given to pedestrian access, safety and comfort, particularly for those with additional vulnerabilities.

**Planning Reason**

To support universal access and design and sustainable mobility by making the hierarchy of street users more explicit.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Policy SMT30 in the Draft Plan gives a clear statement of support and intent to comply with and seek compliance with the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS) for the design of new streets and roads. It is considered that the points raised in the motion can be addressed by way of amendment to SMT30 and also to SMT11; and allowing each policy to be clear on the particular point made.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with amendments.

It is the recommendation of the CE to text amend the Draft Plan in two locations:

(1) To amend Policy SMT11 as follows:

From:

“To enhance the attractiveness and liveability of the city through the continued reallocation of space to pedestrians and public realm.”

To:

“To enhance the attractiveness and liveability of the city through the continued reallocation of space to pedestrians and public realm to provide a safe and comfortable street environment for pedestrians of all ages and abilities.”

and (2) to amend Policy SMT30, page 225 as follows:

From:

“To design new streets and roads within urban areas in accordance with the principles, approaches and standards contained within the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS)”.

To:

“To design new streets and roads within urban areas in accordance with the principles, approaches and standards contained within the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS) and to carry out upgrade works to existing road and street networks in accordance with these standards where feasible.”

**Motion 157. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01357**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section:SMT31, Page:225 To replace “balance the needs of all road users” with “protect the safety of all road users”.

**Planning Reason**

Safety should be the underlying principle for our streets.

**Chief Executive's Response**

There are a number of competing demands on the streets and roads within the city that require balancing to ensure that all uses can be accommodated where feasible. However, an amendment to the wording of the policy to include the protection and safety of all road users is considered more appropriate, and the motion is, therefore, recommended with amendment.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is the recommendation of the CE to amend Policy SMT 31 Street and Road Design, page 225, as follows:

From:

Policy SMT 31 Street and Road Design

“To ensure that streets and roads within the city are designed to balance the needs of all road users and promote place making, sustainable movement and road safety providing a street environment that prioritises active travel and public transport whilst ensuring the needs of commercial servicing is accommodated.”

To:

Policy SMT 31 Street and Road Design

“To ensure that streets and roads within the city are designed to balance the needs and protect the safety of all road users and promote place making, sustainable movement and road safety providing a street environment that prioritises active travel and public transport whilst ensuring the needs of commercial servicing is accommodated.”

**Motion 158. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01356**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

**Refers to:** Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section: SMT30, Page:225 To add at the end: “and to carry out upgrade works to existing road and street network in accordance with it”

**Planning Reason**

DMURS should not just apply to new roads and streets but also to how the Council repairs existing ones.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The upgrading of the existing road and street network in accordance with DMURS is not always feasible due to a number of constraints (e.g. presence of historic kerbs and paving).

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with amendments.

It is the recommendation of the CE to amend SMT30, page 225

From:

SMT30 Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets

“To design new streets and roads within urban areas in accordance with the principles, approaches and standards contained within the Design manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS).”

To:

SMT30 Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets

“To design new streets and roads within urban areas in accordance with the principles, approaches and standards contained within the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS) and to carry out upgrade works to existing road and street networks in accordance with these standards where feasible.”



**Motion 159. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01358**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section Table 8.1, Page: 202 To change targets to: Walking: minimum 14%; Cycling/Micro Mobility: minimum: 19%; Public Transport (bus, rail, LUAS) 57%\*; private vehicles: maximum 10%

**Planning Reason**

Given the ambition to increase the number of households in the city and also cutting congestion and improving air quality, we need to have greater ambition in cutting the real number of private cars and motor vehicles. While we need minimum targets for sustainable modes of transport, we need to set maximum targets for private cars.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It should be borne in mind that the impact of Covid on the mode shares for sustainable movement has been negative; giving greater ground to be covered during the lifetime of the Plan to achieve any target. A walking share target of 14% as suggested is considered to be very ambitious for this reason. It is suggested that a slightly lower figure would take account and seek to respond to the challenges and also reflect the aspiration for a 15 minute city.

The mode share target of 11.5% is included currently in the Draft Plan. It should be noted that the figures in the Draft Plan are from the canal cordon counts, which do not give as full an indicator of modal shift as the Census; and probably undercount walking, which is likely to be a higher percentage inside the canals, and as such would not come through in the yearly figures.

In this regard, the current 11% target for cycling/micro mobility is considered more appropriate, whilst is still ambitious in the scale of change being sought. However, to reflect the growing popularity of mico mobility and the continued planned investment in cycling and in increasing the inner urban population, a slight increase in this target is now recommended.

This would amount to an overall 26% target for active travel (walking, cycling and micro mobility), with the current active travel share being 18%.

A public transport mode share target of 57% and private car reduction to 18% are considered appropriate. Over the lifetime of the new plan 2022-2028, subject to planning permission, there may be considerable construction of public transport and cycling infrastructure. It is considered that the benefits of investment in cycling and public transport infrastructure provision will come to fruition towards the end of the 2022-2028 plan period. Targets for the next Plan will align more to those suggested in the motion.

It is noted that the mode share targets set out pre-Draft Issues Paper and in Section 8.5.1, included a typographical error stating that the 2019 mode share for walking is 12%, when it was 11.4%; which will be corrected in the Draft Plan.

### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with amendments.

It is the recommendation of the CE to amend the text in Table 8-1: Current and Target Mode Share, page 202, to revise the targets for 2028 as follows:

Target Mode Share Changes for 2028

Walking from 11.5% to 13%

Cycling/Micro Mobility from 11% to 13%

Public Transport (bus, rail, LUAS) to remain 57%

Private Vehicles (car, taxi, goods, motorcycles) from 20.5% to 17%

**Motion 160. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01361**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section: Page:221 after SMT018 to include a new objective: To work with other the public bodies to examine opportunities to eliminate surface parking throughout the city to reallocate for greening, such as a partnership between Dublin City Council and the Houses of the Oireachtas to re-establish a park in front of Leinster House and for necessary parking to be leased in the School House Lane car park.

**Planning Reason**

To reduce the overall number of cars and convert parking to other uses which serve the public good and the economic, social and environmental interests of the city and its residents.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The purpose of the motion is supported for the reasons outlined with the motion. For this reason it is recommended for inclusion in the Draft Plan, with an amendment for clarity.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with amendments.

It is the recommendation of the CE to add a new objective after SMTO18, page 221:

SMTO19 Surface Parking in the City

“To work with other public bodies to examine opportunities to repurpose surface parking throughout the city for greening and to support the proposal to re-establish the park at the front of Leinster House”.

**Motion 161. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01362**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section:SMT20, Page:217 Change to: Key Sustainable Transport Projects: To support, and where possible expedite, the delivery of key sustainable transport projects including Metrolink, Bus Connects, DART+, DART interconnector and LUAS expansion programme so as to provide an integrated public transport network with efficient interchange between transport modes, serving the existing and future needs of the city and region.

**Planning Reason**

These are important larger scale infrastructure projects for the city and it is in the interest of the city that they are delivered as quickly as possible.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The provision of public transport is a matter for the national transport agencies. Dublin City Council cannot expedite national projects. The Draft Plan already includes policies supporting investment in public transport; and is required to include the policies of the NTA Strategy for the GDA, which sets out the key public transport projects planned during the lifetime of the Plan. It is considered that the language of motion SMT20 could be changed to "support the expedition of" such projects and a recommendation with an amendment is made to that effect.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is the recommendation of the CE to add new text to Policy SMT20, page 217 as follows:

From:

SMT20 Key Sustainable Transport Projects

"To support the delivery of key sustainable transport projects including Metrolink, Bus Connects, DART+ and LUAS expansion programme so as to provide an integrated public transport network with efficient interchange between transport modes, serving the existing and future needs of the city and region."

To:

SMT20 Key Sustainable Transport Projects

"To support the expeditious delivery of key sustainable transport projects including Metrolink, Bus Connects, DART+ and LUAS expansion programme so as to provide an integrated public transport network with efficient interchange between transport modes, serving the existing and future needs of the city and region."

**Motion 162. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01359**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section: Page: 225 after SMT32 to include a new policy: The Council will examine mechanisms to reduce the presence of oversized and emissions intensive vehicles, particularly in the city centre

**Planning Reason**

To support sustainable transport and reduce the number of private cars in the city.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The delivery of goods and services in the city is an essential service carried out by many large scale trucks and vehicles and supports many jobs and businesses in the city.

However, the concern regarding the reducing in emissions is noted and Dublin City Council is committed to supporting and promoting the development of 'last mile' deliveries (Policy SMT14) which seek to reduce the presence of vehicles in the city. Policy SMTO28 also seeks to tackle the adverse environmental and road safety of traffic in the city through measures such as the ongoing monitoring of traffic noise and emissions and the evaluation of the air quality and traffic noise impacts of transport policy and traffic management measures being implemented by DCC.

The issue of mechanisms to change access for vehicles is an issue of City by-laws and is not a Development Plan matter; and this topic would be best addressed through examination of the wider issue through the Traffic and Transport SPC.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside of the scope of the Development Plan; and the Draft Plan contains policies seeking to reduce traffic noise and emissions.

It is recommended this issue is referred to the Traffic and Transport SPC.

**Motion 163. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01222**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

That the Development Plan should provide a commitment that Dublin City Council will accept responsibility for traffic cameras.

**Planning Reason**

The roll out of traffic cameras has paused due to a reluctance of either An Garda Síochána or Dublin City Council to take responsibility for operation and monitoring. The pause has delayed the opportunity to use traffic cameras for identifying breaches of traffic legislation such as red light running etc.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This is an operational matter and outside of the scope of the Development Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

It is recommended this issue is referred to the Traffic and Transport SPC.

**Motion 164. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01250**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter: 8, Section: 8.5.10, Page: 225 Add SMT 33 (a new policy point): "Unsignalised crossings: To use unsignalised crossings (such as zebra crossings) in low-traffic areas where signalised crossings are unlikely to be deployed, allowing pedestrian priority above car traffic."

**Planning Reason**

Dublin City Council is one of the few councils not to use zebra crossings or unsignalised variants. Signal crossings are often preferable in high-traffic areas as they are more suitable for those with visual impairments, but there are many areas (junctions in residential housing estates, for example) where there is no likely prospect of a signalised crossing, and zebra crossings could be usefully deployed, offering pedestrian priority by default. This would also support other traffic calming and speed restriction objectives in such areas.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The following policies and objectives already included in the Plan support the implementation of best practice principles relating to pedestrian priority:

SMT30 Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets

"To design new streets and roads within urban areas in accordance with the principles, approaches and standards contained within the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS)."

SMT32 Traffic Calming and Self-Regulation Street Environments

"To ensure that all streets and street networks are designed to passively calm traffic through the creation of a self-regulating street environment that are suited to all users, including pedestrians and cyclists."

SMT028 Environmental and Road Safety Impacts of Traffic in the City

"To tackle the adverse environmental and road safety impacts of traffic in the city through measures such as:

- The implementation of traffic calming measures and filtered permeability including the restriction of rat-runs in appropriate areas in accordance with best practice and following advice contained in the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets (DMURS).
- The ongoing monitoring of traffic noise and emissions, and the assessment and evaluation of the air quality and traffic noise impacts of transport policy and traffic management measures being implemented by Dublin City Council.
- To support programmes of action which tackle the issue of road safety in the city.

- To promote traffic calming in existing residential neighbourhoods through innovative street design and layout such as homezones, filtered permeability, low traffic neighbourhoods and quietways where appropriate.”

The content of the motion addressing specifically a design consideration is an operational matter and is outside the scope of the Development Plan. However, as outlined above, there are a number of detailed policies and objectives in the Draft Plan that support pedestrian priority.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside the scope of the Development Plan and because the issue of pedestrian priority is sufficiently addressed in the Draft Plan.



**Motion 165. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01333**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

To remove all references to the Eastern By Pass.

**Planning Reason**

The Eastern By Pass is not a Government objective.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Development Plan includes a policy to protect the routes of the proposed Eastern By-Pass from existing Dublin Tunnel to Poolbeg, also referred to as the Southern Port Access Route (SPAR), and in the longer term to provide a route corridor between Poolbeg and the Southern Cross/ South Eastern Motorway (in accordance with the NTA Strategy for the Greater Dublin Area 2016–2035).

Any amendments in the forthcoming review of the NTA's GDA Transport Strategy relating to the Eastern Bypass will be reflected in the Draft Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the Draft Plan must comply with the NTA Strategy in place at the time of preparing the Draft Plan.

**Motion 166. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01343**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section: Page:214 after SMT011, to include a new objective: Subject to a feasibility assessment, to include a pedestrian/cyclist bridge over the railway line at Whitworth Road connecting the Royal Canal to Whitworth Road at an appropriate site.

**Planning Reason**

This was included in the previous plan but not acted upon and would support sustainable mobility.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Phase 3 of the Royal Canal Greenway (North Strand to Phibsborough) was approved as part of the Part 8 in 2015 and a contractor is due to be appointed on this project. A bridge across the railway line at Whitworth Road was not considered as part of this project, which is identified as a greenway in the NTA's GDA Cycle Network Plan.

The proposition of a new bridge at this location raises a number of concerns in relation to the benefits achieved when taking into consideration the impacts such a proposal would have; considering that no improved strategic connection would be made without also crossing the Canal.

It should be noted that there are a number of improvements proposed in this area in relation to pedestrians and cyclists including the development of a transport interchange with Metrolink and Irish Rail at the Glasnevin station adjacent to Whitworth Road and the development of a core bus corridor along Phibsborough Road through BusConnects that will enhance permeability for pedestrians and cyclists.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is not part of the NTA GDA Cycle Network Plan and it would not provide a key strategic improvement to the network.

**Motion 167. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01350**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section:SMT13, Page:210 Delete SMT13

**Planning Reason**

None - but its wordy and doesn't mean anything and we have been asked to make the document shorter where possible!

**Chief Executive's Response**

Dublin City Council has an important role in ensuring there is adequate co-ordination and collaboration with the national transport providers particularly at major transport interchanges where enhanced public realm can be provided. This policy (SMT13) provides the context in which DCC can ensure there is an appropriate response to the provision of these major public transport projects and set out a context for future public realm interventions where necessary.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the proposed policy provides the context for ensuring adequate provision is made for large movements of people at key transport interchanges.

**Motion 168. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01352**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section:SMT16, Page:212 change to: Active Travel Initiatives: To promote and help develop community-based coordinated initiatives at local level that encourage active travel and modal switch to sustainable transport modes, and to target underrepresented cohorts/groups in such initiatives and specifically to set a target of a 500% increase in the number of children cycling to primary school.

**Planning Reason**

Setting a target makes this a more focused ambition. While 500% may seem an ambitious target it should be very achievable due to the incredibly low baseline level we are starting with.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Whilst the ambition in the motion is recognised, there is no evidence based research to support the inclusion of this target into the referred objective. It is considered that there are a number of strong and robust policies and objectives to support the promotion of active travel for all users and community based initiatives across all areas from incorporation into public realm improvements, road and street designs, public transport interchanges and the promotion of a range of initiatives of active travel for schools throughout Chapter 8.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as encouraging active travel is already addressed in the Draft Plan and the target is not evidence based.

**Motion 169. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01354**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section: Page:215 after SMT19, to insert a new policy: “To seek to identify gaps in proposed Busconnects cycling infrastructure to and to build cycling links between proposed bus corridors, to ensure a continuous walking and cycling network in the city”.

**Planning Reason**

BusConnects will deliver a lot of upgraded cycling infrastructure along the bus corridors but DCC should make it a priority to provide safe cycling lanes to connect these spines so as to provide a network across town.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The NTA's GDA Cycle Network Plan is currently being reviewed and will address the provision of a continuous network across the 4 local authorities. The Cycle Network Plan will take cognisance of the Bus Connects programme in addressing the provision of a continuous and connected network.

The Draft Plan includes policies and objectives to secure the development of a high quality, fully connected and inclusive walking and cycling network across the City, including the upgrade of the existing network and support the integration of walking, cycling and physical activity with place-making including public realm improvements, see SMT15, SMT18, SMT07, SMT06, SMT19, pages 212-4.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the Draft Plan already includes a statement to upgrade cycling routes and connections and to do so in conjunction with the NTA Cycle Network Plan for the Greater Dublin Area.

**Motion 170. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01360**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section: Page: 225 after SMT32 to include a new policy: The Council will examine options for providing public boat transport along the Liffey.

**Planning Reason**

As part of the strategy to animate the Liffey and provide alternative transport solutions.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The issue of a boat service on the Liffey has been previously examined and the combination of the tidal nature of the river plus the very low clearances of most river bridges means that providing a viable, reliable transport service is not feasible. The river has in recent times had a tourist boat service; which is limited to operating at particular tidal environments. A second boat service has been supported by DCC in Docklands which provides a link across the river at Sir. John Rogerson's Quay; and plays a role in supporting the Development Plan and SDZ ambition to animate the water in the Docklands area.

DCC is not a transport operator, and, therefore, will not be providing a boat service; and for the reasons above, the development of a service is not considered viable. For these reasons, the inclusion of the motion not considered appropriate as it would create an expectation of implementation of something that is highly unlikely and unviable. It should be noted that there is nothing in the Draft Plan that would preclude such a service if circumstances changed to the extent that enabled a viable opportunity.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as DCC is not a transport operator and the route is not considered viable.

**Motion 171. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01355**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section: SMT 23, Page:220 to replace with: On-Street Parking for Residents: Reduce on street parking where feasible to facilitate for public use in the public benefit, such as sustainable transport infrastructure, greening sustainable urban drainage, access to new developments, or public realm improvements.

**Planning Reason**

Directing public space uses away from parking towards uses that serve the economic, environmental and sustainable transport interests of the city serves the public good and planning interests of the city.

**Chief Executive's Response**

There is a clear need for DCC to continue to control and manage the future uses of existing on-street car parking as a resource for the City. Such parking, in certain locations presents opportunities to introduce improvements to streets, such as greening, sustainable drainage, cycling lanes and improved footpaths. Alongside this, it is also recognised that there is a finite supply of car parking on the City's streets and there is huge demand and need for use of existing spaces by retail and commercial uses in a range of locations.

Policy SMTO18 seeks to carry out a feasibility study of the residential and non-residential car parking provision across the city and urban villages and review the implementation of parking demand management strategies in areas where deemed appropriate and practicable. This study will provide a strategic overview of City's parking resources and assist in identifying locations where a range of alternatives can be explored.

SMT23 already addresses the intent to reorganise and reduce on-street parking for residents to serve sustainable development targets. The objective also highlights that this needs to be balanced with other necessary needs such as accessible parking and parking for visitors and businesses.

It is considered the revised wording seeks to remove from the objective the importance of striking and appropriate balance; where local context and needs inform the approach taken.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as changes to on-street parking for the public good must look at a wide range of issues; and the current policy wording seeks to reflect this.

**Motion 172. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01392**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

That the Development Plan contains direct policies and objectives which in some way attempt to address the balancing of the provision of private car parking in the city centre for office workers against commercial car parking which encourages economic activity in the city

**Planning Reason**

To promote the economy of the city through planning policies.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Dublin City has been successful in changing travel behaviour over time, particularly with regard to commuting by private car. This success has been driven by robust policies with regard to the integration of land use and transportation, modal shift, car parking restriction at destination, elimination of free car parking on street as well as proactive mobility management and engagement. In the context of climate change, it is crucial that mode shift to sustainable travel continue to be encouraged.

Changes to the car parking standards in the Draft Plan reflect the proximity of employment/offices to public transport links and actively encouraging the use of the other sustainable modes such as walking, cycling, micro mobility and other shared mobility uses. Policy SMT 24 seeks to discourage commuter parking and to ensure adequate but not excessive parking provision for short-term shopping, business and leisure uses, thereby supporting the economic activity in the city.

It is not considered appropriate, based on the successful behavioural change that has been established over the last number of Plans through the implementation of robust policies, to encourage parking at destination for office workers where alternative modes are available. Through the Development Management process, DCC will proactively work with developers of commercial/mixed use developments to establish effective mobility strategies ensuring adequate provision is made for employees/residents towards more sustainable modes of travel. Workplace mobility hubs will be encouraged including fleet cars.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it would undermine the targets of the Draft Plan to support and encourage sustainable movement and manage car based commuting for the overall benefit of the city and to address climate change.



**Motion 173. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01348**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section:SMT03, Page:208 change to: Public On-Street Accessible Parking Bays:  
To provide public on and off street accessible parking bays, including dish kerbs to the standards set out by the wheelchair association of Ireland where appropriate.

**Planning Reason**

To support universal access and design.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The provision of on street accessible parking is addressed by Objective SMT03, page 208 which states:

“To provide public on-street accessible parking bays where appropriate.”

The issue of off street/new development parking bays is addressed in Appendix 5- Transport, Section 4.2; which sets the minimum standards for accessible parking standards in new developments.

DCC adheres to; and requires others to meet the current existing design standards for accessible parking bays. Detailed design is an operational matter and outside the scope of the Development Plan, and as such the motion to include the additional text to the objective is not considered appropriate.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as an objective regarding the provision of bays is already addressed in the Draft Plan and the design of such bays is an operational matter outside of the scope of the Development Plan.

**Motion 174. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01344**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 8 - Sustainable Movement and Transport

**Motion**

Chapter:8, Section: Page: 214 after SMT011, to include a new objective: To increase footpath widths particularly within the city centre through the reallocation of parking and private car space where appropriate.

**Planning Reason**

To support sustainable mobility and universal access and design - there has not been enough focus on quality footpaths previously and this needs to be rectified, particularly for people with disabilities and parents using prams etc.

**Chief Executive's Response**

There are already a number of policies provided in the Draft Plan already that reflects this motion:

**SMT11 Pedestrians and Public Realm**

“To enhance the attractiveness and liveability of the city through the continued reallocation of space to pedestrians and public realm.”

There are also policies included that address the improvement and enhancement of footpaths and public realm across the city, including the implementation of the Public Realm Masterplan for the City Core where public realm enhancements are identified :

**SMT8 Public Realm Enhancements**

“To support public realm enhancements that contribute to place making and liveability and which prioritise pedestrians in accordance with Dublin City Council's Public Realm Strategy ('Your City – Your Space'), the Public Realm Masterplan for the City Core (The Heart of the City), the Grafton Street Quarter Public Realm Plan and forthcoming public realm plans such as those for the Parnell Square Cultural Quarter Development and the City Markets Area.”

**SMT31 Street and Road Design**

“To ensure that streets and roads within the city are designed to balance the needs of all road users and promote place making, sustainable movement and road safety providing a street environment that prioritises active travel and public transport whilst ensuring the needs of commercial servicing is accommodated.”

It is, therefore, considered that the point raised by the motion is already addressed and the motion would result in unnecessary additional text to the Draft Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is already addressed in the Draft Plan.

## **Chapter 9: Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk**

## **Motions Referring to Chapter 9: Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure And Flood Risk**

### **Motion 175. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01261**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Deirdre Heney

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

#### **Motion**

To provide for a citywide network of municipal civic amenity facilities/ multi-material public recycling facilities in accessible locations throughout the city in line with the objectives of the circular economy and 15 minute city. Amendment Line 2 - Insert the words "and reuse" between the words "recycling" and "facilities".

#### **Planning Reason**

To provide for a more positive climate action.

#### **Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that this motion should be included in the Draft Plan, for the reasons outlined with the motion.

#### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend Objective SIO14, section 9.5.5, page 247

From:

SIO14 Local Recycling/Reuse Infrastructure

"To provide for a citywide network of municipal civic amenity facilities/ multi-material public recycling facilities in accessible locations throughout the city in line with the objectives of the circular economy and 15 minute city."

To:

SIO14 Local Recycling/Reuse Infrastructure

"To provide for a citywide network of municipal civic amenity facilities/ multi-material public recycling and reuse facilities in accessible locations throughout the city in line with the objectives of the circular economy and 15 minute city."

**Motion 176. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01260**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Deirdre Heney

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

To require new retail developments in excess of 1,000 sq. m. (net) in size to provide for a local bring centre/ public recycling facilities on-site, where feasible, in line with the principles of the 15-minute city. The facilities should be adequately-sized and located to be easily accessible to the general public and should specifically provide for textile and glass bottle recycling (for further guidance see Section 15.18.3). Amendment Line 2 - Insert the words "reuse and" between the words "public" and "recycling facilities".

**Planning Reason**

To provide for a more positive climate action.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that this motion should be included in the Draft Plan, for the reasons outlined with the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is the recommendation of the CE to amend Policy SI31, Section 9.5.5, page 247

From:

"To require new retail developments in excess of 1,000 sq. m. (net) in size to provide for a local bring centre/ public recycling facilities on-site, where feasible, in line with the principles of the 15-minute city."

To:

"To require new retail developments in excess of 1,000 sq. m. (net) in size to provide for a local bring centre/ public reuse and recycling facilities on-site, where feasible, in line with the principles of the 15-minute city."

**Motion 177. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01313**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

Chapter:9, Section:SI33, Page:256 Change "promote" compliance to "drive" compliance.

**Planning Reason**

Actively pursue the established targets.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that this motion should be included in the Draft Plan, for the reasons outlined with the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend Policy SI33, section 9.5.7, page 250

From:

SI33 Management of Air Quality

"To monitor, pro-actively manage and improve air quality in the city through integrated land use and spatial planning measures to avoid, mitigate and minimise unacceptable levels of air pollution in accordance with national and EU policy Directives on air quality and, where appropriate, promote compliance with established targets."

To:

SI33 Management of Air Quality

"To monitor, pro-actively manage and improve air quality in the city through integrated land use and spatial planning measures to avoid, mitigate and minimise unacceptable levels of air pollution in accordance with national and EU policy Directives on air quality and, where appropriate, drive compliance with established targets."

**Motion 178. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01312**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

Chapter:9, Section:SIO19, Page:254 change from "To consider the feasibility" to: To investigate and pilot the use of community food waste composters.

**Planning Reason**

We need to actively start trialling these.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The sentiment is noted to support new sustainable proposals for waste management. However the Development Plan is a policy document, and it not the appropriate location to specify particular waste management actions. It is considered that the motion would be more appropriate if amended to refer to “promoting” community waste composters and the delivery of such as referred to the Climate Action, Environment and Energy SPC.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree this motion with an amendment, amending Objective SIO19, section 9.5.5, page 248

From:

SIO19 Community Food Waste Composting

“To consider the feasibility of using community food waste composters as a tool for more sustainable and localised community approach to waste recovery and recycling.”

To:

SIO19 Community Food Waste Composting

“To promote the piloting of community food waste composters as a tool for more sustainable and localised community approach to waste recovery and recycling.”

**Motion 179. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01218**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

That the Development Plan acknowledges the motion passed at the Dublin City Council July 2019 meeting committing the city council to taking the domestic waste collection service back in to council ownership.

**Planning Reason**

To assist in the formulation of waste management policy.

**Chief Executive's Response**

As per the CE Response given in CE Report No 134 2021 issued in June 2021, this is not a matter for the Development Plan.

The regulation and enforcement of waste collections are the responsibility of the relevant local authority under section 33, 34 and 35 of the Waste Management Act 1996 (as amended). In 2011, the Waste Management (Amendment) Act 2011, provided a legal mechanism by which the first Regional Waste Management Plans could be made. The Eastern Midlands Regional Waste Management Plan 2021 will build on the integrated approach to waste management established in the previous plans. Priority will be assigned in accordance with the waste management hierarchy with a strong emphasis on waste prevention, reuse and recycling. The future regional policy in the plans will take cognisance of all relevant and pending regulations, provide a framework for the management of priority waste streams and promote sustainable waste practices at local, business and industrial level.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

Refer to Climate Action, Environment and Energy SPC.

See also motion 180 (MOT-01388).



**Motion 180. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01388**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

That under the Development Plan Chapter 4, Shaping the City, a commitment to examine the feasibility of Dublin City Council to once again providing a domestic refuse collection service should be examined.

**Planning Reason**

So that the Development Plan can reflect the need for a clean city.

**Chief Executive's Response**

As per the CE Response given in CE Report No 134 2021 issued in June 2021, this is not a matter for the Development Plan.

The regulation and enforcement of waste collections are the responsibility of the relevant local authority under section 33, 34 and 35 of the Waste Management Act 1996 (as amended). In 2011, the Waste Management (Amendment) Act 2011, provided a legal mechanism by which the first Regional Waste Management Plans could be made. The Eastern Midlands Regional Waste Management Plan 2021 will build on the integrated approach to waste management established in the previous plans. Priority will be assigned in accordance with the waste management hierarchy with a strong emphasis on waste prevention, reuse and recycling. The future regional policy in the plans will take cognisance of all relevant and pending regulations, provide a framework for the management of priority waste streams and promote sustainable waste practices at local, business and industrial level.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

Refer to Climate Action, Environment and Energy SPC.

See also motion 179 (MOT-01218).

**Motion 181. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01180**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

It is the Policy of Dublin City Council to implement the Dublin City Council Litter Management Plan 2020-2022 and any subsequent plans through enforcement of the litter bye-laws, street cleaning and education and awareness campaigns.

**Planning Reason**

To further prioritisation Dublin City Council's Litter Management Plan in order to make Dublin a cleaner city as well as a more sustainable environment.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Litter management is comprehensively addressed by the Draft Plan. In Chapter 9, objectives SIO15 and SIO16 and Section 9.5.5, are of particular relevance with the matter being further addressed in Appendix 7. SIO16 gives a clear statement of support to the Litter Management Plan (LMP). However, it should be noted that enforcement and other actions named are not considered to be development plan matters, but relate to executive functions and staff resources. The implementation of the LMP and the promotion of the plan is a matter for the Climate Action, Environment and Energy SPC.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the Litter Management Plan is already supported in the Draft Plan and the other matters raised are outside of the scope of the Development Plan.

It is recommended that the issue is referred to the Climate Action, Environment and Energy SPC.

**Motion 182. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01181**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

To require the provision of recycling facilities in all new commercial developments.

**Planning Reason**

To support DCC's Litter Management Plan in order to make Dublin a cleaner city as well as a more sustainable environment.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The provision of recycling facilities in new commercial developments has been fully addressed in the Draft Plan. In Chapter 9, policies SI29, SI31, objective SIO14 and Section 9.5.5 are of particular relevance, with the matter being further addressed in Section 15.18.3 of Chapter 15 and in Section 1.2 of Appendix 7, providing detailed guidance on the provision of recycling facilities in future developments.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the matter is sufficiently addressed in the Draft Plan in policies SI29, SI31 and objective SIO14, together with Sections 9.5.5, 15.18.3 and Appendix 7.

**Motion 183. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01182**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

To require the provision of recycling facilities in all new residential developments above 60 units and to encourage this provision in all residential developments with less than 60 units.

**Planning Reason**

To support DCC's Litter Management Plan in order to make Dublin a cleaner city as well as a more sustainable environment.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The provision of recycling facilities in all new residential developments is addressed by the Draft Plan in full compliance with the guidance on recycling facilities set out in the Sustainable Urban Housing: Design Standards for New Apartments Guidelines for Planning Authorities (2018) and the Eastern-Midlands Regional Waste Management Plan 2015-2021. In Chapter 9, policies SI29 and SI30 and Section 9.5.5 are of particular relevance, with the matter being further addressed in Section 1.1 of Appendix 7.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the matter is sufficiently addressed in the Draft Plan, in policies SI29, SI30 and Section 1.1 of Appendix 7.

**Motion 184. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01368**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

Chapter 9, Section:9.5.5, Page: To insert a new objective after SI31: That all waste management systems within the city (both public and in new developments) will support active source separation of mixed dry recyclables, glass, food, compostable materials and general waste' Reason: To ensure we are reaching our national waste reduction targets.

**Planning Reason**

To allow new developments and waste management systems to comply with national waste targets.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that this matter is already sufficiently addressed by the Draft Plan. Policies S129 and SI30 in Chapter 9, and Appendix 7, require commercial and residential developments to provide for adequate and easily accessible storage space for multiple waste streams in order to support national waste reduction targets in line with national and regional waste reduction targets.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the matter is sufficiently addressed in the Draft Plan. Refer to policies S129 and SI30 in Chapter 9, and Appendix 7.

**Motion 185. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01217**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

That the Development Plan commits to trial a public underground domestic waste storage system in a suitable location in the city.

**Planning Reason**

To provide a communal alternative to private waste collection and help tackle the environmentally damaging process of multiple waste collectors servicing the same areas.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This matter is addressed in the Draft Plan. In Chapter 9, objective SIO18 commits to trialling public underground waste storage solutions in line with the review of the Dublin City Council Litter Management Plan in order to determine the feasibility of deploying more innovative communal waste management solutions within the city.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as this matter is already addressed in the Draft Plan, in objective SIO18.

**Motion 186. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01183**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

It is the policy of Dublin City Council to engage a multi- disciplinary team for coastal and fluvial flood defence / flood alleviation projects.

**Planning Reason**

To address the flood risk while at the same time protecting our environment.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The engagement of professional teams on Council projects is an operational matter which falls outside the scope of the Development Plan. Notwithstanding this, balancing the management of flood risk with the protection of the environment is addressed in the Draft Plan through policies SI18, SI19 and SI21 which deal with flood defence and alleviation infrastructure. It is recommended that this issue is referred to the Climate Action, Environment and Energy SPC.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside scope of the Development Plan.

It is recommended that this issue is referred to the Climate Action, Environment and Energy SPC.

**Motion 187. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01385**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

That the Development Plan ensures that all flood protection proposals include, at first instance, communication and consultation with the local community directly affected.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure flood protection plans include local consultation.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The consultation arrangements around flood protection proposals are outside the scope of the Development Plan and would form part of the statutory process associated with such works. Draft Plan Policy SI19 (page 242) deals with Provision and Upgrading of Flood Alleviation Assets and lists proposed flood protection/ alleviation schemes which are intended to be progressed through the planning consent process during the lifetime of the 2022-2028 Dublin City Development Plan. The planning, design and delivery of these schemes is a matter for the Council's Flood Management Division and all such schemes are subject to formal statutory public consultation as part of the consent process.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed. This matter is outside the scope of the Development Plan.



**Motion 188. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01259**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Deirdre Heney

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

To provide and maintain high quality and appropriate street/ outdoor lighting on public roads/ footways/ cycle ways/ public realm throughout the city in accordance with the Council's Vision Statement for Public Lighting in Dublin City and related public lighting projects. In general, the lighting of roads and public amenity areas shall be provided in accordance with the requirements of with the latest Public Lighting Standards IS EN13201 and further updates. Amendment Line 1 - Insert the words "including ground lighting" between the words "lighting" and "on".

**Planning Reason**

To provide for safe active travel of citizens.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Following consultation with the Council's Public Lighting Division, it has been established that ground lighting or ground up-lighters, whilst being aesthetically pleasing feature elements, do not provide functional street lighting and can pose an unacceptable safety hazard to pedestrians. It is the experience of the Council that this form of lighting (due to its relative accessibility compared to standard lighting) is subject to more frequent vandalism than more traditional forms of public street lighting which, in turn, exposes pedestrians to greater risk of electric shock or trip-related injuries. The cost to the Council of installing and maintaining such lighting (i.e. replacing vandalised or water damaged units) is also prohibitive, and provides very poor value for money.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed due to the unacceptable risk to public health and is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Motion 189. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01366**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

Chapter:9, Section: ,Page:253 after SI 142 to add a new policy: The Council will provide lighting at “human- scale” to support safety for pedestrians but also to limit unnecessary light pollution caused by floodlight street lights.

**Planning Reason**

To tackle light pollution while supporting safety for all.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The ergonomic design intent / technical specification of the city's public lighting is an operational matter which falls outside the scope of the Development Plan. It is considered that this matter would be more appropriately addressed through consultation with the Council's Public Lighting Services Division or as part of the Council's Vision Statement for Public Lighting in Dublin City – the implementation of which is supported by Draft Development Plan Policy SI40. Notwithstanding this, the Draft Plan seeks to ensure that public lighting is appropriately and sensitively designed in order to balance the requirement for adequate lighting with safety, amenity and environmental considerations – see Chapter 9, Section 9.5.9 and policies SI40 and SI41.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed. This matter is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Motion 190. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01285**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

Chapter:9, Section:9.5.7, Page:250 to amend SIO22 to include an objective of collecting and publishing real time data.

**Planning Reason**

To protect air quality and environment.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that this matter is already sufficiently addressed by the Draft Plan, and the specifics of how this is implemented is an operational matter. Objective SIO22 deals with the collection of air quality data while objective SIO23 commits to making real time air quality data available to the public through the Council's [www.dublincityairandnoise.ie](http://www.dublincityairandnoise.ie) website.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as this is sufficiently addressed in Draft Plan under SIO23.

**Motion 191. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01286**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

Chapter:9, Section 9.5.7, Page:250 to amend SIO23 to include an objective of collecting and publishing real time data.

**Planning Reason**

To protect air quality and environment.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that this matter is already sufficiently addressed by the Draft Plan and the detail of which is an operational matter. Objective SIO22 deals with the collection of air quality data while objective SIO23 commits to making real time air quality data available to the public through the Council's [www.dublincityairandnoise.ie](http://www.dublincityairandnoise.ie) website.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as this matter is sufficiently addressed in Draft Plan. Refer to objective SIO23.

**Motion 192. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01311**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

Chapter:9, Section:SI4, Page:239 change from "new" to all private development sewers which are intended to connect to the public drainage system to comply with the requirements of the Greater Dublin Regional Code of Practice for Drainage Works and/ or Irish Water foul sewer specification (where applicable).

**Planning Reason**

Because if existing private developments want to join the public sewer system they need to follow the same requirements not just new ones.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Policy SI 4 refers to the requirement for new private development sewers, which are intended to connect to the public drainage system, to comply with the requirements of the Greater Dublin Regional Code of Practice for Drainage Works and/or Irish Water foul sewer specification (where applicable). The motion was referred to the Drainage Department who have confirmed that virtually all developments connect to the public sewer and those that are taken in charge are public. Those that are not taken in charge, are private but still connect to the public sewer.

The intent of this policy is to ensure that all new developments, are to be constructed in accordance with GDR CoP. This ensures better built quality, less risk of problems downstream in the network and that any private networks that are asked to take in charge in the future will have been built to the appropriate standards.

However, it is not considered appropriate to change the wording to apply to "all developments". It is considered that it would not be reasonable to ask private developments that are already connected to the public sewer system to retrospectively upgrade to be in accordance with the GDR CoP.

It should be noted that where DCC are asked to take in charge a private development, a request can be made for works to be undertaken at that stage if necessary, though this may not be practical if the developer is no longer involved. This is however, an operational matter, outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it would introduce an element of confusion in the purpose of the motion, as the objective cannot be applied retrospectively.

**Motion 193. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01363**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

Chapter:9, Section:SIO23, Page:250 Change to City Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Network: To maintain and manage a Dublin City ambient air quality monitoring network in conjunction with the EPA and to make available to the public the resulting air quality measurements through the [www.dublincityairandnoise.ie](http://www.dublincityairandnoise.ie) website, taking account of both street level, child height and indoor experiences.

**Planning Reason**

Air quality monitoring should reflect the way that people breathe in the air in the city - i.e. at head height - including children's head height who can be particularly vulnerable to air pollution as they are closer to the level of car exhausts.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Dublin City Council continues to maintain and manage the City Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Network and is committed to expanding this network as appropriate. By the end of 2021, the Council will have added 3 additional local area-monitoring stations to the overall Dublin network. All monitoring stations are required to be established with due regard to the legal microscale siting criteria set down in Schedule 3 (C) of S.I. No. 180/2011 - Air Quality Standards Regulations 2011. These regulations specify the legal requirements governing the siting / positioning of air quality monitoring sampling points including obstructions to air flow (i.e. buildings, balconies, trees), the location of the human breathing zone (at 1.5m), security, access, public safety and the location of likely pollutants and other emissions. Further specifications regarding air quality measurements for street level, child height and indoor experiences are inappropriate as there is a separate legislative process governing the requirements. It is considered that the possibility of expanding sampling points beyond those required by the Regulations is best explored at SPC level, as this level of detail is not appropriate for the Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the detail of sampling is an operational issue.

It is recommended that this issue is referred to the Climate Action, Environment and Energy SPC.

**Motion 194. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01369**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

Chapter:9, Section Page:252 After SIO25, to include new objective: to examine mechanisms to prevent the use of overly loud motor vehicles in residential areas.

**Planning Reason**

A fining system has been introduced in Paris which would support the city goals of tackling noise pollution.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Dublin City Council adopted the 'Dublin Agglomeration Environmental Noise Action Plan 2018 – 2023' in December 2018. The key objective of the Noise Action Plan is to avoid, prevent and reduce, where necessary, on a prioritised basis, the harmful effects including annoyance, due to long-term exposure to environmental noise pollution. The Noise Action Plan is supported by strategic noise maps which are used to monitor environmental noise problems – such as noise arising from traffic - and address local noise issues. The Noise Action Plan also contains a number of traffic noise reduction and prevention measures (page 18), including objectives to reduce traffic density and traffic speeds, to be implemented through the Council's rolling Noise Action Plans.

The current Noise Action Plan has also informed Development Plan policies and objectives designed to reduce the negative and harmful effects due to exposure to environmental noise pollution. Policy SI34 of the Draft Plan seeks to preserve and maintain ambient noise quality in the city whilst policy SI35 supports the proactive management of acoustic quality through measures to avoid, mitigate, minimise noise – see also Sections 8.5.10 and 9.5.8, policies SI37, SI38 and objectives SMTO28 and SIO25 (pages 226, 252).

It is considered that the continued management of noise emanating from motorised vehicles in the city is more appropriately addressed through consultation with the Council's Environmental Health Department as part of the review of the 2018-2023 Dublin Agglomeration Environmental Noise Action Plan and preparation of the 2023-2028 Dublin Agglomeration Environmental Noise Action Plan and related noise-mapping – the implementation of which is supported by Development Plan objective SIO24.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is not considered a planning issue and is more appropriately addressed through Environmental Health legislation in tandem with an updated Noise Action Plan.

It is recommended that this issue is referred to the Climate Action, Environment and Energy SPC.

**Motion 195. Dynamics Motion-MOT-01365**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

Chapter:9, Section: Page:252 after SI 136 to include a new policy: To treat all roads, particularly where higher speed, multilane residential roads in the city with surfacing to reduce noise.

**Planning Reason**

This has been done along the quays and can be an effective way of cutting the noise associated with motor vehicles, particularly along very busy but residential streets.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The technical specification of the city's road surfaces is an operational matter, which falls outside the scope of the Development Plan. Notwithstanding this, it is noted that round 4 noise mapping under the Environmental Noise Directive is due to commence in the coming months and one of the criteria due to be considered in that noise mapping is the type of road surface on every road in Dublin City, the overall contribution of these road surfaces to noise levels and a cost benefit analysis of proposed interventions. On this basis, it would be premature to include a new policy on noise mitigating road surfacing until the forthcoming noise mapping process is completed. In the interim, policy SI35 of the Draft Plan supports the proactive management of acoustic quality through measures to avoid, mitigate, minimise noise whilst objective SIO25 supports the monitoring and enforcement by the Council's Environmental Health Department – see also Section 9.5.8, policies SI34, SI38 and objective SIO24 (page 252).

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside the scope of the Development Plan.



**Motion 196. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01364**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

Chapter:9, Section: Page:252 after SI 136 to include a new policy: Council to work with public and private sector to reduce noise coming from public and commercial buildings such as hospitals and prisons

**Planning Reason**

To help tackle noise pollution from all sources.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Dublin City Council adopted the 'Dublin Agglomeration Environmental Noise Action Plan 2018 – 2023' in December 2018. The key objective of the Noise Action Plan is to avoid, prevent and reduce, where necessary, on a prioritised basis the harmful effects including annoyance, due to long-term exposure to environmental noise pollution. Mitigation measures regarding noise pollution may be applied as part of a planning application, where feasible and necessary, as part of the Development Management process.

All developments, including public and commercial buildings, are required to comply with the Draft Development Plan's environmental noise management policies SI34, SI35 and objective SIO25 – see also Sections 9.5.8 (page 250) and 15.18.9 (page 594). The ongoing management of the city's acoustic environment – including monitoring the acoustic characteristics of particular areas and land uses – is an operational matter which is undertaken by the Environmental Health Section of the City Council.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Motion 197. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01370**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

That the Development Plan includes a provision to discourage or, if possible, refuse any application for non-permeable driveways.

**Planning Reason**

To help reduce/alleviate rainwater flow onto footpaths and roadways.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This matter is addressed in the Draft Plan. Please refer to policy SI24 Control of Paving of Private Driveways / Vehicular Entrances / Grassed Areas in Section 9.5.4 of Chapter 9 (page 244).

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as this matter is already addressed in the Draft Plan - refer to policy SI24 (page 244).

**Motion 198. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01096**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Dermot Lacey

Refers to: Chapter 9 - Sustainable Environmental Infrastructure and Flood Risk

**Motion**

To add the following words to S139 “and to develop similar appropriate plans for areas adjacent to Dublin Port.”

**Planning Reason**

Residents living in the vicinity of the Port - and there will be many more in the lifetime of this Plan - have had to endure ongoing noise pollution particularly at night from Port related operations.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Aircraft noise arising from Dublin Airport is subject to a detailed legal and policy framework which is enacted through layers of international, European, national and local regulations, plans and policies set out by the International Civil Aviation Authority (ICAO); the European Union (EU); The Oireachtas and National Government; Local Government; and, Dublin Airport itself. Noise Pollution, including that which arises from critical infrastructure, is dealt with in Sections 9.5.8 and 15.18.9 of the Draft Plan (pages 250, 594) with policy SI39 dealing specifically with the Dublin Airport Noise Zones. Whilst there is no such detailed legal and policy framework governing the acoustic operation of Dublin Port, it is required to comply with the Development Plan's environmental noise management policies SI34, SI35 and objective SIO25. It is further considered that the management of noise arising from Dublin Port operations may be more appropriately addressed through consultation with the Council's Noise Control Unit or as part of the public consultation process in respect of the preparation of the forthcoming Dublin Agglomeration Environmental Noise Action Plan 2023-2028 and related noise-mapping – the implementation of which is supported by Development Plan objective SIO24.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside the scope of the Development Plan and the matter is addressed in policies SI34, SI35 and objective SIO25.

*Page Left Intentionally Blank*

## **Chapter 10: Green Infrastructure and Recreation**

## **Motions Referring to Chapter 10: Green Infrastructure and Recreation**

### **Motion 199. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01308**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

#### **Motion**

Chapter:10, Section:G14, Page:270: after parks and open spaces, change should to will. (access to facilities and to public parks and open spaces will be provided equally to all citizens).

#### **Planning Reason**

Planning reason: To avoid conflicting messaging around accessibility and the plan's stated objective to shape an inclusive, accessible city, with equality of access for all.

#### **Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recommends the motion is agreed for the planning reason provided.

#### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend text, Policy G14, Page: 270

From:

Policy G14 Accessibility

“To ensure universal design for access for all to the green infrastructure network. Priority of access is to be given to pedestrians over all other users. In line with the Parks Strategy, access to facilities and to public parks and open spaces should be provided equally to all citizens and inequalities of access shall be identified and addressed.”

To:

Policy G14 Accessibility

“To ensure universal design for access for all to the green infrastructure network. Priority of access is to be given to pedestrians over all other users. In line with the Parks Strategy, access to facilities and to public parks and open spaces will be provided equally to all citizens and inequalities of access shall be identified and addressed.”

**Motion 200. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01309**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Chapter:10, Section:GI3, Page:270 After community needs, add: support biodiversity.

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: To explicitly support in key policies the plan's stated objective of restoring, supporting and enhancing the biodiversity of the city.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion for the planning reasons stated.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend text, Policy GI3, Page: 270

From:

Policy GI3 Multi-functionality

"To ensure delivery of multifunctional green and civic spaces that meet community needs, promote active and passive recreation, flood and surface water management and local habitat improvements. The multi-functionality of spaces will be balanced against the need to protect and enhance local habitat and the recreational and functional requirements of parks."

To:

Policy GI3 Multi-functionality

"To ensure delivery of multifunctional green and civic spaces that meet community needs, support biodiversity, promote active and passive recreation, flood and surface water management and local habitat improvements. The multi-functionality of spaces will be balanced against the need to protect and enhance local habitat and the recreational and functional requirements of parks."

**Motion 201. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01303**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Chapter:10, Section:GI32, Page:288: after are avoided, add: and ecological enhancements, where appropriate, are employed to ensure a net biodiversity gain.

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: To support, restore and enhance biodiversity.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recommends the motion is agreed for the planning reason provided.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend text, Policy GI32, Page: 288

From:

Policy GI32 Linear Parks and Recreational Use of Waterways Aspects

“To develop linear parks sustainable riverine access, walkways, cycleways and water focused recreational, sporting and tourism amenities which enhance appreciation of rivers in a manner that ensures that any adverse environmental effects are avoided. Where lands along the waterways are in private ownership, it shall be policy in any development proposal to secure public access along the waterway.”

To:

Policy GI32 Linear Parks and Recreational Use of Waterways Aspects

“To develop linear parks sustainable riverine access, walkways, cycleways and water focused recreational, sporting and tourism amenities which enhance appreciation of rivers in a manner that ensures that any adverse environmental effects are avoided and ecological enhancements, where appropriate, are employed to ensure a net biodiversity gain. Where lands along the waterways are in private ownership, it shall be policy in any development proposal to secure public access along the waterway”.



**Motion 202. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01310**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Chapter:10, Section:GI14, Page: 275: After 'An Ecological Impact Assessment ' replace 'may be' with 'will be'

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: To ensure adequate protection for habitats and species of interest during development on or adjacent an ecological corridor.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recommends the motion is agreed for the planning reason provided.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend text, Policy GI14, Page: 275

From:

“An Ecological Impact Assessment may be required for any proposed development likely to have a significant impact on habitats and species of interest on or adjacent an ecological corridor”.

To:

“An Ecological Impact Assessment will be required for any proposed development likely to have a significant impact on habitats and species of interest on or adjacent an ecological corridor.”

**Motion 203. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01124**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Joe Costello

Co-sponsors: Cllr Dermot Lacey, Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Amend Policy G1045 (p. 297) Add: "increased female participation in sport" after "growth and before "and"

**Planning Reason**

The main reason for increased demand for playing fields is the increase in female participation in sporting activities which has multiplied in recent years. A large GAA club like Na Fianna has now as many women as men and Bohemians Football Club which had no women players a few years ago has now a full range of women's teams today.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recommends the motion is agreed for the planning reason provided.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend objective GIO45, section 10.5.8, page 297

From:

Objective GIO45 Playing Fields Study

"To carry out a playing fields study to better measure the use and management (quality) of playing pitches and to examine the level of pitch provision required as a result of planned population growth and the increase in demand for sports playing pitches".

To:

Objective GIO45 Playing Fields Study

"To carry out a playing fields study to better measure the use and management (quality) of playing pitches and to examine the level of pitch provision required as a result of planned population growth, increased female participation in sport and the increase in demand for sports playing pitches".

**Motion 204. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01302**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Chapter: 10, Section:GI36, Page:290 Remove: remedied or mitigated.

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: To support policy GI32 which seeks to ensure that any adverse environmental effects are avoided; to acknowledge that estuarine and coastal environments are sensitive and valuable ecosystems which must be protected. Adverse environmental effects cannot be remedied or mitigated.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Potential adverse environmental impacts can be avoided through good design and appropriate recognised mitigation measures such as greening solutions, SUDS etc. Such measures can often be addressed by way of condition through the development management process. Furthermore, such planning conditions may be necessary to address AA or SEA.

It is noted however, that the phrase “remedied” is incorrect, and should state “remediated” and a recommendation is made to correct this error.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended that Policy GI36 is amended

From:

“To develop sustainable estuarine and coastal recreational and tourism amenities which enhance appreciation of coastal natural assets in a manner that ensures that any adverse environmental effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated”.

To:

“To develop sustainable estuarine and coastal recreational and tourism amenities which enhance appreciation of coastal natural assets in a manner that ensures that any adverse environmental effects are avoided, remediated or mitigated”.

**Motion 205. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01212**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

That the Development Plan recognises the need for increased participation of women in sporting activities and undertakes to identify policies to assist with this aim and to include measurable targets for such increased participation.

**Planning Reason**

To encourage healthy activity and promote female participation in sport.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Dublin City Sports & Wellbeing Partnership and the Dublin Active Cities Project are engaged with increasing the number of people participating in sport and physical activity, including women. It is proposed to amend objective GIO44 to provide greater clarity on this. However, it is considered that the inclusion of measurable targets is a matter for the Partnership and is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with amendment.

It is proposed to amend objective GIO44, page 297

From:

Objective GIO44 Active Cities Project

“To support the work of the Dublin City Sports & Wellbeing Partnership and the Dublin Active Cities Project in creating, maintaining and increasing the numbers of people, of all ages and ability, participating in sport and physical activity in the city”.

To:

Objective GIO44 Active Cities Project

“To support the work of the Dublin City Sports & Wellbeing Partnership and the Dublin Active Cities Project in creating, maintaining and increasing the numbers of people, of all ages, gender and ability, participating in sport and physical activity in the city”.

**Motion 206. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01122**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Joe Costello

Co-sponsors: Cllr Dermot Lacey, Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Amend objective G1030 p. 284 Delete “to investigate the potential of opening” so as to read “It is an objective of Dublin City Council to open Fitzwilliam Square and the Four Masters Park at Berkeley Road/Eccles Street to the public as soon as possible.”

**Planning Reason**

“To investigate the potential” is a meaningless phrase. Both parks are ready to open to the public. The local residents’ association BLEND has been calling for the opening of the Four Masters Park for years.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE notes the intent of the motion and suggests an amendment to the wording; recognising that DCC is in active discussions with the owners of both parks and is seeking a positive outcome.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend objective GIO30, section 10.5.4, page 284

From:

Objective GIO30 Fitzwilliam Square and Four Masters Park

“To investigate the potential of opening Fitzwilliam Square and Four Masters Park at Berkeley Road/Eccles Street to the public.”

To:

Objective GIO30 Fitzwilliam Square and Four Masters Park

“To seek the opening of Fitzwilliam Square and Four Masters Park at Berkeley Road/Eccles Street to the public.”

**Motion 207. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01300**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Chapter:10, Section:GIO53, Page:298 Replace with: "To support the development of a public lido and other facilities to provide water sports and leisure activities in the City Centre".

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: To support the objective to provide accessible, inclusive amenity spaces that can be enjoyed by all citizens.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE notes the motion and is of the view that remains appropriate to retain the reference to the white water rafting centre in conjunction with other water sports and leisure facilities including a public lido.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree this motion with an amendment.

Amend text, Objective GIO53, Page: 298

From:

Objective GIO53 White Water Rafting Centre

"To support the development of the White Water Rafting Centre (WWRC), a flagship project to provide water sports and leisure activities in the City Centre".

To:

Objective GIO53 Water Sports and Leisure Facilities

"To support the development of flagship projects to provide water sports and leisure activities in the City Centre including:

- A White Water Rafting Centre (WWRC)
- A public lido"

**Motion 208. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01174**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Page 276 Biodiversity GIO10 add: and to facilitate the pollination of vacant, derelict and temporary sites.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure the promotion of vacant/derelict sites is an objective of the Development Plan; to promote habitat, pollination, wildlife; to facilitate temporary pollination.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE agrees with the intent of the motion and suggests some minor amendments to enhance the wording of the objective.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend Objective GIO10, page 276 from:

Objective GIO10 All Ireland Pollinator Plan 2021 – 2025

“To have regard to the all-Ireland Pollinator Plan 2021 – 2025 in the management of the Council’s open spaces, parks and roadside verges through measures to protect and increase the populations of native wild bees and other pollinators”.

To:

Objective GIO10 All Ireland Pollinator Plan 2021 – 2025

“To have regard to the all-Ireland Pollinator Plan 2021 – 2025 in the management of the Council’s open spaces, parks, roadside verges and to encourage the pollination of vacant, derelict and temporary sites through measures to protect and increase the populations of native wild bees and other pollinators”.

**Motion 209. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01304**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Chapter:10, Section:GIO25, Page:283 after accessibly designed, remove: where appropriate.

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: To avoid conflicting messaging around accessibility and the plan's stated objective to shape an inclusive, accessible city, with equality of access for all.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion to support the provision of accessible facilities.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend text, Objective GIO25, Page: 283

From:

Objective GIO25 Facilities and Amenities in Parks/Public Open Spaces

“To continue to provide and improve visitor facilities in parks and public open spaces, including cafés, kiosks, toilets, shower and changing-room facilities, water fountains and dog parks etc. and to ensure that such features are accessibly designed where appropriate.

To:

Objective GIO25 Facilities and Amenities in Parks/Public Open Spaces

“To continue to provide and improve visitor facilities in parks and public open spaces, including cafés, kiosks, toilets, shower and changing-room facilities, water fountains and dog parks etc. and to ensure that such features are accessibly designed.



**Motion 210. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01204**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Seamus McGrattan

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Section 10.5.8 GI49 to include the words “to protect and enhance/upgrade existing facilities “

**Planning Reason**

To ensure our facilities are kept up to modern standards.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion for the planning reason stated with suggested minor amendment to the wording.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend Policy GI46, page 296

From:

Policy GI46 To Improve / Provide Access to Sports / Recreational Facilities

“To improve on existing sports/recreational facilities in the city and to ensure the availability of and equal access to a range of recreational facilities to the general population of all ages and groups (including women/girls and minority sports) at locations throughout the city, including housing complexes.”

To:

Policy GI46 To Improve and Upgrade/ Provide Access to Sports / Recreational Facilities

“To improve and upgrade existing sports/recreational facilities in the city and to ensure the availability of and equal access to a range of recreational facilities to the general population of all ages and groups (including women/girls and minority sports) at locations throughout the city, including housing complexes.”

**Motion 211. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01123**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Joe Costello

Co-sponsors: Cllr Dermot Lacey, Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Add the following objective to Policy G1042 (P. 293) - It is an objective of DCC to increase the tree canopy of the North and South Inner City by a minimum of 5% in each year of the Development Plan (2022-2028)

**Planning Reason**

The tree canopy in the City Centre is very sparse. There is scarcely a tree in East Wall, North Wall and the Docklands. Since 2019 the NEIC has succeeded in increasing the tree canopy in its small area of the Inner City by 20%. We should be more ambitious than a 10% increase over the lifespan of the plan.

**Chief Executive's Response**

G1042 gives support to the preparation of an urban tree canopy plan; with the aim to provide for an increase of 10% in all areas of the city. Increasing the volume of public trees can be a complex issue in certain locations and will require a site specific response in each location due to utilities, space and other constraints. Setting a yearly target for different areas of the inner city to be delivered is impractical and is not considered to be a Development Plan matter but an operational one; and it also fails to recognise the greening strategy approach applied to areas in need of investment and the depth of research and coordination required to inform such plans and their implementation.

The 10% figure is a very large and ambitious figure when considered in the context of the total existing volume of trees across the City. This wider strategic target does not intend that every individual area of the city are given a 10% increase (which would statistically disproportionately impact areas with smaller volumes of existing trees); but that the forthcoming Dublin City Tree Strategy will inform at a detailed scale where there are shortcomings and target investment into those locations with each Electoral Area- resulting in much greater levels of increase at those locations of greatest need.

This detail of this matter is more appropriately considered in the context of Dublin City Council's forthcoming Dublin City Tree Strategy that will set a vision for the long-term planting, protection and maintenance of trees, hedgerows and woodlands within Dublin City and can consider including policies on area specific intervention where existing provision is sparse.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the project management of yearly planting plans is considered to be an operational matter for the Parks Department.

**Motion 212. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01301**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Chapter:10, Section:GI41, Page:292 Under Protect Existing Trees as Part of New Development, after existing, add: and mature; replace 'particularly those that are of visual, biodiversity or amenity quality and significance' with 'and to clarify and streamline the Tree Preservation Order application process'.

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: To protect the stated benefits which trees bring to the city and to communities, which include visual amenity and biodiversity value. To make it easier for citizens to secure a Tree Protection to protect trees of particular and quality and significance. To support the plan's objective to achieve a diverse, healthy tree canopy over 10% of the city.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The legislation that provides for Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs) is set out under Section 205 of the Planning and Development Act. This is a completely separate statutory process to that governing the preparation of a Development Plan and is, therefore, beyond the remit of the process to enable any streamlining or alteration.

The existing wording of policy GI41 provides a greater emphasis that all trees should be should be protected. On balance and for the sake of clarity, it is proposed to retain policy GI41 as is and not provide a distinction by age of tree, but rather the contribution the tree makes to the environment.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as changing the TPO process is not a Development Plan matter.

**Motion 213. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01173**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Page 271 Green Infrastructure GIO2 add: that will include a newly developed set of green micro zonings.

**Planning Reason**

To facilitate the engagement of community in the identification and implementation of greening possibilities at a local level, e.g.: tree corridors, green bench, pocket park, garden corridors, community garden/allotment, parklet, tree pit, pollinator pocket.

To enable planning, mapping, target setting, evaluation of progress of greening in community and urban neighbourhoods/villages. To enable the revision/enhancement of already developed greening strategies (e.g. Liberties Greening Strategy) and to underpin the development of new greening plans.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that this matter is already sufficiently addressed under GIO3 p.271 which seeks to expand the preparation and implementation of urban greening strategies, with particular focus on key streets in the city area between the Royal and Grand Canals. To support the implementation of the: 'Liberties Greening Strategy' (2015), the 'North East Inner City Greening Strategy' (2018) and the 'Stoneybatter Green Strategy' (2021) and to implement the greening strategies in the Council's Public Realm Strategies programme. Such greening strategies address the reasons given with the motion in that they work with local communities in identifying local opportunities for greening and set out implementation plans to make such transformations.

Micro zoning at Development Plan scale is not considered appropriate as all areas of land in the city are already zoned with specific purposes (excluding roads/transport routes); including Z9 (open space) which is applied to appropriate lands that have an amenity or biodiversity purpose. Objective GIO2 p.271 provides that a Green Infrastructure Strategy will be prepared for Dublin City at a more strategic level. The GI strategy will address greening measures at a city level and set out an appropriate hierarchy of green spaces.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the Draft Development Plan already includes a suite of zoning policies, including open space which are applied to all parcels of land within the city.

**Motion 214. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01175**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Page 284 Parks and Open Spaces GIO28 Amend: increase the provision of allotments by 200%.

**Planning Reason**

To establish a network of allotments that will become central to further sustainable development of the city, particularly in areas targeted for intense development; to cater for projected population growth; in the interest of furthering policies of climate action, green infrastructure, local retail strategy, local employment.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Development Plan seeks to take a balanced approach to the provision and management of open space and to the different possible uses and types of open space including amenity, biodiversity, conservation and recreation. As such areas are a limited resource in the city, arbitrary increases of a particular use over that of another use that may be more appropriate in a given circumstance is not a robust or evidenced based management of the city's land resources and would not be supported. Furthermore, it is noted that it is the objective of the Parks Department to encourage and support the further development of community gardens and spaces; over and above individual allotments. Setting such a target would impact on the delivery of a balanced approach and misleading as such a target would be unachievable in a 6 year time frame in the absence of a clear, researched and costed plan of implementation.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it will be misleading to the reader and is considered unachievable during the lifetime of Development Plan.

**Motion 215. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01125**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Joe Costello

Co-sponsors: Cllr Dermot Lacey, Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Insertion of Objective after G1053 (p. 298): It is an objective of DCC to support the development of an Olympic standard Velodrome in the City operated by the local authority.

**Planning Reason**

This was highlighted recently by the achievements of our Olympians and Paralympian's. We won two Paralympic gold medals, (McCrystal/Dunleavy) and Martin Gordon set a new Irish record IKM time trial. Yet our Olympians and Paralympian's have to travel to UK at great cost to train in British Local Authority run facilities. This is a sport that could be developed in Dublin at little cost to the City and has enormous potential.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE supports the concept, but consider the most appropriate location may or may not be in the Dublin City Council area, but could be other locations such as the National Sports Campus at Abbotstown. The provision, location and operation of such a facility will require wider consideration on a regional level at a minimum. Having regard to the multiple complexities involved, including the likely necessary input of various sporting associations, Government depts., etc. this issue should be referred to the Arts, Culture, Leisure and Recreation SPC for examination.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed but that the Motion is referred to the Arts, Culture, Leisure and Recreation SPC.

**Motion 216. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01176**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Page 298 Sport, Recreation and Play Add GIO55 Marrowbone Lane: It is an objective of Dublin City Council to rezone and develop the council owned depot at Marrowbone lane as a Green Infrastructure and Recreational area.

**Planning Reason**

To support realisation of objective GIO28,43,44,45 and policies GI25,27,45,48; to mitigate expected intense densification of SWIC as set out in this Draft Development Plan – 6 of 17 designated strategic regeneration and/or development areas identified in the city are located in the SWIC; to address the deficit of recreational and sports facilities in the area; to address the deficit of amenities for local schools and clubs; to ensure realisation of policy QHSN18; in line with national and regional physical and mental health policies.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Marrowbone Lane area was the subject of Variation 6 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2016-2022 in February 2019 to provide for the consolidation of the City Council depot and the regeneration of the wider depot area following public consultation. The variation as adopted stated:

"The rationalisation of the City Council depot will deliver a well designed consolidated depot on a significantly smaller footprint (45% of its current footprint) enabling the continued delivery of essential Council services including flood risk management, street cleaning, road maintenance and housing maintenance to the city centre and south city areas.

The balance of the site would be given over to the provision of improved amenity and recreational facilities, some residential and mixed use development and new access routes as provided for in the Liberties Local Area Plan. The Depot Consolidation Project will expedite the implementation of important elements of the Liberties Local Area Plan by facilitating the following:

- the provision of additional all weather pitches adjacent to and managed by St. Catherine's Leisure Centre (one 7 a-side pitch: 60m x 35m; one 4 a-side pitch: 20m x 35m; in addition to the existing astro field),
- the provision of an additional 100 plus new residential units,
- an improved active street frontage and public realm along Marrowbone Lane,
- improved permeability of the area by provision of 2 new access routes - the Robert Street South extension & an extension to Allingham Street."

The Draft Development Plan includes the essence of the adopted variation in SDRA 15 and Fig 13.5, which includes specific guidance regarding Marrowbone Lane (section 6). This includes the delivery of a number of key objectives including:

- Consolidation and reduction on the area of the existing City Council depot.
- Provision of a new public spaces onto Marrowbone Lane.

- Extension of amenity/recreational spaces in association with St. Catherine's Sports Centre.
- New residential development.
- New through routes and connections and the remaking of the street.

The rezoning of the depot solely as a green infrastructure and recreational area would preclude this approach and the variation as previously agreed.

It is considered that the adopted variation to the current plan and proposed SDRA 15 of the Draft Plan provide a clear understanding of how the site is to be developed into the future that is in accordance with the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it would contradict SDRA 15 in the Draft Plan.

See also 301 (MOT-01177).



**Motion 217. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01216**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

That the Development Plan commits to a program of rolling out public exercise equipment across the city with measurable targets.

**Planning Reason**

To encourage healthy activity.

**Chief Executive's Response**

At a policy level, this is already addressed in the Draft Plan through Policy GI3, Page: 270 that seeks to ensure delivery of multifunctional green and civic spaces that meet community needs and promote active and passive recreation in parks; and in Objective GIO25 page 283, which seeks to encourage and facilitate the introduction of amenities in parks such as outdoor gyms, adult exercise equipment, bowling greens etc.

At an operational level, the delivery of such exercise equipment is more appropriately considered through the Council's Management Plans and Parks Strategy for the city and by the ongoing operations of DCCs Parks, Biodiversity and Landscape Services team which to date has installed in the order of 20 outdoor gyms with further installations under active consideration for the future, where appropriate.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it considered an operational matter and, therefore, outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Motion 218. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01224**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

That the new Development Plan will continue to include the objective of designating the Phoenix Park as a Special Amenity Area and for a Special Amenity Area Order to be prepared for same.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure recognition of the Phoenix Park as a Special Amenity Area.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Objective GIO27 supports the implementation of the Phoenix Park Management Plan by the Office of Public Works so as to protect and conserve the historic landscape of the Phoenix Park and its archaeological, architectural and natural heritage whilst facilitating visitor access, education and interpretation, facilitating the sustainable use of the park's resources for recreation and other appropriate activities, encouraging research and maintaining its sense of peace and tranquillity.

It is considered that this management plan provides the necessary level of protection for the Phoenix Park and to seek a further designation as an SAAO would bring no additional benefit and is a complex statutory process to undertake.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the Park is sufficiently protected through Objective GIO27 and zoning policies.

**Motion 219. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01306**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Chapter:10, Section:GI014, Page: 277 Replace with: Further Nature Reserves and opportunities for nature development -To liaise, work with and support the National Parks and Wildlife Service in the designation of additional Nature Reserves and Natural Heritage Areas, and in the identification of opportunities for 'nature development' in areas of existing green and blue infrastructure with the potential for biodiversity enhancement through the addition of ponds, trees, hedgerows, wetlands, verge planting or biodiversity pontoons with the objective of creating additional nature reserves.

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: To deliver on the city's biodiversity goals; to identify opportunities to protect and enhance high nature value areas; to ensure that existing ecological infrastructure resources are protected and enhanced, and help guide future ecological infrastructure provision.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Green/Blue infrastructure will be delivered through the Development Management process, the planning and development of new growth areas in the city, through LAPs/Planning Schemes, through City Council Greening and Public Realm Strategies (existing and those to be developed) and through public infrastructural projects, with sufficient policies and objectives included in the Draft to achieve this (such as GI2, GI3, GI7, GI9-G18, GI34). This approach is additionally supported by the ongoing operations of DCCs Parks, Biodiversity and Landscape Services team under the Development Plan policy framework and strategies such as; the City Biodiversity Action Plan 2021 – 2026, the proposed Green Infrastructure Strategy, the Dublin City Tree Strategy or through the Council's management plans and Parks Strategy for the city. It is not the role of the National Parks and Wildlife Services to deliver biodiversity enhancement through measures such as verge planting etc. This is an operational matter for the Council, delivered through the mechanisms and plans detailed above. The designation of additional NHA's is a separate statutory process and outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed. Retain GIO14 as is. Operational matter, outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Motion 220. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01305**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

Chapter:10, Section:GI28, Page:283 Add new policy GI29: To ensure that in existing residential developments, public open space is of sufficient quality and amenity value to meet the requirements of established and growing populations, including play facilities for children, seating, and biodiverse planting schemes, and that it is accessible by safe secure walking and cycling routes.

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: To address the green space deficits outlined in section 10.5.4; to ensure equality of access to quality green spaces for all citizens; to help meet the city's biodiversity goals; to improve the public realm, particularly in key urban villages; to address the emphasis on quality and accessibility, ensuring that people have access to good facilities and sufficient recreational space, as outlined in section 10.5.4.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This is an operational matter for DCCs Parks, Biodiversity and Landscape Services and through the implementation of the Council's Parks Strategy for the city. Detailed guidance regarding new public open space is set out in Chapter 15. However, retrospective works to existing residential developments is outside the scope of the Development Plan and are an operational matter.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is an operational matter outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Motion 221. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01387**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

That the Development Plan includes a commitment to urgently address the dearth of trees in the area between the canals and particularly in the city centre. In particular, that the Development Plan should include a citywide tree canopy cover target percentage and that during the term of the plan inner city tree planting/maintenance/replacement policy will support a target of tree canopy cover in the inner city reaching the citywide target.

**Planning Reason**

So that planning policy and objectives will include canopy cover aspirations.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This matter is addressed in the Draft Plan on foot of a motion at the June Meeting, and is included in the Draft Plan as Objective GIO42, page 293 which states:

Objective GIO42 Urban Tree Canopy Plan

“To support the preparation of an Urban Tree Canopy Plan for the City Centre Area which shall provide for an increase in the canopy cover to a minimum of 10% in all areas”.

This matter will also be further considered in Dublin City Council's forthcoming Dublin City Tree Strategy that will establish urban forestry plans for the city, provide a target for an increased level of tree cover and will prioritise locations for tree planting.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is already addressed under Objective GIO42.

**Motion 222. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01205**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Seamus McGrattan

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

GI51 to include the words “children of all ages and all abilities”.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure children of all abilities can use play facilities in the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This matter is already addressed in the wording of policy GI51 p.297. The phrase “all” applies to the three categories listed following the word- ages, abilities and backgrounds.

Policy GI51 Children's Playing Facilities – General

“To seek the provision of children's playing facilities that encompasses local and public places and spaces for play that are accessible and inclusive for children and young people of all ages, abilities and socio-economic backgrounds”.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is addressed under policy GI51.

**Motion 223. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01197**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

It is an objective of Dublin City Council to investigate the suitability of designating Fairview Park and St. Anne's Park as Landscape Conservation Areas during the lifetime of the plan.

**Planning Reason**

To further protect / enhance our Green Infrastructure.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is noted that Objective GI018 of the Draft Plan provides for investigating the suitability of designating St. Anne's Park as a Landscape Conservation Areas and to prepare a review to examine the potential for other Landscape Conservation Areas as appropriate during the timeframe of the Development Plan.

To avoid the predetermination of this review, it is not appropriate to include other candidate areas such as Fairview Park at this time. Preliminary analysis indicates that it is unlikely that Fairview Park would be suitable for this designation, given that it is a park that was developed on reclaimed land and does not form part of an historic or demesne landscape, but it can be considered under the wording of the draft objective as currently proposed.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as Fairview Park (and other locations in the city) can be considered under GI018 as currently worded.

**Motion 224. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01198**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Chapter 10 - Green Infrastructure and Recreation

**Motion**

It is an objective of Dublin City Council to upgrade Fairview Park to Flagship Park status during the lifetime of the plan.

**Planning Reason**

To further protect / enhance our Green Infrastructure.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Issues such as determining the suitability of a particular park for flagship status is appropriately considered under the Council's Dublin City Parks Strategy (and its review), and as such is not a Development Plan matter.

This is addressed at a strategic level by Policy GI27 p.282; "To seek the provision of additional public open spaces in areas of deficiency as identified in the Dublin City Council Parks Strategy 2019 (and any future update) and the forthcoming public open space audit for the city centre by:

- a) securing open space as part of new development / the redevelopment of brownfield lands;
- b) the upgrading of existing Flagship Parks and Community Grade 1 & 2 Parks to better serve their communities;
- c) investigating opportunities for access to local schools and colleges; and,
- d) the development of pocket parks/parklets".

It is considered that the future ambitions for Fairview Park and the role and need of additional flagship parks would be more appropriately addressed as the Arts, Culture, Leisure and Recreation SPC.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

It is recommended that the motion is referred to the Arts, Culture, Leisure and Recreation SPC.



## **Chapter 11: Built Heritage and Archaeology**

## **Motions Referring to Chapter 11: Built Heritage and Archaeology**

### **Motion 225. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01245**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Mary Freehill

Refers to: Chapter 11 - Built Heritage and Archaeology

#### **Motion**

That Grove Park Rathmines be designated an ACA, this is a road of Victorian houses all completed at the same time. Local owner occupiers have taken an active interest in conservation of the road.

#### **Planning Reason**

In order to ensure the conservation of the area and proper planning and sustainable development.

#### **Chief Executive's Response**

There are 14 priority Architectural Conservation Areas proposed in Chapter 11: Built Heritage and Archaeology of the Draft Development Plan. This is a significant number of ACAs identified for preparation. Nevertheless, following an examination of the architectural character of Grove Park and its environs, a proposed Architectural Conservation Area would be considered. It should be borne in mind that the prioritisation approach of the many proposed ACAs in the Draft Plan will be agreed with the Elected Members following adoption of the Draft Plan.

#### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Include 'Grove Park' within the alphabetical list to 11.5.2 Architectural Conservation Areas.

**Motion 226. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01386**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 11 - Built Heritage and Archaeology

**Motion**

That the Development Plan should include a policy to promote the heritage and archaeology of historic buildings in the city. This should include a commitment to the on-going protection and enhancement of important historical buildings and sites generally within the city and, in particular, with regard to existing 'hidden gems' within the city.

**Planning Reason**

To promote built heritage and culture.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Chapter 11: Built Heritage and Archaeology of the Draft Development Plan already includes a number of policies and objectives to promote the built heritage and archaeology of the city and on-going commitments for their protection and enhancement. These include policies such as:

- BHA2: Development of Protected Structures;
- BHA3: Loss of Protected Structures (prevention);
- BHA7: Architectural Conservation Areas;
- BHA11: Rehabilitation and Reuse of Existing Older Buildings;
- BHA15: Twentieth Century Buildings and Structures;
- BHA17: To support and promote a strategy for the protection and restoration of the industrial heritage of the city's waterways, canals and rivers, including retaining features such as walls, weirs and millraces;
- BHA26: Protection of Archaeological Heritage;

Together with objectives, including the following:

- Objective BHAO14 Viking Dublin- To promote the awareness of the international significance of Viking Dublin. To support the Viking York Axis Project, the Destination Viking Network and the Dublin Festival of History Viking Seminar; to explore the feasibility of a research excavation in Viking Dublin; to support post-excavation research into the Wood Quay excavations 1962-81; to record and map the survival of water-logged Viking Age and medieval archaeological stratigraphy.
- Objective BHAO19 Built Heritage and Archaeology- To provide for the protection, preservation and promotion of the built heritage, including architectural heritage and archaeological heritage and support the in-situ presentation and interpretation of archaeological finds within new developments.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as sufficient policies to address heritage and archaeology of historic buildings in the city are included in the Draft Plan as per the strategic directions on the Draft Plan issued on foot of the June meeting.

*Page Left Intentionally Blank*

## **Chapter 12: Culture**

## **Motions Referring to Chapter 12: Culture**

### **Motion 227. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01264**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Deirdre Heney

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

#### **Motion**

To support the development of a flagship Dublin City Language and Cultural Hub as outlined in the Project Ireland 2040 document, Infheistíocht inár gCultúr, inár dTeanga and inár nOidhreacht Investing in our Culture, Language and Heritage 2018-2027. Amendment Line 1 - Insert the words "promote and" between the words "to" and "support";

#### **Planning Reason**

To better comply with that set out in Project Ireland 2040, Infheistíocht inár gCultúr, inár dTeanga and inár nOidhreacht Investing in our Culture, Language and Heritage 2018-2027.

#### **Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that the additional text supports the intent of objective CUO48 and, therefore, the additional text would benefit the Draft Plan.

#### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend Objective CUO48 Chapter 12, page 359

From:

CUO48 Dublin City Language and Cultural Hub

"To support the development of a flagship Dublin City Language and Cultural Hub as outlined in the Project Ireland 2040 document, Infheistíocht inár gCultúr, inár dTeanga & inár nOidhreacht Investing in our Culture, Language & Heritage 2018-2027".

To:

CUO48 Dublin City Language and Cultural Hub

"To promote and support the development of a flagship Dublin City Language and Cultural Hub as outlined in the Project Ireland 2040 document, Infheistíocht inár gCultúr, inár dTeanga & inár nOidhreacht Investing in our Culture, Language & Heritage 2018-2027".

**Motion 228. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01263**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Deirdre Heney

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

To support the use of the Irish language on shopfronts, having regard to the principles set out in Dublin City Council's 'Shop-front Design Guidelines' and Chapter 15. Amendment Line 1 - Insert the words "promote and" between the words "to" and "support".

**Planning Reason**

To better comply with that set out in Project Ireland 2040, Infheistíocht inár gCultúr, inár dTeanga and inár nOidhreacht Investing in our Culture, Language and Heritage 2018-2027.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that the additional text supports the intent of objective CUO48 and, therefore, the additional text would benefit the Draft Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion. Amend Objective CUO48 Chapter 12, page 359

From:

CUO48 Dublin City Language and Cultural Hub

"To support the development of a flagship Dublin City Language and Cultural Hub as outlined in the Project Ireland 2040 document, Infheistíocht inár gCultúr, inár dTeanga & inár nOidhreacht Investing in our Culture, Language & Heritage 2018-2027".

To:

CUO48 Dublin City Language and Cultural Hub

"To promote and support the development of a flagship Dublin City Language and Cultural Hub as outlined in the Project Ireland 2040 document, Infheistíocht inár gCultúr, inár dTeanga & inár nOidhreacht Investing in our Culture, Language & Heritage 2018-2027".

**Motion 229. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01265**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Deirdre Heney

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

To support the development of an Irish language quarter and to seek a designation in the south City area focussed around the Harcourt St. area as an Irish Language Network. To explore the opportunities for supporting greater use of the Irish language within selected urban villages within the city. Amendment Line 1 - Insert the words "promote and" between the words "to" and "support";

**Planning Reason**

To better comply with that set out in Project Ireland 2040, Infheistíocht inár gCultúr, inár dTeanga and inár nOidhreacht Investing in our Culture, Language and Heritage 2018-2027.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that the additional text supports the intent of Objective CUO49 and, therefore, the additional text would benefit the Draft Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend Objective CUO48 Chapter 12, page 359

From:

CUO49 Irish Language Quarter

"To support the development of an Irish language quarter and to seek a designation in the south City area focussed around the Harcourt St. area as an Irish Language Network. To explore the opportunities for supporting greater use of the Irish language within selected urban villages within the city".

To:

CUO49 Irish Language Quarter

"To promote and support the development of an Irish language quarter and to seek a designation in the south City area focussed around the Harcourt St. area as an Irish Language Network. To explore the opportunities for supporting greater use of the Irish language within selected urban villages within the city".



**Motion 230. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01106**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Declan Meenagh

Co-sponsors: Cllr Joe Costello

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

Add an objective: To encourage disabled people to take part fully in the city's culture as consumers, creators, artists and workers by supporting a high standard of accessibility in new and existing cultural facilities.

**Planning Reason**

To comply with obligations under the disability act and the UN CRPD.

**Chief Executive's Response**

There is a benefit to the Draft Plan of having a specific objective highlighting the particular importance of addressing accessibility for both new and existing cultural facilities. For this reason the motion is supported.

It is proposed to include a new objective CUO41 to Chapter 12, Section 12.5.5.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Include a new objective CUO41 to Chapter 12, Section 12.5.5

**CUO41 Accessibility**

"To encourage disabled people to take part fully in the city's culture as consumers, creators, artists and workers by supporting a high standard of accessibility in new and existing cultural assets".

**Motion 231. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01327**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

Chapter:12, Section: ,Page:349 CU020 : Insert the words 'at the design stage' after 'dedicated locations'.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure the development of new cultural and creative infrastructure in the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that the additional text supports the intent of objective CUO20 and, therefore, the additional text would benefit the Draft Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend Objective CUO20 Chapter 12, page 349

From:

CUO20 Masterplans

"Masterplans or statutory plans prepared for lands over 2 hectares that were previously zoned for industrial purposes and are now identified for mixed use must include dedicated locations for cultural uses and details as to how any existing cultural uses within the area can be accommodated as part of a wider regeneration".

To:

CUO20 Masterplans

"Masterplans or statutory plans prepared for lands over 2 hectares that were previously zoned for industrial purposes and are now identified for mixed use must include dedicated locations at the design stage for cultural uses and details as to how any existing cultural uses within the area can be accommodated as part of a wider regeneration".

**Motion 232. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01325**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

Chapter:12, Section:12.5, Page:345 To include the following text in CUO10 (P345) 'artists workspaces' after 'cultural spaces'.

**Planning Reason**

To increase the provision of artists workspaces in the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The proposed change to the wording of objective CUO10 gives additional clarity to the meaning of the motion and is, therefore, recommended.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend Objective CUO10 Chapter 12, page 459

From:

CUO10 Cultural Spaces in Dublin 8

"To deliver a number of new cultural spaces in the Dublin 8 area, including the renovation of Kilmainham Mills and the establishment of the Creative Campus space".

To:

CUO10 Cultural Spaces in Dublin 8

"To deliver a number of new cultural spaces and artists workspaces in the Dublin 8 area, including the renovation of Kilmainham Mills and the establishment of the Creative Campus space".

**Motion 233. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01133**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cat O'Driscoll

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

To amend CU12 to the following: To grow the range of cultural spaces and facilities in tandem with new housing developments and across existing developments to meet the needs of an increased population within the city.

**Planning Reason**

To limit the growth to new developments will not allow for heavily developed areas to grow their cultural spaces.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that the additional text supports the intent of CU12 policy and, therefore, the additional text would benefit the Draft Plan. An amendment is proposed to change housing to all developments to ensure that the objective addresses a full range of projects.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend Policy CU12 Chapter 12, page 348

From:

CU12 Cultural Spaces and Facilities

“To grow the range of cultural spaces and facilities in tandem with new housing development to meet the need of an increased population within the city.”

To:

CU12 Cultural Spaces and Facilities

“To grow the range of cultural spaces and facilities in tandem with all new developments and across existing developments to meet the needs of an increased population within the city.”

**Motion 234. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01229**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Michael Macdonncha

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

In CU8 page 343 to amend the following: the restoration of pre-1916 buildings on Moore Street and the establishment of a commemorative visitor centre marking a key touchstone in our State's foundation to read: the preservation and restoration of the historic terrace 10-25 Moore Street and adjacent yards and lanes, and the remaining historic built heritage of the street, including numbers 1-8 Moore Street, and the establishment of a commemorative visitor centre, as a fitting tribute to the men and women of Easter 1916 and as an educational and cultural resource.

**Planning Reason**

To fully reflect the policy of the Council with regard to this historic street and the importance of its built heritage, including buildings built immediately after 1916 such as Numbers 1-8 as cited by the Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage in its submission on current planning applications.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan identifies Moore Street for an ACA (page 312) and Objective CUO8 relates to the establishment of a 1916 Commemorative centre at 14-17 Moore Street (a national monument). A number of buildings on Moore Street are currently being assessed under Section 52-55 of the Planning Acts in relation to their consideration for addition to the Record of Protected Structures.

In the context of the above, the motion which seeks to preserve post-1916 buildings in the basis of a submission on the current planning application is premature and outside the scope of the plan. To address these issues, it is recommended that the phrase "pre-1916" is replaced with "historic" on page 343; and that the wording of CUO8 is expanded to reference other historic buildings on the street.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with amendments.

It is recommended to amend the Draft Plan in two locations

(1) to amend Section 12.5.2, page 343

From:

"The restoration of pre-1916 buildings on Moore Street."

To:

"The restoration of historic buildings on Moore Street".

(2) To amend Objective CU08 (Chapter 12, Section 12.5.2 Page 344)

From:

“To support the restoration and establishment of a 1916 commemorative centre at Nos. 14-17 Moore Street (which are National Monuments).”

To:

“To support the conservation and restoration of historic buildings and structures at Nos. 10-25 Moore Street, to include the establishment of a 1916 commemorative visitors centre at Nos. 14-17 Moore Street (a National Monument), and the renewal of Nos. 2-7 Moore Street (dating from 1917)”.

**Motion 235. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01330**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

Chapter:12, Section: Page:355 Amend CU037 'Canvas Spaces' To pilot "canvas" spaces to support street art at agreed locations (including construction hoardings) to establish legal walls in the city in support of developing street art through free painting, and to support or commission a rotating programme of street art that can bring high quality installations to be seen by many in the city and add to Dublin's attractive

**Planning Reason**

To enliven and improve the visual amenity of the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that the additional text supports the intent of objective CU036 and, therefore, the additional text would benefit the Draft Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend Objective COU37 Legal Walls to replace the phrase "legal walls" with "canvas spaces" in the title of the objective and to include the phrases (i) "To pilot "canvas" spaces to support street art at agreed locations (including construction hoarding) and" and (ii) "through free painting"

From:

CUO37 Legal Walls

"To establish legal walls in the city in support of developing street art through free painting and to look to establish pilot "canvas" spaces for a rotating programme of street art that can bring high quality installations to be seen by many in the city and add to Dublin's attractiveness".

To:

CUO37 Canvas Spaces

"To pilot "canvas" spaces to support street art at agreed locations (including construction hoarding) and to establish legal walls in the city in support of developing street art through free painting and to look to establish pilot "canvas" spaces for a rotating programme of street art through free painting that can bring high quality installations to be seen by many in the city and add to Dublin's attractiveness".

**Motion 236. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01307**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

Chapter:12, Section:CU22, Page:354 Add 'CU23' Club Culture: To recognise the cultural value of a thriving club scene to the economies of international cities; to recognise the distinct cultural contribution of electronic music to Dublin's night time economy; and to protect and support the cultural heritage of this sector by facilitating the enhancement and/or growth of appropriate cultural spaces.

**Planning Reason**

Planning reason: To recognise the cultural footprint of Dublin's clubbing heritage and leverage the city's potential to become a thriving and world class clubbing destination; to ensure a diverse, inclusive and varied night time offering, protecting that heritage and securing space for independent promoters; and to ensure that small and mid-sized venues aren't squeezed out in favour of hotels and super pubs with generic and exclusive nightlife offerings.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The intent of the motion is supported. However, as it focussed solely on one form of music to the exclusion of other forms which are also valued by other communities within the City.

It is considered that the addition of an objective addressing dance and clubs is beneficial to the Draft Plan and a revised wording is proposed to include a wider grouping of club facilities. The CE recommendation, therefore, is to agree with this motion with an amended wording.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Include new Objective CUO36, Chapter 12, page 354:

**CUO36 Music and Dance**

"To recognise the cultural value of a thriving club and dance scene for all music types and audiences to the City and to the night time economy; and to support and protect existing club venues and the future growth and development of such facilities as a distinct part of the cultural life of the City".



**Motion 237. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01329**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

Chapter: 12, Section: Page: 349 To insert the following objectives on pages in 353 / 354 re Night Time Cultural Activities: To be guided by the recommendations set out in the National Night Time Economy Taskforce Report 2021 and to appoint a Night Time Advisor and stakeholder committee to ensure the development of a vibrant, diverse, safe and sustainable night time economy for Dublin.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure the development of a vibrant, diverse, safe and sustainable night time economy for Dublin.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE agrees with this motion with the following amendments in order to update the Draft Plan to reflect the recent publication of the Task Force Report.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Delete text on page 353, Section 12.5.4, Chapter 12,

“Whilst the Government Report from the established Taskforce on the Night Time Economy was not published as the time of preparing this draft, the Council will review the recommendations and where appropriate address any planning policy recommendations.”

And replace with:

“The recent publication Report of the Night Time Economy Taskforce in September 2021 by the Department of Tourism, Culture, Arts, Gaeltacht, Sport and Media sets out a vision and actions for supporting and growing the night time economy in Ireland”.

Add new Objective CU20, to Section 12.5.4, Chapter 12 on Page 353:

CU20 Night Time Economy Taskforce Report

“To be guided by the recommendations set out in the National Night Time Economy Taskforce Report 2021 and to seek that Dublin is selected as a pilot for the creation of a Night Time Advisor and stakeholder committee”.

**Motion 238. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01324**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

Chapter:12, Section:12.5, Page: 341 To include a new objective on page 341: 'In recognition of the rich maritime heritage of our City, the City Council will seek to develop a Maritime Museum in the Docklands '

**Planning Reason**

To celebrate the rich maritime heritage of our City and the Docklands.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan already includes a specific objective in Chapter 11 (Heritage, page 334) that addresses this issue and gives support to the delivery of a maritime history and cultural space in the Port/Docklands area.

BHA33 Dublin Port Heritage Quarter

"To support the vision of the Dublin Port Company for the Flour Mill and surrounding heritage assets of the Port to deliver a new cultural heritage quarter for the City, that documents Dublin's rich maritime history and the social history of the Dock workers".

It is considered that this objective addresses the purpose of the motion. To provide further clarity however, it is proposed to amend the text to include the words "maritime museum" specifically.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend objective BHA33 Dublin Port Heritage Quarter in Chapter 11 (Heritage, page 334)

From:

BHA33 Dublin Port Heritage Quarter

"To support the vision of the Dublin Port Company for the Flour Mill and surrounding heritage assets of the Port to deliver a new cultural heritage quarter for the City, that documents Dublin's rich maritime history and the social history of the Dock workers".

To:

BHA33 Dublin Port Heritage Quarter

"To support the vision of the Dublin Port Company for the Flour Mill and surrounding heritage assets of the Port to deliver a new cultural heritage quarter and maritime museum for the City, that documents Dublin's rich maritime history and the social history of the Dock workers".

**Motion 239. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01326**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

Chapter:12, Section:12.5.4, To insert the following new objective page 352 under 'Music' 'To protect the former Conservatory of Music and Drama on Chatham Row as a music centre and or rehearsal space for musicians'

**Planning Reason**

To protect music facilities in the City Centre.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Council is currently undertaking research on the future role of the former Conservatory of Music and Drama at Chatham Row, following the move by the Conservatory to the new TU Campus at Grangegorm. It is the intent of the Council to use the building for cultural purposes, if feasible. Work is currently underway to assess the current status of building, its potential, and future options for its use, which may include a mix of cultural purposes. It is hoped that this work will be completed by early 2022.

As the most appropriate future cultural purpose of the building has not yet been considered and agreed, it is considered that the motion should be amended to leave the futures uses to a broader range and possible mix of possible cultural use(s).

For this reason a revised wording for a new objective in the Draft Plan, in Chapter 12, Section 12.5.1, Page 341 is recommended.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Insert a new objective in the Draft Plan, in Chapter 12, Section 12.5.1, Page 341 stating:

CUO4 Chatham Row

"To work to deliver a new cultural resource in the former Conservatory of Music and Drama at Chatham Row, that will provide new spaces for cultural engagement within the city centre."

**Motion 240. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01328**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

Chapter:12, Section: ,Page:349 CUO21 Replace: 10,000 sq. m. with 5,000 sq. m. Insert the words 'and artist workspaces' after culture Insert 'at the design stage' after 'development'.

**Planning Reason**

To increase the provision of cultural and creative space and artists workspaces in the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the second and third elements of the motion and not agree the first element of the motion. The first element of the motion seeks to increase the threshold of provision of community, cultural and arts spaces from 10,000 sq. m. to 5,000 sq. m. This lower threshold is not considered to be appropriate. Larger sized schemes have the capacity to absorb the additional costs of the provision of non-profit making facilities to the benefit of the wider community without impacting significantly on viability or price to the end user. This lower threshold runs the risk of making medium sized developments unviable with the city, undermining the sustainable development model being pursued in order to respond to climate change.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the second and third elements of the motion and NOT agree the first element of the motion

It is recommended that Objective CUO21, Chapter: 12, Section: 12.5.3, Page 349, is amended

From:

CUO21 SDRAs and large Scale Developments

"All new regeneration areas (SDRAs) and large scale developments above 10,000 sq. m. in total area must provide for 5% community, arts and culture internal floorspace as part of their development. The option of relocating a portion (no more than half of this figure) of this to a site immediately adjacent to the area can be accommodated where it is demonstrated to be the better outcome and that it can be a contribution to an existing project in the immediate vicinity. The balance of space between cultural and community use can be decided at application stage, from an evidence base/audit of the area. Such spaces must be designed to meet the identified need."

To:

CUO21 SDRAs and large Scale Developments

"All new regeneration areas (SDRAs) and large scale developments above 10,000 sq. m. in total area must provide for 5% community, arts and culture and artist workspaces internal floorspace as part of their development at the design stage. The option of relocating a

portion (no more than half of this figure) of this to a site immediately adjacent to the area can be accommodated where it is demonstrated to be the better outcome and that it can be a contribution to an existing project in the immediate vicinity. The balance of space between cultural and community use can be decided at application stage, from an evidence base/audit of the area. Such spaces must be designed to meet the identified need”.

**Motion 241. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01376**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

That the Development Plan explores all options available for promoting the Irish language and culture through policy, internal and external promotion and liaison and co-operation with Irish Language organisations throughout such as Conradh na Gaeilge and the Ciorcal Comhrá groups. Furthermore, that the development plan will have, at its core, a commitment to promoting Irish language, history and culture. To achieve this aim the Development Plan should include specific policies supporting Gaeilge as part of our identity and as a living language within the community. The plan should also support traditional Irish Culture including music and sports and look to support and encourage key language and cultural investment projects including a Ceathrú Gaeilge (Irish quarter), centred around the development of Number 6 Harcourt Street.

**Planning Reason**

To promote and encourage the use of the Irish language in the city.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Draft Plan has addressed the points made in the motion. The Draft Plan includes a dedicated section on the promotion of the Irish language and culture within Chapter 12 (Culture), in Section 12.5.6 (pages 357-360). This section sets out a clear statement of support for the promotion of Irish within the City as a living language, see Policy CU25, CU27; and the traditional arts, CU26. Alongside this, objectives CUO42, CUO43, CUO44, CUO45, COU46, CUO47, CUO48 and CUO49 set out a wide range of actions to achieve this aim.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as sufficient policies supporting Irish language and culture in the city are included in the Draft Plan as per the strategic directions on the Draft Plan issued on foot of the June meeting.

**Motion 242. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01331**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

Chapter:12, Section: ,Page:355 Insert new objective: To seek to establish an ambitious Street Art Fund, in order to enliven the city or address areas subject to tagging and vandalism.

**Planning Reason**

To enliven and improve the visual amenity of the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion is similar to the wording of the current objective on street art- CUO36 (Page 355), which supports street art to enliven the city and address areas of vandalism. It is considered that the difference in intent of the motion to the current objective is to seek a larger Street Art Fund. The Development Plan is not the appropriate document to address funding/capital programmes of the Council. It is, therefore, considered that the current objective CUO36 already gives recognition and support to Street Art as a cultural land use in the city and this motion would create undue repetition and confusion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside of the scope of the Development Plan.

It is recommended that the motion is referred to the Arts, Culture, Leisure and Recreation SPC.

**Motion 243. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01332**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

Chapter:12, Section: Page:355 Insert new objective: \*Adjudication\* To seek a fair means by which contentious street art could be adjudicated upon.

**Planning Reason**

To enliven and improve the visual amenity of the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion seeks to create an undefined "fair" process for managing street art in the City. The proposed wording is not considered appropriate as it implies the current process is "unfair" and is subjective. The management of Street Art, including planning, ownership, management and costs are best addressed through procedural protocols and discussed at the Arts, Culture, Leisure and Recreation and Planning SPC where an agreed approach can be developed that addresses the concerns implicit in the motion. For these reasons it is not considered that the motion is appropriate for the Draft Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

It is recommended that the motion is referred to the Arts, Culture, Leisure and Recreation SPC.



**Motion 244. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01230**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Michael Macdonncha

Refers to: Chapter 12 - Culture

**Motion**

CUO47 Naming of new developments. To review the process of agreeing names of new developments and to ensure a revised approach so that Irish language names are prioritised and encouraged; whilst also reflecting the rich diversity of history and origins of place names and townland names within Dublin and also names that are reflective the social history of each place. All place names installed for new streets or estates must be bi-lingual. To amend the above to read: To ensure that all new developments are named in the Irish language only, to redress the historic under-representation of Irish language names in the City; whilst also reflecting the rich diversity of history and origins of place names and townland names within Dublin and also names that are reflective the social history of each place. All place names installed for new streets or estates must be bi-lingual.

**Planning Reason**

To better reflect the support of the City Council for the Irish language.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Dublin City Council currently has a well-established approach in the names of new developments whereby the name must be justified based on the heritage of the local area. All names of new developments are translated into Irish and this is supplied to the developer; and must be used on official street names plaques. However, it has been noticed that where developers erect their own place names (such as engraved pillars etc.) the Irish version is often not included. The Draft Plan includes an objective of requiring all names to be displayed in both languages to rectify this failing (Objective CUO47, Section 12.5.6, page 359). This objective also addresses the point raised in the motion, stating:

"to review the process of agreeing names of new developments and to ensure a revised approach so that the Irish language names are prioritised and encouraged; whilst also reflecting the rich diversity of history and origins of place names and townland names within Dublin and also names that are reflective of the social history of each place. All place names installed for new streets or estates must be bi-lingual."

The historical geography of Dublin has seen millennia of different settlers, all of which have left their mark in the names of places; with a mix of Viking, Gaelic, Anglo-Norman and other names (such as those associated with particular trades/activities) making up the fabric of place naming in the City. The Council endeavours to ensure that these rich and wide variety of traditional names are available to be preserved in any new development naming, so evocative names with history like Marrowbone, Blackpitts, Misery Hill and Washerwoman's are preserved alongside Irish names.

It is considered that Objective CUO47 already provides an appropriate response to the motion and also provides for a review of the naming process during the lifetime of the Plan.

### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is considered that Objective CUO47 already provides an appropriate response to the motion and also provides for a review of the naming process during the lifetime of the Plan.

See also motions 277 (MOT-01231), 278 (MOT 01375) and 279 (MOT-1374).

## **Chapter 13: Strategic Development Regeneration Areas (SDRAs)**

## **Motions Referring to Chapter 13: Strategic Development Regeneration Areas (SDRAS)**

### **Motion 245. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01242**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Doolan

Refers to: Chapter 13 - Strategic Development Regeneration Areas (SDRAs)

#### **Motion**

Chapter 13. 13.6 SDRA Park West-Cherry Orchard. Urban Structure. Page 385: Amend the first bullet point to read; Develop the remaining vacant sites in the area in a sustainable manner to create a vibrant sustainable new (neighbourhood) urban area that is fully integrated and connected with the existing community.

#### **Planning Reason**

To ensure community cohesion and sustainability.

#### **Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recommends the motion for the reason stated.

#### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend text page 385 to include revised text for bullet point one Urban Structure as follows:

"Develop the remaining sites in the area in a sustainable manner to create a vibrant sustainable new (neighbourhood) urban area that is fully integrated and connected with the existing community".

**Motion 246. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01243**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Doolan

Refers to: Chapter 13 - Strategic Development Regeneration Areas (SDRAs)

**Motion**

Chapter 13. 13.6 SDRA Park West-Cherry Orchard. Urban Structure. Page 385 Extra bullet point: To develop Park West-Cherry Orchard in an integrated, sustainable way that will ensure the local community benefits from investment, greater infrastructure and services.

**Planning Reason**

To maximise benefits for the local community.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recommends the motion for the reason stated.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Additional text will be added in Chapter 13, 13.6 SDRA Park West-Cherry Orchard, Urban Structure, Page 385 as suggested as follows:

"To develop Park West Cherry Orchard in an integrated, sustainable way that will ensure the local community benefits from investment, greater infrastructure and services".

**Motion 247. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01253**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 13 - Strategic Development Regeneration Areas (SDRAs)

**Motion**

Chapter: 13, Section: 13.9, Page: 411 Under the "Design" section of guiding principles of SDRA 7, replace the sentence "To undertake a public realm study for Kilmainham Village" with "To undertake a public realm study for Kilmainham and Islandbridge villages"

**Planning Reason**

To expand the design study to look at the Islandbridge main section (a small area with a hugely increased population, but one not otherwise mentioned in the SDRA, despite being included in the boundaries).

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recommends the motion for the reason stated.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Additional text will be added to Chapter: 13, Section: 13.9, Page: 411 - SDRA 7 - Heuston and Environs section on Design

From:

"To undertake a public realm study for Kilmainham Village"

To:

"To undertake a public realm study for Kilmainham and Islandbridge villages".

**Motion 248. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01251**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 13 - Strategic Development Regeneration Areas (SDRAs)

**Motion**

Chapter: 13, Section: 13.17, Page: 461 under item 6 (Marrowbone Lane) of the guiding principles for SDRA 15, add the words "pedestrian and cycling" before "route linking Marrowbone Lane..."

**Planning Reason**

To avoid rat running by motor traffic, protect residential amenity, and ensure a quality corridor for pedestrians and cyclists.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recommends the motion for the reason stated, with an amendment to reflect the need for resident parking and deliveries on the existing streets.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend Chapter: 13, Section: 13.17, Page: 461 - Guiding Principles for SDRA 15

From:

"New north south route linking Marrowbone Lane with Cork Street via Allingham Street and Marion Villas".

To:

"New north south pedestrian and cycling route (with access for parking and deliveries only) linking Marrowbone Lane with Cork Street via Allingham Street and Marion Villas".

**Motion 249. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01211**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Claire Byrne

Refers to: Chapter 13 - Strategic Development Regeneration Areas (SDRAs)

**Motion**

To include 'green space' and 'pocket parks' as permissible uses in Z5.

**Planning Reason**

To create more Green Infrastructure and pocket parks and more places to sit in the City Centre.

**Chief Executive Response**

The land use, 'open space' is provided for as a permissible use under the Z5 zoning objective in the Draft Plan. This would include uses such as green space and pocket parks. To provide further clarity, it is recommended that the definition of open space is expanded in Appendix 15. In the interests of brevity, it is not recommended that all types of open space are specifically named in each list.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend definition of Open Space in Appendix 15, page 377 of Volume 2

From:

Open Space

“Any land (active or passive use), including water bodies such as rivers and canals, whether enclosed or not, on which there are no buildings, (or not more than 5 per cent is covered with buildings), and the remainder of which is laid out as a garden/ community garden or for the purposes of recreation, or lies vacant, waste or unoccupied. It also includes beaches, school playing fields, sports pitches, playgrounds, urban farms, forests, allotments and outdoor civic spaces, passive play areas and outdoor exercise facilities”.

To:

Open Space

“Any land (active or passive use), including water bodies such as rivers and canals, whether enclosed or not, on which there are no buildings, (or not more than 5 per cent is covered with buildings), and the remainder of which is laid out as a garden/community garden or for the purposes of recreation, or lies vacant, waste or unoccupied. It also includes beaches, school playing fields, sports pitches, playgrounds, urban farms, forests, allotments and outdoor civic spaces, green space, pocket parks, passive play areas and outdoor exercise facilities”.

See also motion Dynamics Ref. 01210 and 01211 (249).



**Motion 250. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01244**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Daithí Doolan

Refers to: Chapter 13 - Strategic Development Regeneration Areas (SDRAs)

**Motion**

Chapter 13. 13.7. SDRA 5 Nass Road. Guiding Principles. Former Irish Water and Bluebell Road Regeneration Area. Page 394. Extra bullet point. To complement and work to progress the current Bluebell-A Framework for Renewal plan.

**Planning Reason**

In recognition of the work put in by DCC, local residents, businesses and Bluebell CDP in the developing a long term strategic plan for Bluebell. The Nass Road LAP is an opportunity to strengthen and assist in the delivery of this plan.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is recommended that an additional bullet point will be included in section 13.7, SDRA 5 Naas Road, Guidelines Principles for the Former Irish Water and Bluebell Road Regeneration Area to reflect the motion with a small amendment.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree this motion with an amendment.

Amend text page 394, section 13.7, SDRA 5 Naas Road, Guidelines Principles for the Former Irish Water and Bluebell Road Regeneration Area to include an additional bullet point which states:

"The redevelopment of the Former Irish Water and Bluebell Road regeneration area will be informed by the Bluebell - A Framework for Renewal Plan".

**Motion 251. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01252**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 13 - Strategic Development Regeneration Areas (SDRAs)

**Motion**

Chapter: 13, Section: 13.17, Page: 457 under the "Land Use and Activity" section of guiding principles of SDRA 15, append ", including the pedestrianisation of the square" to the bullet point "To support the use of Newmarket Square for market trading and other beneficial public uses".

**Planning Reason**

To confirm intent to pedestrianise the space to allow for market trading and other beneficial uses.

**Chief Executive's Response**

A Part 8 is proposed for Newmarket which will provide for the improvement in the pedestrian environment and public realm to include a "universal square" in the centre of Newmarket for pedestrians only (no car access). The pedestrian improvements to enhance usability of the square is being progressed under a separate statutory process which is outside the scope of the Development Plan. This will include the detailed design proposals for the square. However, it is considered that the wording of the SDRA guiding principles could be amended to reflect this process and for the following amendment to be made to Chapter: 13, Section: 13.17, SDRA 15 Page: 457 - Land Use Activity:

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend text Chapter: 13, Section: 13.17, SDRA 15 Page: 457 - Land Use Activity

From:

"To support the use of Newmarket Square for market trading and other beneficial public uses".

To:

"To support the use of Newmarket Square for market trading and other beneficial public uses including as appropriate, works to enhance universal access, the pedestrian environment and public realm".

**Motion 252. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01335**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 13 - Strategic Development Regeneration Areas (SDRAs)

**Motion**

Section:13.19: To insert a new objective to: 'To redevelop the vacant space beside the QPark as a pocket park or community garden'

**Planning Reason**

To enhance green space and infrastructure in the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

These lands have been the subject of a report to the South East Area Committee and currently the City Archaeologist and the Parks Department are working on suitable landscaping response for the site that protects the extremely sensitive archaeology of this location. The focus of the solution is improving biodiversity that will not require excavation/digging works that could impact the dense and extensive archaeological remains in this area. It is recommended that the wording of the objective is revised to reflect the need to protect the archaeology, and also that it is recognised that this is a "meanwhile use" as until the area is fully investigated as part of a comprehensive archaeological study. Although the lands in question do not form part of the Werburgh Street SDRA, they do form part of the City Walls and may be included in the SDRA. It is, therefore, recommended the motion is included as an additional bullet point on page 469.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to insert new text to the draft Plan on page 469 as an additional bullet point stating:

"To develop the lands adjoining the City Walls west of Werburgh Street as a landscaped area that supports local biodiversity whilst protecting the sensitive archaeological remains at this location; as a "meanwhile" use as until a full archaeological examination of this area takes place".

**Motion 253. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01334**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 13 - Strategic Development Regeneration Areas (SDRAs)

**Motion**

Section:13.19, Page:468 'Redevelop the Werburgh Street Church as a cultural venue'.

**Planning Reason**

To support the development of cultural space in the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Bullet two on page 469 already addresses this issue; and recognises that the Church will continue in use as a religious building in tandem with developing the space as a cultural performance space.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

The CE recommends that the motion is not agreed as the purpose of the motion is already addressed in the Draft Plan on page 468.

**Motion 254. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01254**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 13 - Strategic Development Regeneration Areas (SDRAs)

**Motion**

Chapter: 13, Section: 13.11, Page: 422, 423 Under the "Guiding Principles for Key Opportunity Sites" section, section 4 ("Davitt Road East") of guiding principles of SDRA 9, append "or the unused space on the canal end of Goldenbridge Terrace." Figure 13-9 should also be amended to reflect this.

**Planning Reason**

The space is currently used for informal car parking, but could benefit the SDRA as a green or play space.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recognises that this piece of unzoned land represents an underutilised site, located in a prime urban area. It is considered however, that this area is already well served by open space due to the proximity to the Grand Canal, and that the site represents an appropriate opportunity for a small infill development, that would provide much needed housing in the area and provide enclosure and surveillance of the streetscape.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed.

It is considered that the site would be that the site would be more appropriate as an infill residential site.

See also motion Dynamics Ref. MOT 01256.



## **Chapter 14: Land Use Zoning**

## **Motions Referring to Chapter 14: Land Use Zoning**

### **Motion 255. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01196**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Carolyn Moore

Supporting Political Party: Green Party - Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

#### **Motion**

To rezone the area of land beside the Old County Road Health Care Centre from Z1 Sustainable Residential Neighbourhoods, back to Z9 Amenity/open space/green network.

#### **Planning Reason**

To protect and develop green spaces; to meet the plan's stated objective in 10.4 of achieving a healthy green, connected city and more sustainable neighbourhoods; to ensure equitable access to quality green spaces across the city; and to use this space to contribute to the provision of a network of multifunctional green spaces in an area with a deficit of quality green spaces.

#### **Chief Executive's Response**

The importance of this site as a local open space is acknowledged by the CE and, therefore, it is proposed to amend the zoning to Z9.

#### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

To rezone the area of land beside the Old County Road Health Care Centre from Z1 Sustainable Residential Neighbourhoods, to Z9 Amenity/Open Space/Green Network.



**Motion 256. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01208**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Claire Byrne

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

**Motion**

Chapter 14 14.7.5 P.483 'That the small plot of land at the junction of Georges St and Dame Lane (at the Why Go Bald Sign) remains zoned as Z9'.

**Planning Reason**

To protect the pocket park here to provide more places to sit in the City Centre.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It should be noted that this parcel of Z9 land has not been amended in the Draft Development Plan. It remains zoned Z9.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion as the land is zoned Z9 in the Draft Plan.

**Motion 257. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01102**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Patricia Roe

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

**Motion**

I propose that the area of land, currently occupied by vacant warehouses and in public ownership (Dept. Of Justice), adjacent to Santry Garda Station, Shanowen Road, Santry, Dublin 9 be rezoned from current zoning Z6 to Z4 District Centre.

**Planning Reason**

The site is bounded by Shanowen Road to the south, Santry Garda station and Shanliss Road to the east, Shanliss Road to the North and Shanowen Crescent / Shanard Road (houses backing onto site) to the west.

**Reason:**

To provide space for much needed leisure/recreational amenities such as an indoor skate park, gym, dance studio, children's indoor play area etc. Santry/Whitehall Incl Omni SC have been designated a KUV in the draft plan. This site would tie in with that objective of providing some community amenities which are currently unavailable have been/will be lost. Santry village, immediately to the north of this site had been the subject of several large residential developments in past few years, which further development to some at Santry Hall Ind Estate site, resulting in the loss of amenities from the area, e.g. Swiss Cottage pub and when SH Ind estate is developed Bodydynamics gym, PACE workshops, tilers, pottery maker and other small businesses. Provision of an indoor skate park would be an addition for teenagers from many areas as the city does not yet have one; Santry / Whitehall / Beaumont does not boast a library, pool, skatepark, arts centre, small theatre, gallery, pool/billiard hall or work hub. The area's population is set to increase dramatically with the current and future rezoning in Santry and this site would be far better utilised to provide some of the amenities outlined above, and which would complement the aims of a KUV. In my view it is not suitable for further residential development due to its location and the existing problems with traffic exiting onto main arteries from the area i.e. Swords Road to the east and Collins Ave via Shanowen Avenue to the south.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment to the proposed zoning objective.

Under the Draft Plan, Z4 zoned land largely relates to the Key Urban Villages. To designate the subject parcel of land as Z4 would significantly increase the overall Z4 zoning at Santry. Santry village, including the Omni Shopping Centre is already a large district centre level, Key Urban Village and it is considered that such a significant increase in the extent of the Z4 zoning objective would be contrary to the Regional Social and Economic Strategy's (RSES) retail hierarchy.

However, it is acknowledged that there has been a loss of community and commercial uses in the Santry area as a result of various recent development proposals. It is noted that under

the Z1 zoning proposed for the site in the draft plan, that a range of community uses would be permissible in principle.

However, in order to address the concerns raised in the motion, it is proposed that a Z10 - Inner Suburban and Inner City Sustainable Mixed Uses zoning objective may be a more appropriate zoning objective as this would facilitate a more mixed use approach and commercial development on the site.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Rezone lands bounded by Shanowen Road to the south, Shanliss Road to the east and north and Shanowen Crescent / Shanard Road (houses backing onto site) to the west

From:

Z1 (as proposed under the Draft Development Plan).

To:

Z10 - Inner Suburban and Inner City Sustainable Mixed Uses.

**Motion 258. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01101**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Sophie Nicoullaud

Co-sponsors: Cllr Christy Burke, Cllr Noeleen Reilly, Cllr Cieran Perry, Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

**Motion**

That data centres shall not be open for consideration under Z6.

**Planning Reason**

Not compatible with policy and objective of Z6. Z6 land zone has been identified with the potential to accommodate more intense land uses within the existing built fabric of the city over the short, medium and long term. Z6 are for intensification for infill, brownfield and underutilised land to be fully exploited. To enhance the focus on promoting employment and providing for a wide range of local services on the city remaining Z6. Ch 14.7.6 states that "it is important that these remaining Z6 zoned lands provide for intensive employment and accommodate a wide range of local services." Data centres are not compatible with the objective of Z6 for a high quality physical environment, coherent urban structure, landscape protection, public open space. Compared to the size of data centres, with their increasing in time, the ratio of staff/employment is very low and does not make for a sustainable and progressive consolidation and development of these lands. Data centre do not offer an incentive employment therefore do not comply with Z6 land use.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Data centres are identified in a limited number of zoning categories in the Draft Plan, i.e. two land -use zoning objectives – Z6 and Z7. Z7 allows data centres as a permissible use and within Z6, it is an open for consideration use. This is considered a reasonable approach, given that both of these zoning objectives relate to employment generating land uses.

There is also strict criteria for assessing data centres as set out under section 15.14.14, page 573, which highlights nine points of assessment.

It is considered inappropriate to omit data centres from the Z6 zoning category, which is what is proposed by the motion. As per the criteria set out in section 14.3.1 of the Draft Plan, an open for consideration use is one which may be permitted where the planning authority is satisfied that the proposed development would be compatible with the overall policies and objectives for the zone, would not have undesirable effects on the permitted uses, and would otherwise be consistent with the proper and sustainable development of the area. This criteria, in addition to those set out under section 15.14.14, are considered sufficiently robust to ensure the appropriate development of data centres in the city over the life of the plan.

In line with the sentiment of the motion however, it is proposed to amend the wording of the introductory paragraph on Data Centres section 15.14.14.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend the wording of the introductory paragraph on Data Centres section 15.14.14, page 573 as follows:

From:

“DCC will support the provision of data centre in appropriate locations on a case by case basis”.

To:

“DCC will only consider data centre in appropriate locations on a case by case basis”.

**Motion 259. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01209**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Claire Byrne

Supporting Political Party: Green Party - Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

**Motion**

14.7.5 P.483: To include 'green space' and 'pocket parks' as permissible uses in Z5.

**Planning Reason**

To create more Green infrastructure and more places to sit in the City Centre.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Open Space is a permissible use under the Z5 zoning objective, as per the Draft Development Plan. Rather than including all types of sub category of open space within the zoning matrix, it is proposed that the definition of Open Space in Appendix 15, page 377 of Volume 2 of the Draft Development Plan will be amended to include green space and pocket parks as part of the open space definition.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend definition of Open Space in Appendix 15, page 377 of Volume 2

From:

Open Space

“Any land (active or passive use), including water bodies such as rivers and canals, whether enclosed or not, on which there are no buildings, (or not more than 5 per cent is covered with buildings), and the remainder of which is laid out as a garden/ community garden or for the purposes of recreation, or lies vacant, waste or unoccupied. It also includes beaches, school playing fields, sports pitches, playgrounds, urban farms, forests, allotments and outdoor civic spaces, passive play areas and outdoor exercise facilities”.

To:

Open Space

“Any land (active or passive use), including water bodies such as rivers and canals, whether enclosed or not, on which there are no buildings, (or not more than 5 per cent is covered with buildings), and the remainder of which is laid out as a garden/community garden or for the purposes of recreation, or lies vacant, waste or unoccupied. It also includes beaches, school playing fields, sports pitches, playgrounds, urban farms, forests, allotments and outdoor civic spaces, green space, pocket parks, passive play areas and outdoor exercise facilities”.

See also motion 249 (MOT-01211) and 260 (MOT-01210).

**Motion 260. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01210**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Claire Byrne

Supporting Political Party: Green Party - Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

**Motion**

14.7.5 P.483: To include 'green space' and 'pocket parks' as permissible uses in Z5.

**Planning Reason**

To create more Green infrastructure and pocket parks and more places to sit in the City Centre.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Open Space is a permissible use under the Z5 zoning objective, as per the Draft Development Plan. Rather than including all types of sub category of open space within the zoning matrix, it is proposed that the definition of Open Space in Appendix 15, page 377 of Volume 2 of the Draft Development Plan will be amended to include green space and pocket parks as part of the open space definition.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Insert new definition of Open Space, as set out on page 377 of Appendix 15 Land Use Definitions as follows:

From:

Open Space

“Any land (active or passive use), including water bodies such as rivers and canals, whether enclosed or not, on which there are no buildings, (or not more than 5 per cent is covered with buildings), and the remainder of which is laid out as a garden/ community garden or for the purposes of recreation, or lies vacant, waste or unoccupied. It also includes beaches, school playing fields, sports pitches, playgrounds, urban farms, forests, allotments and outdoor civic spaces, passive play areas and outdoor exercise facilities”.

To:

Open Space

“Any land (active or passive use), including water bodies such as rivers and canals, whether enclosed or not, on which there are no buildings, (or not more than 5 per cent is covered with buildings), and the remainder of which is laid out as a garden/community garden or for the purposes of recreation, or lies vacant, waste or unoccupied. It also includes beaches, school playing fields, sports pitches, playgrounds, urban farms, forests, allotments and outdoor civic spaces, green space, pocket parks, passive play areas and outdoor exercise facilities”.

See also motions 249 (MOT-01211) and 259 (MOT-01209).

**Motion 261. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01129**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

**Motion**

In the zoning definitions to remove from Z4 Betting Office.

**Planning Reason**

To promote sustainable communities and minimize the harm caused by gambling.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is noted that part of the rationale in support of the motion is not a planning matter.

Betting office is a highly restricted land use in the Development Plan and is generally only allowed in exceptional circumstances. There are very few zoning categories where this land use is considered permissible. Furthermore, it is noted that Chapter 15 section 15.14.9, page 570 sets out detailed criteria controlling betting office development. It states:

**15.14.9 Betting Shops/Adult Store**

“It is an objective of Dublin City Council to prevent an over concentration of betting offices / adult stores in the city, thereby, ensuring the number of units in a city street, district or neighbourhood centre is not disproportionate to the overall number of community facilities and shop units. The provision of betting offices / adult stores will be controlled having regard to the following, where appropriate:

- The need to safeguard the vitality and viability of shopping areas in the city and to maintain a suitable mix of retail uses.
- The number/frequency of such facilities in the area.
- The existing proliferation of similar retail service outlets in the area, such as internet cafés, call centres, take-aways, amusement arcades and car rentals.
- The effect on the amenities of the area by reason of noise, hours of operation and litter”.

It is considered that the optimal way to address this motion is move Betting Office as a use from 'permissible uses' to 'open for consideration use' under zoning objective Z4 - Key Urban Villages/ Urban Villages.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Under zoning objective Z4 - Key Urban Villages/ Urban Villages, page 482 of the draft development plan remove 'Betting Office' from Permissible Uses and insert 'Betting Office' to Open for Consideration Uses to read as follows:

**Z4 – Permissible Uses**

Amusement/leisure complex, assisted living/retirement home, bed and breakfast, buildings for the health, safety and welfare of the public, café/tearoom, car park, car trading, childcare



facility, civic offices, community facility, cultural/recreational building and uses, craft centre/ craft shop, delicatessen, education, embassy office, enterprise centre, financial institution, garden centre/ plant nursery, guesthouse, halting site, home-based economic activity, hostel (tourist), hotel, industry (light), live-work units, media-associated uses, medical and related consultants, mobility hub, motor sales showroom, office, off-licence, off-licence (part), open space, park and ride facility, petrol station, place of public worship, primary health care centre, public house, public service installation, recycling facility, residential, restaurant, science and technology-based industry, shop (district), shop (local), shop (neighbourhood), sports facility, take-away, training centre, veterinary surgery.

#### Z4 – Open for Consideration Uses

Advertisement and advertising structures, Betting Office, Build to Rent residential, civic and amenity/recycling centre, conference centre, embassy residential, funeral home, garage (motor repair/service), household fuel depot, internet café/call centre, laundromat, nightclub, office, outdoor poster advertising, postal hotel/motel, shop (factory shop), shop (major comparison), student accommodation, warehousing (retail/non-food)/retail park.

**Motion 262. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01338**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

**Motion**

Chapter:14, Section:14.7.6, Z6 - to insert 'artist workspaces' as a permissible use.

**Planning Reason**

Reason: to facilitate more artist workspaces in the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

'Creative and artistic enterprise and uses' is a permissible use under the Z6 zoning objective in the Draft Development Plan. However, to ensure clarity, the definition of this broad category, as provided for in Appendix 15 Land Use Definitions, will be amended to include 'artists' workspaces'.

It is proposed to amend the land use definition of Cultural, Creative and Artistic Enterprises and Uses (Creative Industries), page 372 of Volume 2 by inserting (including artists' workspaces) after the word Arts.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend the land use definition of Cultural, Creative and Artistic Enterprises and Uses (Creative Industries), page 372 of Volume 2.

From:

Cultural, Creative and Artistic Enterprises and Uses (Creative Industries)

"Those industries which have their origin in individual creativity, skill and talent and which have a potential for wealth and job creation through the generation and exploitation of intellectual property. It includes the creative sectors of: Advertising; Architecture; Arts and Antique Markets; Crafts; Design; Designer Fashion; Film, Video and Photography; Software, Computer Games and Electronic Publishing; Music and the Visual and Performing Arts; Publishing; Television and Radio".

To:

Cultural, Creative and Artistic Enterprises and Uses (Creative Industries)

"Those industries which have their origin in individual creativity, skill and talent and which have a potential for wealth and job creation through the generation and exploitation of intellectual property. It includes the creative sectors of: Advertising; Architecture; Arts (including artists' workspaces) and Antique Markets; Crafts; Design; Designer Fashion; Film, Video and Photography; Software, Computer Games and Electronic Publishing; Music and the Visual and Performing Arts; Publishing; Television and Radio".

**Motion 263. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01339**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

**Motion**

Chapter:14, Section:14.7.7, Z7 - to insert 'creative, cultural and green enterprise' and 'artist workspaces' as a permissible use.

**Planning Reason**

To foster creativity and green innovation in the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Z7 is a heavy industrial zone including Seveso sites and port related activities and has limited opportunity for other non-industrial land uses.

Notwithstanding this, it is acknowledged that it may be scope to accommodate Creative and Artistic Enterprises and Uses' in limited circumstances on such land. In this regard, it is recommended to amend the Z7 zoning objective to include 'Creative and Artistic Enterprises and Uses' as an open for consideration use under the Z7 zoning objective.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Insert 'Creative and Artistic Enterprises and Uses' after 'cultural/recreational building and uses' under the heading Z7 - Open for consideration Uses, page 486, to read as follows:

**Z7 – Open for Consideration Uses**

Advertisement and advertising structures, amusement/leisure complex, betting office, boarding kennel, buildings for the health, safety and welfare of the public, car park, car trading, community facility, crematorium, cultural/recreational building and uses, creative and artistic enterprises and uses, shop (factory shop), media-associated uses, public house, restaurant, take-away, training centre.

**Motion 264. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01340**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

**Motion**

Chapter:14, Section:14.7.9 Z9 - to insert the words 'creative spaces and artists workspaces' as open for consideration.

**Planning Reason**

To foster creativity and green innovation in the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

In screening the subject motion, the Floods Projects and Water Framework Directive Office have outlined that the objective of the Z9 zoning objective is '*To preserve, provide and improve recreational amenity and open space and green networks.*' They note that for many of DCC's pluvial projects, such open spaces are often the only areas available for flood retention. They also provide very natural flood retention for a local area with grass, plants and trees. They also improve air quality and the visual impact of an area. They advise that developments in these areas should generally be water compatible, promote biodiversity and natural green infrastructure. Therefore, the inclusion of 'creative spaces and artists workspaces' as an open for consideration use under this zoning is not considered appropriate.

Furthermore, Z9 is a highly protective policy and it is the intent of the plan to preserve these lands for primarily open space and recreational purposes.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed in order to preserve the primary function of open space as green areas and natural flood retention areas.

**Motion 265. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01130**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

**Motion**

To remove from the permitted uses of Z4 Amusement/leisure complex.

**Planning Reason**

To promote a good quality of development in urban villages.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The definition of an amusement/leisure complex is provided under Appendix 15 land use definitions, page 369 as follows:

Amusement/ leisure Complex

“A building, or part thereof, used for the playing of gaming machines, video games or other amusement machines as defined in Article 5 of the Planning and Development Regulations, 2001, as amended. It may also include a bowling alley, quasar complex, pool or snooker hall, or children’s indoor play centre”.

The Council wishes to encourage uses such as bowling alleys, children’s play centres etc. in urban villages where they are easily accessible to local communities and provide a form of indoor recreation. The motion as proposed would inhibit the development of such land uses which would not be in the interests of creating sustainable communities.

Furthermore, detailed guidance on amusement arcades is covered under section 15.14.10, page 571. This details that the Council aims to prevent an over concentration of such facilities in any one area and that such arcades are not permitted in residential areas. It is considered that this policy is sufficiently robust without any further change to the zoning objectives.

**15.14.10 Amusement Centres/ Events**

“Amusement centres will not be permitted in residential areas and will only be appropriate in mixed-use areas where the proposed use is in keeping with both the scale of the building and the pattern of development in the area. It is an objective of Dublin City Council to prevent an excessive concentration of amusement centres”.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the matter is sufficiently addressed in the Draft Plan.

**Motion 266. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01150**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats; Sinn Féin; People Before Profit

Co-sponsors: Cllr Daithí Doolan, Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

**Motion**

P492 14.7.15 Motion to add an additional zoning Z16 "To seek the social, economic, and physical development and/or rejuvenation of an area with mixed-use, the primary objective of which would be the delivery of affordable housing and employment". The new Z16 zoning would require a master plan for all sites rezoned to Z16. The master plan would be developed in full consultation with DCC, the National Transport Authority, Transport Infrastructure Ireland, the Department of Education and Skills, representative Enterprise and Employment bodies, Irish Water, and the local community. The land uses of the new Z16 zoning would be defined as follows: 30% Employment 10% High Quality Recreational Open Space 10% Community and/or Cultural Amenities 10% Private Residential 40% Social and Affordable residential – to be comprised of a mix of social housing, affordable purchase, affordable rental and senior citizen's housing with affordability to be defined by the Dublin City Council Housing SPC with the approval of the full council.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure the residential mix includes affordable housing and that the area keeps employment and gains high quality open green space.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Section 10 (2) (a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 as amended, sets out the specific requirements with regard to zoning and states:

" (a) the zoning of land for the use solely or primarily of particular area for particular purposes (whether residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, recreational, as open space, or otherwise, or a mixture of these uses) and to such an extent as the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, in the opinion of the planning authority, requires the uses to be indicated."

It is clear, therefore, that the purpose of land use zoning is a spatial exercise to set out the appropriateness of a land parcel for a particular land use. It is not the purpose of land use zoning to set out prescribed housing tenure mix/ownership or specific/rigid percentages of a particular land use.

Furthermore, with regard to social and affordable housing, the legislative provisions regarding provision of same are set out under Part V of the Planning and Development Act. The Development Plan cannot circumvent national legislation in this regard, to require a higher level of provision of social and affordable housing on privately owned land.

It is also considered that this motion is inappropriate as it may undermine the broader objectives of the Draft Plan to promote integrated communities with a broad range of tenures and housing mix.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed.

Outside the scope of the Development Plan.

See also motions 297 (MOT-01151), 298 (MOT-01152), 299 (MOT-01153) and 300 (MOT-01154).

**Motion 267. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01336**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

**Motion**

Chapter:14, Section: 14.7.5, Page:483 Z5 - remove "Build to Rent Residential" from "Z5 – Permissible Uses" and move to "Z5 – Open for Consideration Uses".

**Planning Reason**

Build to Rent does not foster the development of sustainable residential communities and there is already overconcentration of build to rent in the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The city centre, generally covered by a Z5 zoning objective, is only one of two zoning objectives that provides for Build to Rent residential as a permissible use within the city.

Build to Rent accommodation is supported by Sustainable Urban Housing: Design Standards for New Apartments published in 2020 by the Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage. The guidelines state that "these types of housing developments also have a potential role to play in providing choice and flexibility to people and in supporting economic growth and access to jobs in Ireland. They can provide a viable long term housing solution to households where home-ownership may not be a priority, such as people starting out on their careers and who frequently move between countries in the pursuance of career and skills development in the modern knowledge-based economy".

BTR, therefore, forms an essential and important part of the housing market. In this regard, it is appropriate that the Development Plan provides for this form of development in appropriate locations that are well served by good public transport provision.

The Draft Plan proposes detailed policy regarding BTR development under Policy QHSN38 in Chapter 5 and Chapter 15 section 15.10. Applications for BTR will be considered in accordance with the zoning objectives set out in Chapter 14 and the corresponding policies in Chapter 5 and 15.

It is considered that the Z5 zoning objective is an appropriate location for this form of residential tenure. The city centre is a highly accessible location with close proximity to existing and planned public transport. It is also a location that is proximate to centres of employment as well as more a more transient workforce working in the knowledge based economy.

Built to Rent residential, is a typology that can be part of a mixed tenure approach and is considered appropriate as a permissible use under this zoning objective subject to compliance with the criteria set out under QHSN38 and section 15.10.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as BTR has a role in the housing market and z5 is a suitable zoning objective to accommodate BTR due to its accessibility.

See also motion 268 (MOT-01337).



**Motion 268. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01337**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

**Motion**

Chapter: 14, Section: 14.7.13, Page: 491 Z14 - remove "Build to Rent residential" from "Z14 – Permissible Uses" and move to "Z14 – Open for Consideration Uses".

**Planning Reason**

Build to Rent does not foster the development of sustainable residential communities and there is already overconcentration of build to rent in the City.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The SDRA regeneration lands, generally covered by a Z14 zoning objective, is only one of two zoning objectives that provides for Build to Rent residential as a permissible use within the city.

Build to Rent accommodation is supported by Sustainable Urban Housing: Design Standards for New Apartments published in 2020 by the Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage. The guidelines state that "these types of housing developments also have a potential role to play in providing choice and flexibility to people and in supporting economic growth and access to jobs in Ireland. They can provide a viable long term housing solution to households where home-ownership may not be a priority, such as people starting out on their careers and who frequently move between countries in the pursuance of career and skills development in the modern knowledge-based economy".

BTR, therefore, forms an essential and important part of the housing market. In this regard, it is appropriate that the Development Plan provides for this form of development in appropriate locations that are well served by good public transport provision.

The Draft Plan proposes detailed policy regarding BTR development under Policy QHSN38 in Chapter 5 and Chapter 15 section 15.10. Applications for BTR will be considered in accordance with the zoning objectives set out in Chapter 14 and the corresponding policies in Chapter 5 and 15.

It is considered that the Z14 zoning objective, is an appropriate location for this form of residential tenure. Z14 lands are in highly accessible locations with close proximity to existing and planned public transport. They are also areas that are either proximate to or planned for significant economic and employment development.

The specific nature of a Z14 - Strategic Development and Regeneration Areas (SDRAs) - zoning objective is such that regeneration needs a mixed tenure approach. Built to Rent residential, is one such typology that can be part of a mixed tenure approach and is considered appropriate as a permissible use under this zoning objective subject to compliance with the criteria set out under QHSN38 and section 15.10.

The specific nature of a Z14 - Strategic Development and Regeneration Areas (SDRAs) - zoning objective is such that regeneration needs a mixed tenure approach. Built to Rent

residential, supported by the Government, is one such typology that can be part of a mixed tenure approach to this specific Z14 zoning objective, which generally covers a large area with the capacity to absorb a variety of different tenures.

It is, therefore, considered appropriate that this use - Build to Rent Residential - remains a permissible use on Z14 zoned lands.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE not to agree the motion as BTR has a role in the housing market and Z5 is a suitable zoning objective to accommodate BTR due to its regeneration function.

See also motion 267 (MOT-01336).

**Motion 269. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01372**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 14 - Land Use Zoning

**Motion**

That the members resolve that the Zoning of the remaining ground floor area of 234 sq. m. on the ground floor site at the corner of Belmayne Avenue and Main Street Belmayne be amended to facilitate its use as a gym (as proposed under Planning application 2908/21) and/or the definition of Community Facility in Appendix 21.1 be expanded/amended to include facilities where non-profit community gain elements are included as is the case here (see below).

**Planning Reason**

Under the current Development Plan the site is zoned Z14 'Strategic Development and Regeneration Areas': 'To seek the social, economic and physical development and/or rejuvenation of an area with mixed use, of which residential and 'Z6' would be the predominant uses'.

It is noted that a community facility is defined in Appendix 21 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2016-2022 as "A building or part thereof used for (community) activities organised primarily by the local community and to which the public may be admitted on payment of a charge or free of charge". The planners have determined that the use of the area as a gym could not be described as a Community Facility.

The foregoing determination is debatable and it should be noted that Community Facility in Appendix 21.1 is defined (as above) in less than five lines and is subject of another Motion by me to expand this definition so that there can be less ambiguity as to what constitutes a community facility.

Finally, the gym being proposed for this floor space is being provided by experienced gym operators from the local area who have the full backing of the block management, of many apartment owners and of course all those local people that wish to have a gym in their neighbourhood. The gym offering would include the F45 prodigy program which caters to the teenage population and would be offered as a non-profit making addition, giving back to the local youths and community as a whole.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The matter is not considered a zoning issue. Under the Draft Development Plan as circulated to Councillors in June 2021, the site is proposed to be re-zoned to Z1 (previously Z14), to reflect the largely built out nature of residential development on these lands. Under this land use zoning objective, a '*sports facility*' is a permissible use and the definition of a sports facility includes for a gym, as provided for under Land Use Definitions, Appendix 15 - see page 380 volume 2 of the Draft Development Plan, as circulated to Councillors June 2021. The definition of sports facility has been updated in the Draft Plan.

Any specific planning application for such a land use will be considered on its merits as part of the development process and that Section 10 of the Planning Act makes clear that zoning

pertains to broad land use categories, therefore, the motion is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the land is zoned appropriately in the Draft Plan.

## **Chapter 15: Development Standards**

## Motions Referring to Chapter 15: Development Standards

### Motion 270. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01189

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Chapter 15 - Development Standards

#### Motion

That this Council recognises the inclusion of section 15.4.4 Inclusivity and Accessibility in Vol 1: Written Statement (Draft) 16.09.2021 and in addition wishes to include an Appendices Chapter 'Access for All' in Vol 2: Appendices, Dublin City Development Plan 2022-2028. The following to be included in this Appendix and further items may be added before the final draft is approved.

All planning applications for retail / commercial developments are to include the following:

- A place to park adjacent to the building or complex for passengers with disabilities / mobility issues as well as for drivers with disabilities / mobility issues.
- Dished or level crossings at all traffic junctions and the use of tactile paving and audible signals, where appropriate.
- Parking bays which are sufficiently wide to allow access for wheelchairs.
- A route from a parking place to the building that is level or ramped and unimpeded by steps.
- A visible, accessible entrance-way and door to the building – not a separate 'disabled' entrance – which is easy to distinguish and must be under cover (revolving doors and frame-less glass doors are considered to be hazardous).
- Sign-posting for the buildings which is legible and well-illuminated, with lettering and numerals on doors at eye level.
- Pedestrian routes in open spaces or between buildings which are free from obstructions, pathways which are wide enough for people who use wheelchairs i.e. 2000mm /Irish Wheelchair Association Best Practice Guidelines, and surfaces which are slip resistant.
- In the case of changes in level, shallow ramps in addition to steps and stairways which are clearly marked and equipped with handrails.
- The careful siting of bollards, gully gratings and signposts to avoid hazards.
- Public toilets for people with disabilities which are sited so that they are accessible and usable. Large scale developments are required to install 'Changing Places' toilets.
- Floor surfaces inside the building which are slip-resistant, and where there are changes in level, ramps as well as steps or stairways which are clearly marked.
- Where a building is multi-storey, a lift large enough for a wheelchair and a minimum of one other person with controls that are usable from a seated position to serve all main circulation areas which provide facilities.
- Clear sign-posting and usability of amenities e.g. lavatories and telephone.
- The improvement of access to existing buildings and their surroundings as opportunities arise, through alterations, extensions and changes of use.
- An explanation of how surrounding roads, footpaths and sight-lines will be linked.
- Illustrations of access to and access within the building itself.

- Diagrams showing how people can move to and through the place –including vehicles, bikes and pedestrians.
- Description of how levels change within the public spaces, including pavement and dropped kerbs.
- Specifications to show that disabled people will not be segregated but will be able to move around within a building at all levels and use the same entrances, corridors and rooms as everyone else without a detour.
- Details of how access for the emergency services will be provided.
- Where appropriate with a building, sign-posting, illustrations and diagrams to inform the public in accessible formats for people with impaired vision.
- Landscape design which takes into account the needs of people with disabilities i.e. pathways should not be encroached upon and the future possibility of low overhanging branches should be avoided. All specimen trees should be selected with consideration for people with disabilities e.g. root damage to surfaces and over-hanging branches etc.

### **Planning Reason**

To ensure that in planning context full consideration and attention is given to the needs of people with disabilities. There is often a need to be more prescriptive in planning matters when it comes to disability and access and for that reason, Inclusivity and Accessibility warrants a section in both Vol 1 and Vol 2 of the Development Plan.

### **Chief Executive's Response**

The matters listed in the motion are addressed throughout the Draft Plan, so that they are integrated into all of the relevant matters for consideration within each topic. However, in the interests of clarity all these elements are brought together for ease of reference. Therefore, it is recommended the motion be incorporated into Appendix 5 Transport and Mobility: Technical Requirements and referenced in Section 15.4.4 of the Development Plan Volume 1- Written Statement.

### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

It is recommended that the following additional text to be added to Appendix 5.

All planning applications for retail / commercial developments are to include the following:

- A place to park adjacent to the building or complex for passengers with disabilities / mobility issues as well as for drivers with disabilities / mobility issues.
- Dished or level crossings at all traffic junctions and the use of tactile paving and audible signals, where appropriate.
- Parking bays which are sufficiently wide to allow access for wheelchairs.
- A route from a parking place to the building that is level or ramped and unimpeded by steps.
- A visible, accessible entrance-way and door to the building – not a separate 'disabled' entrance – which is easy to distinguish and must be under cover (revolving doors and frame-less glass doors are considered to be hazardous).

- Sign-posting for the buildings which is legible and well-illuminated, with lettering and numerals on doors at eye level.
- Pedestrian routes in open spaces or between buildings which are free from obstructions, pathways which are wide enough for people who use wheelchairs i.e. 2000mm /Irish Wheelchair Association Best Practice Guidelines, and surfaces which are slip resistant.
- In the case of changes in level, shallow ramps in addition to steps and stairways which are clearly marked and equipped with handrails.
- The careful siting of bollards, gully gratings and signposts to avoid hazards.
- Public toilets for people with disabilities which are sited so that they are accessible and usable. Large scale developments are required to install 'Changing Places' toilets.
- Floor surfaces inside the building which are slip-resistant, and where there are changes in level, ramps as well as steps or stairways which are clearly marked.
- Where a building is multi-storey, a lift large enough for a wheelchair and a minimum of one other person with controls that are usable from a seated position to serve all main circulation areas which provide facilities.
- Clear sign-posting and usability of amenities e.g. lavatories and telephone.
- The improvement of access to existing buildings and their surroundings as opportunities arise, through alterations, extensions and changes of use.
- An explanation of how surrounding roads, footpaths and sight-lines will be linked.
- Illustrations of access to and access within the building itself.
- Diagrams showing how people can move to and through the place –including vehicles, bikes and pedestrians.
- Description of how levels change within the public spaces, including pavement and dropped kerbs.
- Specifications to show that disabled people will not be segregated but will be able to move around within a building at all levels and use the same entrances, corridors and rooms as everyone else without a detour.
- Details of how access for the emergency services will be provided.
- Where appropriate with a building, sign-posting, illustrations and diagrams to inform the public in accessible formats for people with impaired vision.
- Landscape design which takes into account the needs of people with disabilities i.e. pathways should not be encroached upon and the future possibility of low overhanging branches should be avoided. All specimen trees should be selected with consideration for people with disabilities e.g. root damage to surfaces and over-hanging branches etc.



**Motion 271. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01107**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Declan Meenagh

Co-sponsors: Cllr Joe Costello

Refers to: Chapter 15 - Development Standards

**Motion**

Page 575, 15.14.16, ATM: Add Only ATMs which can be independently operated by blind people may be installed.

**Planning Reason**

To comply with obligations under the disability act and the UN CRPD.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE agrees that ATM machines should be designed to facilitate use by the visually impaired and considers that this motion should be recommended with some revisions to the wording. Recommended to amend the standards for ATM to include amended last bullet point in section 15.14.16.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend the standards for ATM to include amend last bullet point in section 15.14.16

From:

"the design and location must be such that they are accessible to all, having regard to the universal design"

To:

"the design and location must be such that they are accessible to all, having regard to the universal design principles including those specifically for the visually impaired".

**Motion 272. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01127**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 15 - Development Standards

**Motion**

Pages 571-572, 15.14.12, Night Clubs/Licensed Premises/Casinos/ Private Member Clubs  
Add It is important to minimise the impacts of gambling so no advertising of casinos/members clubs which encourages gambling will be permitted on the front of these buildings.

**Planning Reason**

To promote a safe and sustainable night time economy and to minimise the impact of gambling.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Part of the motion reason relates to a social issue to minimise the impacts of gambling which is not a Development Plan matter. However, it is considered that there are planning merits in controlling this form of advertisement through the planning process. It is the recommendation of the CE to amend Section 15.14.12 to include the following additional text - therefore, there will be a general presumption against inappropriate advertising for casinos / gambling/ members clubs.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend section 15.14.12, page 571-2 as follows in two locations

(1) page 571, new bullet point

- To minimise the impact and street presence of casinos / members clubs. Therefore, there will be a general presumption against inappropriate advertising for casinos / gambling/ members clubs.

(2) page 572, new bullet point associated with the sentence stating "Matters that shall be taken into account by the planning authority in assessing planning proposals for these uses and extensions to such uses include, but are not limited to the following":

- Proposed signage.

See also motion 130 (MOT-01131).

**Motion 273. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01159**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Chapter 15 - Development Standards

**Motion**

Include on page 503, addendum to Table 15.1 Thresholds for Planning Applications Resident Monitoring Committee Report, 100 or more residential units or 3,000 sq. meters or more commercial And on page 504, new section 15.2.6 Dublin City Council will, when granting planning permission, attach conditions requiring establishment of Resident Monitoring Committees, for developments consisting of 100 or more residential units or 3,000 sq. meters or more commercial, said structures to include developer and resident representatives, to create a process of dialogue, communication and resolution throughout the development phase.

**Planning Reason**

Having regard to the sustainable development of existing communities, to facilitate progression of development by avoiding unnecessary conflict, to mitigate the impact of intense development on existing residential communities, to better engage communities in the planning and development process, to foster a culture of dialogue and conflict resolution in development.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that Resident Monitoring Committees are an operational matter during the construction phase of a project. However, it is acknowledged that this issue could be addressed as part of good construction management. It is considered that the most appropriate policy context within which to address this issue is Section 15.18.1 of the Draft Development Plan which sets out requirements for Construction Management Plans which are typically conditioned as part of the planning application process. The Draft Plan notes that as part of the Construction Management Plan, applicants / developers must set out a clear timeline for the development, and set out details of the relevant on site contact for liaison with surrounding residents and businesses. This on site contact will act as the point of contact with the local residents and businesses for the duration of the construction on site and address issues such as complaints and good neighbour practices. The details of the contact are required to be set out in the Construction Management Plan. To give further clarity to this issue it is recommended that additional text will be added to amend last bullet point in section 15.18.1.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended to amend Section 15.18.1 to include the following additional text:

"For large construction projects, details of the site contact should be circulated to the local community, and where appropriate resident monitoring committees established for the duration of the project in order to promote best construction management and considered construction practices to protect the amenities of adjacent properties."

**Motion 274. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01099**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Sophie Nicoullaud

Co-sponsors:

Cllr Vincent Jackson

Refers to: Chapter 15 - Development Standards

**Motion**

15.14.14 Data Centres: To remove the sentence "Potential sites (for data centres) should have good access to major transport links".

**Planning Reason**

Data centres have by nature low employment. Sites with good access to major transport links needs to be kept for high employment industries, housing for example. For as high of a return as possible on transport infrastructure investment. Having good access to a major transport link is not part of the list of points to be considered in accessing applications for data centres. As stated in the Draft Plan in chapter 15.14.14, being next to a source of renewable energy and multiple source of energy is more important including strategic communications infrastructures such as grid connection, fibre optic cables.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Data centres are developments with the potential to create employment both during their construction and once operational, and, therefore, it is important that data centres are accessible by both road and public transport. It would be contrary to the proper planning and development of the area to locate these centres in areas with poor transport accessibility.

Data centres are often situated in locations with other similar employment generating developments, which collectively require access to major transportation routes. In land use zoning terms, data centres are open for consideration on lands zoned Z6 (Employment/Enterprise) and are permissible on lands zoned Z7 (Employment – Heavy). Both of these lands use zonings relate to uses which generate significant levels of employment in a given area, and as a result, should have good access to major transportation routes where feasible.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as connectivity is important for all employment locations in the city.

**Motion 275. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01128**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Chapter 15 - Development Standards

**Motion**

Pages 571-572, 15.14.12, Night Clubs/Licenced Premises/Casinos/ Private Member Clubs  
There will be a presumption against Casinos/members clubs which allow gambling.

**Planning Reason**

To promote a safe and sustainable night time economy and to minimise the impact of gambling.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The prohibition and control of gambling is not a planning matter and is not regulated through the Development Plan process. All developments must be considered on their merits having regard to the appropriate policy context for the area. It is considered that there is sufficient guidance and standards set out in Section 15.14.12 to regulate the development of casinos / members clubs in an appropriate context. It is the recommendation of the CE to not agree this motion as it is considered outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Motion 276. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01190**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Chapter 15 - Development Standards

**Motion**

That a dedicated Accessibility / Inclusivity report is produced by DCC staff (akin to a Parks Report or a Drainage report) in respect of planning applications. This report will assist DCC's Planning Officer when making a planning decision / applying conditions etc. Presently, Parks reports or Drainage reports for planning application purposes are made by specialist personnel within DCC, the same should apply to an Accessibility / Inclusivity report.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure proper and specialist knowledge accessibility / inclusivity reports are produced for DCC Planners to aid their decision making process.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Issues of disabled access are dealt with under Part M of the Building Control Regulations which is a separate legislative code and outside the scope of the Development Plan. The Draft Plan contains a suite of policies and objectives to promote enhanced accessibility. See in particular chapters 5, 7, 15 and Appendix 5.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it outside the scope of the Development Plan.

**Motion 277. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01231**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Michael Macdonncha

Refers to: Chapter 15 - Development Standards

**Motion**

Reword 15.8.9 as follows; 15.8.9 Naming of Residential Estates All new street and development names shall reflect local historical, heritage or cultural associations and the basic generic description (i.e., Court, Quay, Road, etc.) must be appropriate. The planning authority will approve the naming of residential developments in order to avoid confusion with similar names in other locations. Developers shall agree a scheme's name, which shall be in the Irish language, with the planning authority, prior to commencement of development, and the name selected shall be installed on site. Internal and external street/road signage must be in both the Irish and English languages, or, for newly named developments, in Irish only. All unit numbers must be visible.

**Planning Reason**

To better reflect the support of the City Council for the Irish language.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Dublin City Council currently has a well-established approach in the names of new developments whereby the name must be justified based on the heritage of the local area. All names of new developments are translated into Irish and this is supplied to the developer; and must be used on official street names plaques. However, it has been noticed that where developers erect their own place names (such as engraved pillars etc.) the Irish version is often not included. The Draft Plan includes an objective of requiring all names to be displayed in both languages to rectify this failing (Objective CUO47, Section 12.5.6, page 359). This objective also addresses the point raised in the motion, stating:

"to review the process of agreeing names of new developments and to ensure a revised approach so that the Irish language names are prioritised and encouraged; whilst also reflecting the rich diversity of history and origins of place names and townland names within Dublin and also names that are reflective of the social history of each place. All place names installed for new streets or estates must be bi-lingual."

The historical geography of Dublin has seen millennia of different settlers, all of which have left their mark in the names of places; with a mix of Viking, Gaelic, Anglo-Norman and other names (such as those associated with particular trades/activities) making up the fabric of place naming in the City. The Council endeavours to ensure that these rich and wide variety of traditional names are available to be preserved in any new development naming, so evocative names with history like Marrowbone, Blackpitts, Misery Hill and Washerwoman's are preserved alongside Irish names.

It is considered that Objective CUO47 already provides an appropriate response to the motion and also provides for a review of the naming process during the lifetime of the Plan.

### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is considered that Objective CUO47 already provides an appropriate response to the motion and also provides for a review of the naming process during the lifetime of the Plan.

See also motions 244 (MOT-01230), 278 (MOT-01375) and (MOT-01374).



**Motion 278. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01375**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 15 - Development Standards

**Motion**

That the Development Plan will actively advocate and promote the naming of new housing estates/development in Irish only, in order to encourage the use and promotion of the Irish language.

**Planning Reason**

To promote and encourage the use of the Irish language in the city.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Dublin City Council currently has a well-established approach in the names of new developments whereby the name must be justified based on the heritage of the local area. All names of new developments are translated into Irish and this is supplied to the developer; and must be used on official street names plaques. However, it has been noticed that where developers erect their own place names (such as engraved pillars etc.) the Irish version is often not included. The Draft Plan includes an objective of requiring all names to be displayed in both languages to rectify this failing (Objective CUO47, Section 12.5.6, page 359). This objective also addresses the point raised in the motion, stating:

"to review the process of agreeing names of new developments and to ensure a revised approach so that the Irish language names are prioritised and encouraged; whilst also reflecting the rich diversity of history and origins of place names and townland names within Dublin and also names that are reflective of the social history of each place. All place names installed for new streets or estates must be bi-lingual."

The historical geography of Dublin has seen millennia of different settlers, all of which have left their mark in the names of places; with a mix of Viking, Gaelic, Anglo-Norman and other names (such as those associated with particular trades/activities) making up the fabric of place naming in the City. The Council endeavours to ensure that these rich and wide variety of traditional names are available to be preserved in any new development naming, so evocative names with history like Marrowbone, Blackpitts, Misery Hill and Washerwoman's are preserved alongside Irish names.

It is considered that Objective CUO47 already provides an appropriate response to the motion and also provides for a review of the naming process during the lifetime of the Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is considered that Objective CUO47 already provides an appropriate response to the motion and also provides for a review of the naming process during the lifetime of the Plan.

See also motions 244 (MOT-01230) 277 (MOT-01231) and 279 (MOT-01374).

**Motion 279. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01374**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 15 - Development Standards

**Motion**

That the Development Plan will include a policy that all office and commercial unit identification and numbering scheme and associated signage shall confirm that all related signage will be in the Irish language and English language on an equal basis.

**Planning Reason**

To promote and encourage the use of the Irish language in the city.

Dublin City Council currently has a well-established approach in the names of new developments whereby the name must be justified based on the heritage of the local area. All names of new developments are translated into Irish and this is supplied to the developer; and must be used on official street names plaques. However, it has been noticed that where developers erect their own place names (such as engraved pillars etc.) the Irish version is often not included. The Draft Plan includes an objective of requiring all names to be displayed in both languages to rectify this failing (Objective CUO47, Section 12.5.6, page 359). This objective also addresses the point raised in the motion, stating:

"to review the process of agreeing names of new developments and to ensure a revised approach so that the Irish language names are prioritised and encouraged; whilst also reflecting the rich diversity of history and origins of place names and townland names within Dublin and also names that are reflective of the social history of each place. All place names installed for new streets or estates must be bi-lingual."

The historical geography of Dublin has seen millennia of different settlers, all of which have left their mark in the names of places; with a mix of Viking, Gaelic, Anglo-Norman and other names (such as those associated with particular trades/activities) making up the fabric of place naming in the City. The Council endeavours to ensure that these rich and wide variety of traditional names are available to be preserved in any new development naming, so evocative names with history like Marrowbone, Blackpitts, Misery Hill and Washerwoman's are preserved alongside Irish names.

It is considered that Objective CUO47 already provides an appropriate response to the motion and also provides for a review of the naming process during the lifetime of the Plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is considered that Objective CUO47 already provides an appropriate response to the motion and also provides for a review of the naming process during the lifetime of the Plan.

See also motions 244 (MOT 01230), 277 (MOT-01231) and 278 (MOT-01375).

**Motion 280. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01287**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 15 - Development Standards

**Motion**

Chapter:15, Section:15.14.1., Page:564 to amend the opening sentence of 15.14.1 to read "To counter balance the recent over development of hotel the will be a general presumption against hotel and aparthotels, but may be considered on a case by case basis having regard to the location of the site and existing hotel provision in the area."

**Planning Reason**

To ensure a better mix of retail and land use and to promote sustainable development.

**Chief Executive's Response**

In Dublin, as in any city, hotels (and more recently aparthotels) form an integral part of the overall pattern of urban development. As a result, it would be wholly inappropriate to adopt a general presumption against such an established, accepted and necessary form of development.

It is also considered that the Draft Development Plan already provides for a robust approach to the consideration of applications for new hotel development which will take into the location of the site and existing hotel provision in the area.

With regard to the issue of the consideration of new hotel development, it is acknowledged in Section 6.5.6 of the Draft Plan, that in recent years the city has seen numerous applications for the development of new hotels and aparthotels and for the expansion of existing hotels in the city. However, it is not clear that increased levels of hotel and aparthotel planning applications and development does in fact represent an 'overdevelopment of hotels' at present.

What the increase in applications does clearly suggest however, is a strong demand for additional hotel and aparthotel accommodation for the city as a whole, as is borne out in recent Fáilte Ireland research into the supply of tourism accommodation in Dublin. It also clear that spatially, this demand is particularly pronounced in central areas of the city which are close to existing cultural and nightlife-based activities.

As discussed in Section 6.5.6 of the Plan, the overconcentration of hotel development in such areas is not desirable as it has the potential to undermine the overall objective to foster a rich and vibrant range of uses in the city centre.

It is for this reason that the Draft Plan includes a new policy CEE28 which states that the Council will consider applications for new development having regard to, amongst other things, "the existing and proposed mix of uses (including existing levels of tourism accommodation i.e. existing and permitted hotel, aparthotel and student accommodation uses) in the vicinity of any proposed development".

It also includes a requirement as set out in Section 15.14.1 'Hotels and Aparthotels' for applicants to submit to submit a report indicating all existing and proposed hotel and

aparthotel developments within a 500m catchment and justification that the development will not undermine the principles of achieving a balanced pattern of development in the area.

It should be noted, that both section 15.14.1 and policy CEE28 have has been amended in response to Motions 112 (MOT-01293) and 113 (MOT-01168).

Finally, given the complexity of this issue, the Draft Plan includes an objective CEE01 'Study on the Supply and Demand for Hotels, Aparthotels and Hostels' To carry out an analysis of the supply and demand for tourism related accommodation including hotels, aparthotels and hostels in the Dublin City area.

### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed.

See also motions 112 (MOT-01168) 113 (MOT-01293), 114 (MOT-01281), 115 (MOT-01282) and 116 (MOT-01283).

**Motion 281. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01288**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Chapter 15 - Development Standards

**Motion**

Chapter: 15, Section: 15.14.10, Page: 571 To include the wording "that there will be no development for further dog racing tracks".

**Planning Reason**

In the interest of proper planning.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The policy in the Draft Development Plan is sufficiently robust in relation to dog racing stating in Section 15.14.10 that "there will be a presumption against the development of further dog racing tracks in this city".

This section of the Draft Plan is considered to adequately regulate the future development of dog racing tracks in the city, with one existing track considered sufficient to serve the city. It is not considered appropriate to provide additional wording to prohibit such development. All applications must be considered on their merit in accordance with the principles of proper planning and sustainable development.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed.

Refer to Section 15.14.10 of the Draft Plan.

**Motion 282. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01379**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Chapter 15 - Development Standards

**Motion**

That the Development Plan should address specific provisions to encourage “living over the shop” and acknowledge that this type of accommodation will require specific planning provisions given the lack of success of the initiative to date.

**Planning Reason**

To promote over the shop living.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Section 15.13.6 of the plan sets out specific provisions for applications for "living over the shop". Applications for the refurbishment and reuse of these buildings for residential accommodation will be supported and actively pursued subject to suitability of location and standard of accommodation provided. The policy approach to encourage living over the shop is, therefore, sufficiently encouraged and robustly addressed in the plan.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the matter is sufficiently addressed in the Draft Plan. Refer to Section 15.13.6 of the Draft Plan.

**Motion 283. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01221**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Cieran Perry

Refers to: Chapter 15 - Development Standards

**Motion**

That the Development Plan includes a requirement for all permitted developments to provide parking for the site workers.

**Planning Reason**

To address the regular problem of site workers parking on residential roads.

**Chief Executive's Response**

All permitted developments require the preparation and submission of a Construction Management Plan as part of the planning application process. As set out in Section 15.18.1.1 of the Draft Development Plan, a Construction Management Plan is required to include a traffic management plan including employee parking and movements. Objectives and measures should be included in this Construction Traffic Management Plan for the management, design and construction of the proposed development and to control the traffic impacts of construction insofar as it may affect the environment, local residents and the public in the vicinity of the construction works. It is, therefore, considered that the motion is sufficiently addressed in the Draft Development plan text.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed. Matter sufficiently addressed in the Draft Plan.

Refer to Section 15.18.1.1 of the Draft Plan.

*Page Left Intentionally Blank*



# Appendices

## **Motions Referring to Appendices**

### **Motion 284. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01255**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Appendices

#### **Motion**

Section: 5.0, Page: 262 (appendices) Replace "20%" with "50%" in the sentence, "In all new developments, a minimum of 20% of all car parking spaces shall be equipped with fully functional EV Charging Point(s)."

#### **Planning Reason**

Current targets are for all new cars to be fully electric by 2030. While not every spot will need its own charger, a car to charger ratio of 2:1 seems more appropriate.

#### **Chief Executive's Response**

The CE agrees that given the target to significantly increase the rate of electric cars in Ireland that it would be prudent to increase the car to charger ratio in new developments.

#### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend Section: 5.0, Page: 262 (appendix 5)

From:

"In all new developments, a minimum of 20% of all car parking spaces shall be equipped with fully functional EV Charging Point(s)."

To:

"In all new developments, a minimum of 50% of all car parking spaces shall be equipped with fully functional EV Charging Point(s)."

**Motion 285. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01126**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Joe Costello

Co-sponsors:

Cllr Dermot Lacey

Cllr Declan Meenagh

Refers to: Appendices

**Motion**

Appendix 6 2.1, (P.277): That Kirwan Street be added to the list of Historic Granite Kerbing and associated features to be protected, conserved and reintroduced.

**Planning Reason**

Kirwan Street Cottages are included but the more historic Kirwan Street kerbing is omitted and setts have been removed on several occasions by utilities who have been slow to replace and repair.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Following inspection of the area and street, it is noted that Kirwan Street has historic granite/diorite setts to the gutters, though incomplete in places, these should be retained, restored and reintroduced along the setted strips across junctions to the north side of the street.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend Appendix 6: Historic Street Surfaces (1) p. 269 to include Kirwan Street.

**Motion 286. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01188**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Appendices

**Motion**

Chapter 17 Appendix – Advertising and Signage Strategy. Advertising panels will not be permitted where they interfere with the safety of pedestrians and wheel chair users (2000 mm path width), the accessibility of the public footpath or roadway, the safety and free flow of traffic or if they obscure road sign.

**Planning Reason**

In order to manage an effective programme of outdoor advertising and to recognise the difficulties many pedestrians and wheel chair users have in traversing our city.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE agrees that advertising panels should not interfere with the safety of pedestrian and wheelchair users. In this regard, an additional bullet point will be added to Section 8 of Appendix 17 to address this.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion.

Amend text to add and additional bullet point to Section 8 of Appendix 17 as follows:

"to ensure that all proposals do not interfere with the safety and accessibility of pedestrians and wheelchair users on the public footpaths".

**Motion 287. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01098**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Dermot Lacey

Refers to: Appendices

**Motion**

Appendix 18: To add a sentence to the first paragraph of 5.0 Attic Conversions/Dormer Windows “During the lifetime of this plan Dublin City Council will seek to develop a model set of plans that would be suitable for the standard Dublin Corporation houses built from the 1940’s to 1980’s that would provide suitable accommodation and be in compliance with the Development Plan”.

**Planning Reason**

With the ongoing housing crisis many families can provide suitable good standard accommodation utilising their attic space. The current paragraph is limiting and sentence being added gives a mandate to Dublin City Council to be proactive in circumstances when such suitable accommodation could be provided. Such an initiative was developed in the early 1980’s for cottages in Ringsend.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Appendix 18 provides for high level guidance regarding roof extensions including attic conversions and the provision of dormer windows which indicates general principles for extending domestic properties. It should be noted that detailed specifications on roof extensions such as the conversion of uninhabitable roof space into habitable accommodation requires full adherence with statutory building regulations such as Part A (structure), Part B (Fire), Part F (Ventilation), Part K (stairs) and Part L (Energy) which are separate legislative codes and outside the scope of the Development Plan. Building regulations are a separate statutory code and cannot be relaxed or amended through guidance set out in a Development Plan.

It is noted that objective QHSN04 states:

Densification of Suburbs

“To support the ongoing densification of the suburbs and prepare a design guide regarding innovate housing models, design and solutions for infill development, backland development, mews development and re-use of existing housing stock.”

It is considered that the scope of such a study could be amended to include design guide options for attic conversions and dormer windows which would best address the intent of this motion. It is, therefore, recommended that this objective is amended.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Amend Objective QHSN04 Densification of the Suburbs as follows:

From:

Objective QHSN04 Density of Suburbs

“To support the ongoing densification of the suburbs and prepare a design guide regarding innovative housing models, design and solutions for infill development, backland development, mews development and re-use of existing housing stock”.

To:

Objective QHSN04 Density of Suburbs

“To support the ongoing densification of the suburbs and prepare a design guide regarding innovative housing models, design and solutions for infill development, backland development, mews development, re-use of existing housing stock and best practice for attic conversions”.

**Motion 288. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01207**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Hazel Chu

Refers to: Appendices

**Motion**

Retail Strategy Appendix: That each new retail development or existing development looking for planning or change of use have a separated roomed facility or area for breastfeeding and maternity related purposes.

**Planning Reason**

To promote and encourage breastfeeding and develop Dublin into a first class city for health and enterprise.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Whilst the intent of the motion is supported, it is not considered appropriate or practical to require every retail development, irrespective of its size or scale, to provide a separate breastfeeding/maternity related room. Furthermore, the seclusion of nursing mothers does not necessarily promote the normalisation of breastfeeding as the preferred choice for families in Ireland or support the need to protect breastfeeding in public places.

However, it is recommended that the Retail Strategy, Appendix 2 section 10.7 is amended to reflect the motion.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

From:

“The provision and design of quality street furniture, including fully accessible public facilities and support facilities for shoppers, e.g. toilets, childcare areas, changing facilities”.

To:

“The provision and design of quality street furniture, including fully accessible public facilities and support facilities for shoppers, e.g. toilets, childcare areas, changing facilities, and a dedicated room for breastfeeding/maternity related purposes. Such facilities should be provided in shopping centres and larger department stores”.

**Motion 289. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01371**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Appendices

**Motion**

Land use definitions – Appendix 15: That the current definition of Community Facility in Appendix 21 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2016-2022 (“A building or part thereof used for (community) activities organised primarily by the local community and to which the public may be admitted on payment of a charge or free of charge”) be revisited, revised and expanded to give clearer guidance on the determination of any proposed facilities as a community facility.

**Planning Reason**

To give applicants clearer guidance and to give planners more flexibility.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This motion relates to the current Development Plan land use definition of community facility and not that proposed in the Draft Development Plan. As part of the review process, the Land Use Definition Appendix was reviewed and a new definition of Community Facility is proposed as follows:

Community Facility: A building, or part thereof, used for (community) activities organised primarily by the local community and to which the public may be admitted on payment of a charge or free of charge and includes community meeting space, parish centres, social/ non-sporting clubs such as youth clubs, bridge clubs and scouts' dens, clubhouses and family resource centres.

It is considered that this expanded definition addresses the motion and provides greater clarity as to the nature of a community facility.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as the Draft Plan in Appendix 15 Land Use Definitions already contains an updated definition of Community Facility in response to the strategic directions at on foot of the June Council meeting.



**Motion 290. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01097**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Dermot Lacey

Refers to: Appendices

**Motion**

Appendix 18: To delete the sentence “These rooms shall not be used as ancillary residential accommodation and should not contain separate kitchen or bathroom facilities” from the second paragraph Section 2.0 in the Ancillary Residential Accommodation Appendix page 413.

**Planning Reason**

With the ongoing housing crisis many families can provide suitable good standard accommodation within the curtilage of their back gardens and the sentence quoted is too restrictive and inhibits an objective planning assessment in circumstances when such suitable accommodation could be provided.

**Chief Executive's Response**

This policy refers to detached habitable rooms which are intended to provide for additional living space in the rear garden of a family home for ancillary uses such as offices / gym / play rooms etc. These rooms do not have separate access and it is considered that they should only be used as an extension to the existing property to provide ancillary accommodation such as a home office, particularly pertinent with changing work practices and more people working from home. It is not intended that these rooms be used for permanent and / or separate residential use to the main property. A relaxation in the potential uses of these rooms such as the inclusion of kitchen and / or bathroom may result in the development of small substandard dwellings which is not the desired intention for these spaces. Their use as residential accommodation may also have other undesirable consequences including serious adverse impact on residential amenities, waste management and fire safety concerns. The limitations as set out in Appendix 18 are, therefore, considered necessary to regulate and control unauthorised / substandard residential accommodation in the city.

Notwithstanding the above, Objective QHSNO4 of the plan supports the ongoing densification of the suburbs and seeks to prepare a design guide regarding innovative housing models, designs and solutions for infill development, backland development, mews development and the re-use of existing housing stock. As such, it is considered that the policy approach surrounding the development of ancillary accommodation is adequately addressed in the plan.

Furthermore, it should be noted that separate guidance on ancillary family accommodation is provided in section 7 of Appendix 18.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed to protect against substandard residential accommodation.

**Motion 291. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01184**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Appendices

**Motion**

Chapter 17 Appendix- Advertising and Signage Strategy: That Outdoor Advertising is not acceptable in principle and will not be permitted in Zone 1: This zone encompasses those areas that are most vulnerable and sensitive and primarily relates to the Georgian Area of Dublin.

**Planning Reason**

To protect and also give clarity in respect of advertising in this area. The current draft proposal suggests that there "is a strong presumption against outdoor advertising in this zone".

**Chief Executive's Response**

As set out in the Appendix 17 Advertising and Signage Strategy, Zone 1 comprises of areas that are most vulnerable and sensitive and primarily relates to the Georgian area of Dublin City. The Draft Plan states that there is a strong presumption against outdoor advertising in this zone. The limitations in place for advertising Zone 1 are, therefore, considered to be already sufficiently addressed in the Draft Plan and it is not necessary or appropriate to further state that outdoor advertising will not be permitted.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed. It is considered that this matter is already sufficiently addressed in the Draft Plan.

**Motion 292. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01185**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Damian O'Farrell

Refers to: Appendices

**Motion**

Chapter 17 Appendix – Advertising and Signage Strategy: That Outdoor Advertising is not acceptable in principle and will not be permitted in Zone 4: Zone of existing and potential high amenity related to waterways and the coast inappropriate for advertising. This zone includes the River Liffey corridor, other river corridors, the canal corridors and along the campshires in the Docklands.

**Planning Reason**

To protect and also give clarity in respect of advertising in this area. The current Draft proposal suggests that there “is a strong presumption against outdoor advertising in this zone”.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Zone 4 is an area of existing and potential high amenity related to the waterways and the coast which is generally considered to be inappropriate for advertising. It is stated in the Draft Plan that there is a strong presumption against outdoor advertising in this zone as set out in Appendix 17 of the Draft Plan. The limitations in place for advertising Zone 4 are, therefore, considered to be already sufficiently addressed in the Draft Plan and it is not necessary to further state that outdoor advertising will not be permitted.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed. It is considered that this matter is already sufficiently addressed in the Draft Plan.

*Page Left Intentionally Blank*

## **Zoning Maps**

**Motions Referring to Zoning Maps****Motion 293. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01108**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Mannix Flynn

Refers to: Zoning Maps

**Motion**

Proposed motion: That this Planning Authority pursuant to Section 11(5)(c) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) resolves to amend the Chief Executive's Draft City Development Plan 2022-2028 as follows: See attached Zoned Site at 69 D Donore Avenue, (approximate extent outline in Red) Map E Refers 69D Donore Avenue.

\* Rezone a site at 69D Donore Avenue, Dublin 8, as identified in red in the land use zoning map extract below, from 'Z6- Enterprise and Employment' to a 'Z1- Residential' land use zoning objective on Map E of the Draft City Development Plan. Or 69D Donore Avenue and White Swan Business Centre

\* Rezone the lands known as 69D Donore Avenue and White Swan Business Centre, Donore Avenue, Dublin 3, as identified in red in the land use zoning map extract below, from 'Z6- Enterprise and Employment' to a 'Z10- Mixed-Use Inner Suburban / Inner City Sites' land use zoning objective on Map E of the Draft City Development Plan. See attached Z6 Zoned Site at 69D Donore Avenue and Whiteswan Business Centre

**Planning Reason**

69D Donore Avenue

**PLANNING REASONS AND RATIONALE**

The subject site is zoned Z6 Employment / Enterprise, which seeks 'to provide for the creation and protection of enterprise and facilitate opportunities for employment creation' under the current City Development Plan 2016-2022. The current Plan requires that 'Any redevelopment proposals on Z6 lands should ensure that the employment element on site should be in excess of that on site prior to re-development in terms of the numbers employed and/or floor space'.

The site is not suitable for a primarily office generated redevelopment, as required by the current zoning objective, as such a use would not be viable in this location due to the remove from the City Centre / Central Business District. The site has been vacant for in excess of 30 years and offers no employment use for the area and detracts from the visual amenities of the locality.

A rezoning of the site to Z1 – Residential use would provide for this vacant site to come forward for much need residential development and ancillary uses in the short-term, which would be complementary to the surround pattern of development, which includes residential zoned lands to the south, west and east.

A Z1 zoning, which would facilitate the redevelopment of a long-vacant site for much needed residential and ancillary uses, would also be consistent with Objective CEE15 of the current

Plan which seeks to promote and facilitate the transformation of regeneration areas, noting the proximity of the site to SDRA 12.

A residential zoning on the subject site would also be in accordance with National and Regional planning policy which promotes the delivery of residential units and compact growth on brownfield sites in close proximity to quality public transport routes and within existing urban areas, noting that the subject site is located within c. 10 minute's walk of the defined 'Z5- City Centre' located to the north east.

A residential zoning would provide for a suitable and sustainable reuse of the subject site which is currently underutilised and strategically located in the inner city. A high-quality residential development would enhance the local streetscape and would be required to be designed to protect the amenity of existing residents in the area.

or

69D Donore Avenue and White Swan Business Centre

#### PLANNING REASONS AND RATIONALE

The subject lands are zoned Z6 Employment / Enterprise, which seeks 'to provide for the creation and protection of enterprise and facilitate opportunities for employment creation' under the current City Development Plan 2016-2022. The current Plan requires that 'Any redevelopment proposals on Z6 lands should ensure that the employment element on site should be in excess of that on site prior to re-development in terms of the numbers employed and/or floor space'.

The 69D site is vacant and the White Swan Business Centre has a range of lower order employment generating uses. Any redevelopment of the site for higher order employment uses, in an office based environment, would need to be supported by substantial residential development to make such a redevelopment proposal viable given the location of the site at a remove from the City Centre (Z5 lands) / Central Business District.

A rezoning of the lands to Z10 – Mixed Use Inner Suburban / Inner City would provide for this vacant and underutilised site to come forward for much needed residential and commercial development, with associated ancillary uses in the short-term. Such a redevelopment would be highly appropriate for an Inner City site and enhance the built environment of the area.

A Z10 zoning objective, which would facilitate the redevelopment of a vacant / underutilised site for much needed residential and commercial uses in a high quality new development, would also be consistent with Objective CEE15 of the current Plan which seeks to promote and facilitate the transformation of regeneration areas, noting the proximity of the site to SDRA 12.

A mixed use zoning objective, which did not require predominantly employment generating uses on the site which are unviable in this Inner City largely residential area, would also be in accordance with National and Regional planning policy which promotes the delivery of mixed use development and compact growth on brownfield sites in close proximity to quality public transport routes and within existing urban areas, noting that the subject site is located within c. 10 minute's walk of the defined 'Z5- City Centre' located to the north east.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Having regard to their strategic location and potential for redevelopment, these lands were changed to a Z10 zoning as part of the Draft Development Plan as circulated to the Councillors in September 2021.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion to rezone the lands at 69D Donore Avenue and White Swan Business Centre from Z6 to Z10 as this has been recommended in the Draft Plan.



**Motion 294. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01178**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Zoning Maps

**Motion**

Rezone the site of the old school/family resource centre at School Street to Z15.

**Planning Reason**

To facilitate the development of a community/civic hub; to address the deficit of community/civic infrastructure in the Liberties; in the interest of sustainable development; to further policies QHSN4, QHSN16, to further provision of community and social infrastructure.

**Chief Executive's Response**

It is considered that the most appropriate way to provide for a community and civic hub at this location is to include text in SDRA 15 - The Liberties and Newmarket Square in the section regarding the Digital Hub page 460 and amend map 13.15 to include for this use at this location. It is considered that to rezone the site Z15 is overly prescriptive and inhibit the development of residential use on the site.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

Under heading 5 - Digital Hub, page 460, after the last sentence, insert an additional sentence:

“A community and civic hub should be delivered as part of any redevelopment of the school site and lands on School Street.”

Amend Figure 13.5 to denote a community and civic hub on the subject site.

**Motion 295. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01256**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Green Party Comhaontas Glas

Refers to: Zoning Maps

**Motion**

Map E Zone area from 11 Goldenbridge Terrace (Dublin 8) to canal path as Z9.

**Planning Reason**

This space is either blank or Z1. The space is currently used for informal car parking, but it should be zoned Z9 to protect the site and allow use for green space.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The CE recognises that this piece of unzoned land represents an underutilised site, located in a prime urban area. It is considered however, that this area is already well served by open space due to the proximity to the Grand Canal, and that the site represents an appropriate opportunity for a small infill development, that would provide much needed housing in the area and provide enclosure and surveillance of the streetscape. In this regard, it is recommended that the site should be rezoned as Z1.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment.

It is recommended that the currently unzoned open space located at 11 Goldenbridge Terrace (Dublin 8) to canal path is changed to a Z1 zoning objective – to protect, provide and improve residential amenities.

**Motion 296. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01373**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Nial Ring

Refers to: Zoning Maps

**Motion**

That the members resolve that the site known as Cody's Yard, Ossory Road, Dublin 3, be rezoned from 'Z6- Enterprise and Employment' to a 'Z1- Residential' land use zoning objective.

**Planning Reason**

The subject site is zoned Z6 Employment / Enterprise, which seeks 'to provide for the creation and protection of enterprise and facilitate opportunities for employment creation' under the current City Development Plan 2016-2022. The current Plan requires that 'Any redevelopment proposals on Z6 lands should ensure that the employment element on site should be in excess of that on site prior to re-development in terms of the numbers employed and/or floor space'.

However, the site has been vacant for in excess of 40 years and offers absolutely no employment use for the area and detracts from the visual amenities of the locality. Furthermore, the site is not suitable for a primarily office generated redevelopment, as required by the current zoning objective, as such a use would not be viable in this location due to the remove from the City Centre / Central Business District.

The rezoning of the site to Z1 – Residential use would provide for this vacant site to come forward for much needed residential development and ancillary uses in the short-term. In addition, it is my view the site is suitable for residential use as it is an inner city location close to high quality public transport.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The motion refers to the zoning of the site as Z6, which reflects the 2016 plan. The subject lands have been proposed to be rezoned to Z14, 'Strategic Development and Regeneration Areas', as per the Draft Development Plan circulated to Councillors in September 2021, where the zoning objective is 'to seek the social, economic and physical development and/or regeneration of an area with mixed uses, of which residential would be the predominant use.'

It is considered that the Z14 zoning objective is appropriate and reflective of the lands wider regeneration role within the Docklands SDRA. Residential development can be accommodated on Z14 lands as well as a wide range of other land uses which may be more appropriate to the site having regard to its inherent site constraints including proximity to the rail line, as well as the presence of underground sewers.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to agree the motion with an amendment to the proposed zoning objective to zone the lands Z14 as proposed in the Draft Plan.

**Motion 297. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01151**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Zoning Maps

**Motion**

Motion to rezone Newtown Industrial Estate/ Malahide Industrial Estate to Z16 zoning defined as follows Zoning Objective Z16 (Strategic Development and Affordable Housing Areas): "To seek the social, economic, and physical development and/or rejuvenation of an area with mixed-use, the primary objective of which would be the delivery of affordable housing and employment". The new Z16 zoning would require a master plan for all sites rezoned to Z16. The master plan would be developed in full consultation with DCC, the National Transport Authority, Transport Infrastructure Ireland, the Department of Education and Skills, representative Enterprise and Employment bodies, Irish Water, and the local community. The land uses of the new Z16 zoning would be defined as follows: 30% Employment 10% High Quality Recreational Open Space 10% Community Amenities 10% Private Residential 40% Social and Affordable residential – to be comprised of a mix of social housing, affordable purchase, affordable rental and senior citizen's housing with affordability to be defined by the Dublin City Council Housing SPC with the approval of the full council. Planning Reason: To ensure the residential mix includes affordable housing and that the area keeps employment and gains high quality open green space.

**Planning Reason**

Planning Reason: To ensure the residential mix includes affordable housing and that the area keeps employment and gains high quality open green space.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Section 10 (2) (a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 as amended, sets out the specific requirements with regard to zoning and states:

"(a) the zoning of land for the use solely or primarily of particular area for particular purposes (whether residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, recreational, as open space, or otherwise, or a mixture of these uses) and to such an extent as the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, in the opinion of the planning authority, requires the uses to be indicated."

It is clear, therefore, that the purpose of land use zoning is a spatial exercise to set out the appropriateness of a land parcel for a particular land use. It is not the purpose of land use zoning to set out prescribed housing tenure mix/ownership or specific/rigid percentages of a particular land use.

Furthermore, with regard to social and affordable housing, the legislative provisions regarding provision of same are set out under Part V of the Planning and Development Act. The Development Plan cannot circumvent national legislation in this regard, to require a higher level of provision of social and affordable housing on privately owned land.

The motion is also considered inappropriate in the context of the proposed zoning for the Newtown Industrial Estate/Malahide Industrial Estate under the Draft Plan. The Draft Plan proposed to rezone only small portion of this estate - primarily along the Malahide Road as Z10. The Draft Plan proposes the retention of the remainder of this estate as Z6 having regard to the multitude of small businesses and local employment services that are accommodated on these lands. It is considered that the proposal to rezone all of these lands to the proposed Z16 zoning would result in the loss of significant and valuable local employment services which are essential to the local community and required to facilitate the development of the 15 minute city.

It is also considered that this motion is inappropriate as it may undermine the broader objectives of the Draft Plan to promote integrated communities with a broad range of tenures and housing mix.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

See also motions Dynamics Ref. MOT 01150 (266), 01152 (298), 01153 (299) and 01154 (300).

**Motion 298. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01152**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Zoning Maps

**Motion**

Motion to rezone Dublin Industrial Estate (Glasnevin) to Z16 defined as follows Zoning Objective Z16 (Strategic Development and Affordable Housing Areas): "To seek the social, economic, and physical development and/or rejuvenation of an area with mixed-use, the primary objective of which would be the delivery of affordable housing and employment". The new Z16 zoning would require a master plan for all sites rezoned to Z16. The master plan would be developed in full consultation with DCC, the National Transport Authority, Transport Infrastructure Ireland, the Department of Education and Skills, representative Enterprise and Employment bodies, Irish Water, and the local community. The land uses of the new Z16 zoning would be defined as follows: 30% Employment 10% High Quality Recreational Open Space 10% Community Amenities 10% Private Residential 40% Social and Affordable residential – to be comprised of a mix of social housing, affordable purchase, affordable rental and senior citizen's housing with affordability to be defined by the Dublin City Council Housing SPC with the approval of the full council.

**Planning Reason**

Planning Reason: To ensure that the residential mix includes affordable housing and that the area keeps employment and gains high quality open green space.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Section 10 (2) (a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 as amended, sets out the specific requirements with regard to zoning and states:

"(a) the zoning of land for the use solely or primarily of particular area for particular purposes (whether residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, recreational, as open space, or otherwise, or a mixture of these uses) and to such an extent as the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, in the opinion of the planning authority, requires the uses to be indicated."

It is clear, therefore, that the purpose of land use zoning is a spatial exercise to set out the appropriateness of a land parcel for a particular land use. It is not the purpose of land use zoning to set out prescribed housing tenure mix/ownership or specific/rigid percentages of a particular land use.

Furthermore, with regard to social and affordable housing, the legislative provisions regarding provision of same are set out under Part V of the Planning and Development Act. The Development Plan cannot circumvent national legislation in this regard, to require a higher level of provision of social and affordable housing on privately owned land.

The motion is also considered inappropriate in the context that the Draft Plan does not propose any change to the zoning of the Dublin Industrial Estate (Glasnevin). As set out in Chapter 2 - the Core Strategy, Table 2.10, the Dublin Industrial Estate and Environs area is

scheduled for the preparation of an LAP over the plan period. This is a considerable land bank with significant redevelopment and regeneration potential that will require a long lead in time to develop a workable framework for delivery as well as significant social and physical infrastructure requirements. There are complex issues to resolve in order to bring these lands forward for development including land ownership and strategic infrastructure requirements. The appropriate mechanism to ensure the delivery of necessary and phased infrastructure is through the mechanism of a Local Area Plan, not a masterplan. It is considered that to rezone the subject lands as Z16 in the absence of an approved Local Area Plan would be premature, and would likely result in unsustainable, piecemeal and ad-hoc development.

It is also considered that this motion is inappropriate as it may undermine the broader objectives of the Draft Plan to promote integrated communities with a broad range of tenures and housing mix.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

See also motions Dynamics Ref. MOT 01150 (266), 01151 (297), 01153 (299) and 01154 (300).

**Motion 299. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01153**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Zoning Maps

**Motion**

Motion to rezone Kylemore Rd Naas Rd Z6 lands to Z16 defined as follows Zoning Objective Z16 (Strategic Development and Affordable Housing Areas): "To seek the social, economic, and physical development and/or rejuvenation of an area with mixed-use, the primary objective of which would be the delivery of affordable housing and employment". The new Z16 zoning would require a master plan for all sites rezoned to Z16. The master plan would be developed in full consultation with DCC, the National Transport Authority, Transport Infrastructure Ireland, the Department of Education and Skills, representative Enterprise and Employment bodies, Irish Water, and the local community. The land uses of the new Z16 zoning would be defined as follows: 30% Employment 10% High Quality Recreational Open Space 10% Community Amenities 10% Private Residential 40% Social and Affordable residential – to be comprised of a mix of social housing, affordable purchase, affordable rental and senior citizen's housing with affordability to be defined by the Dublin City Council Housing SPC with the approval of the full council.

**Planning Reason**

Planning Reason: To ensure that the residential mix includes affordable housing and that the area keeps employment and gains high quality open green space.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Section 10 (2) (a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 as amended, sets out the specific requirements with regard to zoning and states:

"(a) the zoning of land for the use solely or primarily of particular area for particular purposes (whether residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, recreational, as open space, or otherwise, or a mixture of these uses) and to such an extent as the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, in the opinion of the planning authority, requires the uses to be indicated."

It is clear, therefore, that the purpose of land use zoning is a spatial exercise to set out the appropriateness of a land parcel for a particular land use. It is not the purpose of land use zoning to set out prescribed housing tenure mix/ownership or specific/rigid percentages of a particular land use.

Furthermore, with regard to social and affordable housing, the legislative provisions regarding provision of same are set out under Part V of the Planning and Development Act. The Development Plan cannot circumvent national legislation in this regard, to require a higher level of provision of social and affordable housing on privately owned land.

The motion is also considered inappropriate in the context that the Draft Plan does not propose any change to the zoning of the Naas Road/Kylemore Road lands. As set out in Chapter 2 - the Core Strategy, Table 2.10, the Naas Road area is scheduled for the



preparation of an LAP over the plan period. This is a considerable land bank with significant redevelopment and regeneration potential that will require a long lead in time to develop a workable framework for delivery as well as significant social and physical infrastructure requirements. There are complex issues to resolve in order to bring these lands forward for development including land ownership and strategic infrastructure requirements. The current City Edge study outlines that a planned approach to this area across the two administrative areas of Dublin City Council and South Dublin County Council will be required in order to ensure the delivery of long term sustainable community.

The appropriate mechanism to ensure the delivery of necessary and phased infrastructure is through the mechanism of a Local Area Plan to be prepared in conjunction with South Dublin County Council, not a masterplan. It is considered that to rezone the subject lands as Z16 in the absence of an approved Local Area Plan would be premature, and would likely result in unsustainable, piecemeal and ad-hoc development.

It is also considered that this motion is inappropriate as it may undermine the broader objectives of the Draft Plan to promote integrated communities with a broad range of tenures and housing mix.

#### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that motion is not agreed as it is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

See also motions Dynamics Ref. MOT 01150 (266), 01151 (297), 01152 (298) and 01154 (300).

**Motion 300. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01154**

Submitted By Councillor(s): Cllr Catherine Stocker

Supporting Political Party: Social Democrats

Refers to: Zoning Maps

**Motion**

Motion to rezone Coolock Chivers site From Z1 to Z16 defined as follows Zoning Objective Z16 (Strategic Development and Affordable Housing Areas): "To seek the social, economic, and physical development and/or rejuvenation of an area with mixed-use, the primary objective of which would be the delivery of affordable housing and employment". The new Z16 zoning would require a master plan for all sites rezoned to Z16. The master plan would be developed in full consultation with DCC, the National Transport Authority, Transport Infrastructure Ireland, the Department of Education and Skills, representative Enterprise and Employment bodies, Irish Water, and the local community. The land uses of the new Z16 zoning would be defined as follows: 30% Employment 10% High Quality Recreational Open Space 10% Community Amenities 10% Private Residential 40% Social and Affordable residential – to be comprised of a mix of social housing, affordable purchase, affordable rental and senior citizen's housing with affordability to be defined by the Dublin City Council Housing SPC with the approval of the full council.

**Planning Reason**

To ensure that the residential mix includes affordable housing and that the area keeps employment and gains high quality open green space.

**Chief Executive's Response**

Section 10 (2) (a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 as amended, sets out the specific requirements with regard to zoning and states:

"(a) the zoning of land for the use solely or primarily of particular area for particular purposes (whether residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, recreational, as open space, or otherwise, or a mixture of these uses) and to such an extent as the proper planning and sustainable development of the area, in the opinion of the planning authority, requires the uses to be indicated."

It is clear, therefore, that the purpose of land use zoning is a spatial exercise to set out the appropriateness of a land parcel for a particular land use. It is not the purpose of land use zoning to set out prescribed housing tenure mix/ownership or specific percentages of a particular land use.

Furthermore, with regard to social and affordable housing, the legislative provisions regarding provision of same are set out under Part V of the Planning and Development Act. The Development Plan cannot circumvent national legislation in this regard, to require a higher level of provision of social and affordable housing on privately owned land.

It is also considered that this motion is inappropriate as it may undermine the broader objectives of the Draft Plan to promote integrated communities with a broad range of tenures and housing mix.

**Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE that the motion is not agreed as it is outside the scope of the Development Plan.

See also motions Dynamics Ref. MOT 01150 (266), 01151 (297), 01152 (298) and 01153 (299).

**Motion 301. Dynamics Ref. MOT-01177**

Submitted By Councillor(s): People Before Profit

Refers to: Zoning Maps

**Motion**

Rezone Council owned depot site at Marrowbone Lane to Z9.

**Planning Reason**

To support realisation of objective GIO28,43,44,45 and policies GI25,27,45,48; to mitigate expected intense densification of SWIC as set out in this draft development plan – 6 of 17 designated strategic regeneration and/or development areas identified in the city are located in the SWIC; to address the deficit of recreational and sports facilities in the area; to address the deficit of amenities for local schools and clubs; to ensure realisation of policy QHSN18; in line with national and regional physical and mental health policies.

**Chief Executive's Response**

The Marrowbone Lane depot was the subject of Variation 6 of the Dublin City Development Plan 2016-2022 in February 2019 to provide for the consolidation of the City Council depot and the regeneration of the wider depot area following public consultation. The variation as adopted stated:

"The rationalisation of the City Council depot will deliver a well designed consolidated depot on a significantly smaller footprint (45% of its current footprint) enabling the continued delivery of essential Council services including flood risk management, street cleaning, road maintenance and housing maintenance to the city centre and south city areas.

The balance of the site would be given over to the provision of improved amenity and recreational facilities, some residential and mixed use development and new access routes as provided for in the Liberties Local Area Plan. The Depot Consolidation Project will expedite the implementation of important elements of the Liberties Local Area Plan by facilitating the following:

- the provision of additional all weather pitches adjacent to and managed by St. Catherine's Leisure Centre (one 7 a-side pitch: 60m x 35m; one 4 a-side pitch: 20m x 35m; in addition to the existing astro field),
- the provision of an additional 100 plus new residential units,
- an improved active street frontage and public realm along Marrowbone Lane
- improved permeability of the area by provision of 2 new access routes - the Robert Street South extension & an extension to Allingham Street."

Alongside this, both Weaver park and Bridgefoot Street Park are recent parks developed by the Council to serve the local community in the Dublin 8 area.

The Draft Development Plan addresses the adopted variation in SDRA 15 and Fig 13.5 which includes specific guidance regarding Marrowbone Lane (section 6). This includes the delivery of a number of key objectives including:

- Consolidation and reduction on the area of the existing City Council depot.

- Provision of a new public spaces onto Marrowbone Lane.
- Extension of amenity/recreational spaces in association with St. Catherine's Sports Centre.
- New through routes and connections and the remaking of the street.

The rezoning of the depot as Z9 would preclude this approach and the variation as previously agreed.

It is considered that the adopted variation to the current plan and proposed SDRA 15 of the Draft Plan provides a clear understanding of how the site is to be developed into the future that is in accordance with the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

### **Chief Executive Recommendation**

It is the recommendation of the CE to not agree this motion.

This site was the subject of variation no. 6, adopted by Councillors in February 2019. Refer to page 460 of the Draft Plan.

No change to zoning.

See also motion Dynamics Ref. MOT 01176 (216).

*Page Left Intentionally Blank*

## **Appendix: Environmental Assessment**

**Environmental Assessment**

An Environmental Report (Strategic Environmental Assessment), a Natura Impact Report (Appropriate Assessment), and a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Report (SFRA) were issued to the Elected Members as part of the Draft City Development Plan, under Volumes 5, 6 and 7 respectively.

All Motions received from Elected Members on the Draft Development Plan have been subject to environmental assessment / Appropriate Assessment / flooding screening to see if they would result in significant effects on the environment, or have the potential to give rise to likely significant effects on a European Site or result in flood risk. Each motion is listed in the Table in this Appendix with each screening required shown in the relevant columns so that each motion can be considered fully in the context of the screening completed.

In summary the outcome of this screening process is detailed below:

**Strategic Environmental Assessment**

Motions 'Agreed' and 'Agreed with Amendment' would not be likely to have significant effects on the environment, taking account of relevant criteria set out in Schedule 2A of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended.

**Appropriate Assessment**

Motions 'Agreed' and 'Agreed with Amendment' do not have the potential to result in any land use effects that could in turn result in likely significant effects on a European site.

**Strategic Flood Risk Assessment**

Motions 'Agreed' and 'Agreed with Amendment' would not result in Flood Risk.



**Screening Matrix**

<b>Councillor Motions Screening Matrix</b>							
<b>CiviQ Unique Reference Number</b>	<b>Motion Number</b>	<b>SEA Screening Assessment Determination</b>		<b>AA Screening Assessment Determination</b> <i>Does the proposed motion give rise to potential for significant effects on European Sites?</i>		<b>SFRA Screening Assessment Determination</b>	
		Determination	Protective / Mitigation Measures in Plan	Determination	Protective / Mitigation Measures in Plan	Determination	Protective / Mitigation Measures in Plan
DCC-C37- PDP-9	MOT- 01160	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-9	MOT- 01164	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-26	MOT- 01247	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-1	MOT- 01092	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-11	MOT- 01179	SEA Not Required		Yes – Potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features by virtue of its	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be	No flood risk issue arises	

				proximity to European sites.	consented without environmental assessment.		
DCC-C37-PDP-17	MOT-01199	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-17	MOT-01200	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-17	MOT-01201	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-19	MOT-01206	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-23	MOT-01227	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-23	MOT-01228	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-29	MOT-01266	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-8	MOT-01100	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-4	MOT-01121	SEA Not Required		Yes - Although positive in focus, the lack of detail the steps or infrastructure required to	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development	No flood risk issue arises	

				implement the proposed motion has potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	could be consented without environmental assessment.		
DCC-C37-PDP-25	MOT-01246	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-27	MOT-01257	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-27	MOT-01258	SEA Not Required		Yes - Although positive, actions/projects arising from this proposed amendment has potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-29	MOT-01267	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-29	MOT-01268	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-29	MOT-01269	SEA Not Required		Yes - By virtue of lack of location specificity, solutions arising from the proposed amendment could result in potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan will provide mitigation, no additional/new mitigation required.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-29	MOT-01270	SEA Not Required		Yes - Although positive, actions/projects arising from this proposed amendment has potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-36	MOT-01314	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-36	MOT-01315	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-37	MOT-01316	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-37	MOT-01317	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-37	MOT-01318	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-37	MOT-01319	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-37	MOT-01320	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-37	MOT-01321	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-37	MOT-01322	SEA Not Required		Yes - Although positive in outlook, could result in potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan will provide mitigation, no additional/new mitigation required.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-37	MOT-01323	SEA Not Required		Yes	Current objectives and requirements included in the	No flood risk issue arises	

					Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.		
DCC-C37-PDP-3	MOT-01103	SEA Not Required		Yes	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-12	MOT-01186	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-12	MOT-01187	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-17	MOT-01202	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-23	MOT-01225	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-23	MOT-01226	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-24	MOT-01232	SEA Not Required		Yes - Potential for impacts to European sites and/ or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan will provide mitigation, no additional/new mitigation required.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-24	MOT-01233	SEA Not Required		Yes - Projects arising from it could result in potential for impacts to European sites and/ or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan will provide mitigation, no additional/new mitigation required.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-26	MOT-01248	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-26	MOT-01249	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-29	MOT-01271	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-29	MOT-01272	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-43	MOT-01380	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-1	MOT-01093	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-3	MOT-01104	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-3	MOT-01105	Uncertain / Potentially Negative effects on biodiversity, water.	Mitigation will be required	Yes - Increases the potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan will provide mitigation, no additional/new mitigation required.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-6	MOT-01109	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-6	MOT-01110	SEA Not Required		Yes - Construction with potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure	No flood risk issue arises	



				features depending on location and pathway identification.	no development could be consented without environmental assessment.		
DCC-C37-PDP-6	MOT-01111	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-6	MOT-01112	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-6	MOT-01113	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-6	MOT-01114	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-4	MOT-01115	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-4	MOT-01116	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-4	MOT-01117	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-4	MOT-01118	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-4	MOT-01119	SEA Not Required		Yes - Projects arising from it could result in potential for	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan	No flood risk issue arises	

				impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.		
DCC-C37-PDP-4	MOT-01120	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01134	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01135	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01136	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01137	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01138	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01139	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01140	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01141	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01142	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01143	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01144	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01145	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01146	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01147	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01148	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01149	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01155	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01156	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01157	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01158	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01161	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01162	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01163	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01165	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01166	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01167	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-21	MOT-01203	SEA Not Required		Yes - Projects arising could result in potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-23	MOT-01219	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-23	MOT-01220	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-23	MOT-01223	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-24	MOT-01234	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-24	MOT-01235	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-24	MOT-01236	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-24	MOT-01237	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-24	MOT-01238	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-24	MOT-01239	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-24	MOT-01240	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-24	MOT-01241	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-27	MOT-01262	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-29	MOT-01273	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-29	MOT-01274	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-29	MOT-01275	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-31	MOT-01276	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-31	MOT-01277	SEA Not Required		Yes - Extends the area and geographic context of the objective, potential new developments could result in potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-31	MOT-01278	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-31	MOT-01279	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-31	MOT-01280	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-43	MOT-01377	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-45	MOT-01384	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-45	MOT-01389	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-45	MOT-01390	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-45	MOT-01391	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-10	MOT-01132	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01168	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-31	MOT-01281	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-31	MOT-01282	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-31	MOT-01283	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-33	MOT-01293	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-33	MOT-01294	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-43	MOT-01378	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-43	MOT-01383	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-10	MOT-01131	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01169	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01170	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01171	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01172	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-14	MOT-01191	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-14	MOT-01192	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-14	MOT-01193	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-14	MOT-01194	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-14	MOT-01195	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-23	MOT-01213	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-23	MOT-01214	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-31	MOT-01284	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-33	MOT-01289	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-33	MOT-01290	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	



DCC-C37-PDP-33	MOT-01291	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-33	MOT-01292	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-33	MOT-01295	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-35	MOT-01296	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-35	MOT-01297	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-35	MOT-01298	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-35	MOT-01299	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-43	MOT-01381	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-43	MOT-01382	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-1	MOT-01094	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-1	MOT-01095	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-23	MOT-01215	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-23	MOT-01222	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-26	MOT-01250	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-38	MOT-01333	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-39	MOT-01341	SEA Not Required		Yes - Absence of detail for potential boardwalk extensions arising from this amendment have potential for impacts to European sites and /or Q/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-39	MOT-01342	SEA Not Required		Yes - In the absence of detail proposals from the proposed objective, there is potential for impacts to European sites and /or Q/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without	No flood risk issue arises	

					environmental assessment.		
DCC-C37-PDP-39	MOT-01343	SEA Not Required		Yes - Feasibility study could identified potential to proceed, it does not rule out potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-39	MOT-01344	SEA Not Required		Yes - In the absence of detail, proposals arising from the proposed objective have the potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-39	MOT-01345	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-40	MOT-01346	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-40	MOT-01347	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-40	MOT-01348	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-40	MOT-01349	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-40	MOT-01350	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-40	MOT-01351	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-40	MOT-01352	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-40	MOT-01353	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-40	MOT-01354	SEA Not Required		Yes - Construction projects identified as gaps could have potential for impacts to European sites	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented	No flood risk issue arises	

				and /or Q/SCI features.	without environmental assessment.		
DCC-C37-PDP-40	MOT-01355	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-41	MOT-01356	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-41	MOT-01357	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-41	MOT-01358	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-41	MOT-01359	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-41	MOT-01360	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-41	MOT-01361	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-41	MOT-01362	SEA Not Required		Yes - Expands the objective and prioritises key transport projects national projects without justification, something which is outside of DCC control,	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without	No flood risk issue arises	

				potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	environmental assessment.		
DCC-C37-PDP-42	MOT-01367	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-45	MOT-01392	SEA Not Required		Yes - Absence of specificity or detail. The provision of or rebalancing of parking spaces has the potential or impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-1	MOT-01096	SEA Not Required		Yes - Lack of detail or specificity with which to exclude potential significant effects to European sites	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented	No flood risk issue arises	

				and/or QI/SCI features.	without environmental assessment.		
DCC-C37-PDP-12	MOT-01180	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-12	MOT-01181	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-12	MOT-01182	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-12	MOT-01183	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-23	MOT-01217	SEA Not Required		Yes - Trialling of project at an unspecified location could have potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-23	MOT-01218	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-27	MOT-01259	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-27	MOT-01260	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-27	MOT-01261	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-31	MOT-01285	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-33	MOT-01286	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-36	MOT-01311	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-36	MOT-01312	SEA Not Required		Yes - Introduces potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features by virtue of lack of spatial or design specificity.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-36	MOT-01313	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-41	MOT-01363	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	



DCC-C37-PDP-41	MOT-01364	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-41	MOT-01365	SEA Not Required		Yes - Introduces potential for impacts to European sites and /or Q/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-42	MOT-01366	SEA Not Required		Yes - Could have AA implications by virtue of location and changes to key species.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan will provide mitigation, no additional/new mitigation required.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-42	MOT-01368	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-42	MOT-01369	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-43	MOT-01370	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-45	MOT-01385	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-45	MOT-01388	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-4	MOT-01122	SEA Not Required		Yes - Provides no concrete detail of works required and introduces potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-4	MOT-01123	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-4	MOT-01124	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-4	MOT-01125	SEA Not Required		Yes - In the absence of detail, has the potential to introduce	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan	No flood risk issue arises	

				impacts to European sites and /or Q/SCI features.	would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment. No additional/new mitigation required.		
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01173	SEA Not Required		Yes - Assessment is based on absence of information provided in respect of the proposal. Although potentially positive, the designation of new zonings and developments arising from same has the potential to	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan nor changes to zonings would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	

				introduce impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.			
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01174	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01175	SEA Not Required		Yes - Proposed suggests action and introduce for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01176	SEA Not Required		Yes - Could introduce potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented	No flood risk issue arises	

					without environmental assessment.		
DCC-C37- PDP-16	MOT- 01197	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-16	MOT- 01198	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-18	MOT- 01204	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-18	MOT- 01205	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-23	MOT- 01212	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-23	MOT- 01216	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-23	MOT- 01224	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-35	MOT- 01300	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-35	MOT- 01301	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-35	MOT- 01302	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-35	MOT- 01303	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37- PDP-35	MOT- 01304	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-35	MOT-01305	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-36	MOT-01306	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-36	MOT-01308	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-36	MOT-01309	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-36	MOT-01310	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-45	MOT-01387	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-28	MOT-01245	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-45	MOT-01386	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-3	MOT-01106	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-7	MOT-01133	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-22	MOT-01229	SEA Not Required		Yes - Seeks development which could introduce impacts to European sites	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no	No flood risk issue arises	

				and /or QI/SCI features.	development could be consented without environmental assessment.		
DCC-C37-PDP-22	MOT-01230	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-27	MOT-01263	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-27	MOT-01264	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-27	MOT-01265	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-36	MOT-01307	SEA Not Required		Yes - Development at unspecified location could introduce potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-37	MOT-01324	SEA Not Required		Yes - Development at unspecified location has potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-37	MOT-01325	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-38	MOT-01326	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-38	MOT-01327	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-38	MOT-01328	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-38	MOT-01329	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-38	MOT-01330	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-38	MOT-01331	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	



DCC-C37-PDP-38	MOT-01332	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-43	MOT-01376	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-20	MOT-01211	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-24	MOT-01242	SEA Not Required		Yes - Development at unspecified locations has potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-24	MOT-01243	SEA Not Required		Yes - Development at unspecified locations has potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented	No flood risk issue arises	

					without environmental assessment.		
DCC-C37-PDP-24	MOT-01244	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-26	MOT-01251	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-26	MOT-01252	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-26	MOT-01253	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-26	MOT-01254	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-38	MOT-01334	SEA Not Required		Yes - Project specification without reference to environmental assessment could have potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-38	MOT-01335	SEA Not Required		Yes - Project specification without reference to environmental assessment could have potential for impacts to European sites and /or QI/SCI features.	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be consented without environmental assessment.	No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-8	MOT-01101	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-2	MOT-01102	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-10	MOT-01129	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-10	MOT-01130	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01150	SEA Not Required		Yes - Potential AA implications by virtue of permissible uses proposals, which are as yet	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan will provide mitigation, no additional/new	No flood risk issue arises	

				without geographic specificity.	mitigation required.		
DCC-C37-PDP-15	MOT-01196	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-20	MOT-01208	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-20	MOT-01209	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-20	MOT-01210	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-39	MOT-01336	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-39	MOT-01337	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-39	MOT-01338	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-39	MOT-01339	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-39	MOT-01340	SEA Not Required		Yes - Widens the uses of the zoning and potentially increases proposals in potentially ecologically	Current objectives and requirements included in the Draft Plan would ensure no development could be	Potential flood risk issue – The creative sectors covered constitute less vulnerable uses under the Planning System and	Should creative uses be permitted within Z9 lands, it would have to be located in Flood Zone C; it is not

				sensitive areas (ex-situ sites used by birds) particularly as the definition of creative industries is very broad and there is uncertainty about its permanency in largely open areas which Z9 is primarily focussed on.	consented without environmental assessment.	Flood Risk Management [Guidelines] as they are commercial or retail related and would be located within buildings. Within the SFRA, the Z9 zoning has been considered to encompass water compatible uses and has therefore not been subject to the Justification Test where it falls within Flood Zone A and/or B.	permitted in Flood Zone A as the JT has not been applied, and although less vulnerable uses do not require application of the JT within Flood Zone B, the nature of these lands means the assessment of risk has assumed they will be retained for open space and non-built infrastructure.
DCC-C37-PDP-43	MOT-01372	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-8	MOT-01099	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-3	MOT-01107	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-10	MOT-01127	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-10	MOT-01128	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01159	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-12	MOT-01189	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-12	MOT-01190	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-23	MOT-01221	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-22	MOT-01231	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-33	MOT-01287	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-33	MOT-01288	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-43	MOT-01374	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-43	MOT-01375	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-43	MOT-01379	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-1	MOT-01097	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-1	MOT-01098	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-4	MOT-01126	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-12	MOT-01184	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-12	MOT-01185	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-12	MOT-01188	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-19	MOT-01207	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-26	MOT-01255	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-43	MOT-01371	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-5	MOT-01108	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01151	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01152	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	

DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01153	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-13	MOT-01154	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01177	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-9	MOT-01178	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-26	MOT-01256	SEA Not Required		No		No flood risk issue arises	
DCC-C37-PDP-43	MOT-01373	Uncertain / Potentially Negative effects on water due to presence of large combined sewers on the lands	Protective objective may be required to ensure environmental issues do not arise.	No		No flood risk issue arises	